SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
3 1223 09951 6370

3 1223 09951 6370

FILE NO. 347-86 ORDINANCE NO. 301-86

(War Memorial Concession Agreement)

APPROVING AMENDMENT TO AGREEMENT BETWEEN GREYHOUND FOODS AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, OPERATING THROUGH THE WAR MEMORIAL BOARD OF TRUSTEES.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 3.502 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the amendment to the concession agreement between Greyhound Foods and the War Memorial Board of Trustees, a copy of which amendment is contained in Board of Supervisors' file number 347-86.

This approval is subject to the condition that Greyhound Foods agree that it will bear any cost overruns.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG 8 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
July 7, 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

John L. Taylor Clerk

347-86
File No.

JUL 17 1986
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
July 14, 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy,~~ Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-85-67 (Government Funding)

ORDINANCE NO. 302-86

RO #6168 SA #92

APPROPRIATING ~~$6,000,000~~ $610,000 FOR OTHER PERSONAL SERVICES, FEES AND OTHER COMPENSATION, PROFESSIONAL AND SPECIAL SERVICES, OTHER CURRENT SERVICES, AND MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES ~~AND EQUIPMENT PURCHASE~~, MUNICIPAL COURT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1985-86; PLACING $410,000 IN RESERVE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1985-86 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 496 (000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserve		
Subsidiary 000003	Reserve for Contingency	$ 610,000 ~~$6,000,000~~	
11-00-00	Municipal Court		
02-0180 (317016)	Other Personal Services		$ 10,200 ~~$ 100,000~~
0400	Fees and Other Conpensation		14,200 ~~47,570~~
10-1000	Professional and Special Services		569,300 ~~5,787,930~~
1200	Other Current Services		13,200 ~~40,000~~
11-1300	Materials and Supplies		3,100 ~~7,500~~
22-2200	Equipment Purchase		-0- ~~17,000~~
	Total	$ 610,000 ~~$6,000,000~~	$ 610,000 ~~$6,000,000~~

Section 2. Funds in the amount of $410,000 are hereby ~~placed on reserve, pending~~ release by the Finance Committee following receipt of a report from Municipal Court.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
[signature]
CONTROLLER
JAN 22 1986

RECOMMENDED:
N/R
MAYOR

lm(#0571P)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG 8 1986
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
June 30, 1986	July 7, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Britt Kopp Ward Silver	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
Clerk

File No. 101-85-67

JUL 18 1986
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO. 15-86-3 ORDINANCE NO. 303-86

[Taxicabs]

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER XI OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (TRAFFIC CODE) BY ADDING SECTION 57.5 THERETO, TO PERMIT TAXICABS TO STOP IN FRONT OF DRIVEWAYS AND IN UNMARKED CROSSWALKS TO LOAD OR UNLOAD PASSENGERS.

Note: This section is new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter XI of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Traffic Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 57.5 thereto, to read as follows:

SEC. 57.5. TAXICABS STOPPING IN DRIVEWAYS AND CROSSWALKS. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Code, a taxicab may stop in an unmarked crosswalk or in front of a driveway to load or unload passengers.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney

8922E

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG 8 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
June 30, 1986	July 7, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Britt Kopp Ward Silver	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No. 15-86-3

JUL 18 1986
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

FILE NO 124-86-11 ORDINANCE NO. 304-86

[Parking Fines]

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER XI OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (TRAFFIC CODE) BY AMENDING SECTIONS 37(c) and 315(a) THEREOF, TO REDUCE FINES FOR PARKING IN VIOLATION OF STREET SWEEPING AND RESIDENTIAL PREFERENTIAL PARKING PROVISIONS.

Note: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are in ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter XI of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Traffic Code) is hereby amended by amending Sections 37(c) and 315(a) thereof, to read as follows:

SEC. 37(c). Parking Prohibited for Street Cleaning. No person shall park any vehicle on that side of any street on the days, and between the hours, designated by the Board of Supervisors for the purpose of street cleaning. Each side of each street shall be posted with signs giving notice of the days, and the hours, of the parking prohibition effective on that side of the street. This prohibition shall not apply to a vehicle which after the mechanized street sweeper has passed is then parked on a restricted side of the street during the posted hours.

Any person violating the provisions of this Section 37(c) shall be deemed guilty of an infraction and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not ((less)) more than ten dollars ($10.00) ((nor more than one hundred dollars ($100.00))).

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

SEC. 315. Penalty Provisions.

(a) It shall be unlawful and a violation of this Article, unless expressly provided to the contrary herein, for any person to stand or park a motor vehicle of a gross weight exceeding fifty pounds for a period exceeding the time limitation established pursuant hereto. Said violation shall be punishable by a fine of not ((less)) more than twenty dollars ($20.00) ((nor more than sixty dollars ($60.00), imprisonment of not more than ten (10 days, or both));

(b) It shall be unlawful and a violation of this Article for a person to falsely represent himself as eligible for a parking permit or to furnish false information in an application therefor to the Tax Collector;

(c) It shall be unlawful and a violation of this Article for a person holding a valid parking permit issued pursuant hereto to permit the use or display of such permit on a motor vehicle other than that for which the permit is issued. Such conduct shall constitute an unlawful act and violation of this Article both by the person holding the valid parking permit and the person who so uses or displays the permit on a motor vehicle other than that for which it is issued.

(d) It shall be unlawful and a violation of this Article for a person to copy, produce or otherwise bring into existence a facsimile or counterfeit parking permit or permits without written authorization form the Tax Collector. It shall further be unlawful and a violation of this Article for a person to knowingly use or display a facsimile or counterfeit parking permit in order to evade time limitations on parking applicable in a residential permit parking area. Upon conviction thereof, a person shall be punishable by a fine not

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG 8 1986
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2014

https://archive.org/details/ordinance1986sanf_2

exceeding five hundred dollars ($500.00) or be imprisoned for a period not exceeding six (6) months, or both.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney

7714E

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
June 30, 1986	§	July 7, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder Walker Ward	§	Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder Walker Ward
Noes: Supervisors Maher Renne	§	Noes: Supervisors Maher Renne Silver
Absent: Supervisors Britt Silver	§	Absent: Supervisors Britt

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No.
124-86-11

JUL 18 1986
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-26 ORDINANCE NO. 305-86

RO #6535

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF JUANITA STOCKWELL AGAINST CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $73,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "JUANITA STOCKWELL v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," U.S. District Court Nos. C83-3172-WWS and C84-3474-WWS, by the payment of $73,000.00. The $73,000 shall be allocated as follows: $13,000 as payment for lost wages, minus what her contributions to the Retirement System would have been from February 5, 1981 to September 12, 1983; $27,000 for compensatory damages; $3,000 for costs; $30,000 for attorney fees. The City agrees to reinstate Stockwell to the 137th recruit class entitling her to full seniority rights, including retirement, bidding for shifts, eligibility for promotional opportunities, and salary and fringe benefits. Plaintiff will make the employee contribution to retirement from the settlement amount. Internally, the Police Department must transfer employer contributions from the fringe benefit account to the Retirement System.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By: JOHN A. ARCHIVALD
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

By: FRANK JORDAN, Chief
San Francisco
Police Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

APPROVED:
POLICE COMMISSION:

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG 19 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 21, 1986	July 28, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Ward	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Nelder Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-86-26

JUL 28 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 27-86-5 ORDINANCE NO. 306-86

AIRPORT -- LEASE

APPROVING THE LEASE OF CERTAIN SPACE ON THE AIRFIELD AT SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT BETWEEN UNITED STATES FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS AIRPORTS COMMISSION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 7.402-1 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the Lease, designated DTFA 08-85-L-11305, of certain spaces on the airfield at San Francisco International Airport, between United States Federal Aviation Administration and the City and County of San Francisco, acting by and through its Airports Commission, as approved by Resolution No. 86-0118 of the Airports Commission adopted May 20, 1986, a copy of which Lease is contained in Board of Supervisors' file number 27-86-5.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By Donald J. Gunhalter

Airports General Counsel

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

AUG 19 1986

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 14, 1986	July 21, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 27-86-5

AUG 1 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 27-86-6 ORDINANCE NO. 307-86

AIRPORT - - LEASE

APPROVING THE LEASE OF CERTAIN SPACE ON THE AIRFIELD AT SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT BETWEEN UNITED STATES FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS AIRPORTS COMMISSION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 7.402-1 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the Lease, designated DTFA 08-86-L-11649, of certain space on the airfield at San Francisco International Airport, between United States Federal Aviation Administration and the City and County of San Francisco, acting by and through its Airports Commission, as approved by Resolution No. 86-0119 of the Airports Commission adopted May 20, 1986, a copy of which Lease is contained in Board of Supervisors' file number 27-86-6.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By Donald J. Garibaldi

Airports General Counsel

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG 19 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 14, 1986	July 21, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 27-86-6

AUG 1 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 27-86-7 ORDINANCE NO. 308-86

(Airport - Lease Modification)

APPROVING MODIFICATION NO. 3 OF LEASE AND USE AGREEMENT BETWEEN UNITED AIRLINES, INC. AND CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS AIRPORTS COMMISSION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 7.402-1 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves Modification No. 3 of the Lease and Use Agreement between United Airlines, Inc. and the City and County of San Francisco, acting by and through its Airports Commission, a copy of which Modification is contained in Board of Supervisors' file number 27-86-7.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By Donald J. Ramboldi
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG 19 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 14, 1986	July 21, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 27-86-7

AUG 1 1986
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 46-86-15 ORDINANCE NO. 309-86

AUTHORIZING DISMISSAL OF LITIGATION OF CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AGAINST RICHARD A. CANATELA AND ZINI CANATELA UPON RECEIPT OF DISMISSAL OF CROSS-COMPLAINT AGAINST CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Tax Collector Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to dismiss the controversy in San Francisco Municipal Court No. 833667, entitled CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO v. RICHARD A. CANATELA, ZINI CANATELA, upon receipt of the duly signed dismissal of cross-complaint entitled RICHARD A. CANATELA, ZINI CANATELA v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

Recommended by:

THAD BROWN
Tax Collector

Approved by:

MARY I. CALLANAN
Treasurer

Approved as to Form:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

by MARK KERTZ
Deputy City Attorney

1923A

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG 19 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 14, 1986	July 21, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 46-86-15

AUG 1 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 49-86-1 ORDINANCE NO. 310-86

[SETTLEMENT OF UNLITIGATED ACTION]

AUTHORIZING RELEASE OF DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS LIEN RECORDED JANUARY 23, 1979, HELD BY CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AGAINST PROPERTY KNOWN AS 430 FILLMORE STREET, BLOCK 842, LOT 21 BY ACCEPTING VALUABLE CONSIDERATION IN FULL SATISFACTION AND DISCHARGING SUCH PROPERTY FROM FURTHER OBLIGATION.

BE IT ORDAINED by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to accept consideration in full satisfaction and discharge the Department of Public Works lien recorded January 23, 1979, in the San Francisco County Recorder's Office Book C716, Page 670, by accepting the total sum of $5,000.00 from Ticor Title Insurance Co. of California, payable on the effective date of this Ordinance.

Section 2. After payment of the amount specified in Section 1, the Department of Public Works is directed to record an Acknowledgment of Full Satisfaction in the previous referenced Department of Public Works lien.

Section 3. The compromise authority provided in Sections 1 and 2 will be effective only upon immediate payment by Ticor Title Insurance Co. of the herein specified compromise amount on the date this ordinance is mailed or presented. In the event that said Ticor does not make payment of the compromise amount in the manner provided in Section 1, the compromise in Section 2

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

FILE NO. ORDINANCE NO.

will be null and void, and the City and County of San Francisco will retain all previous rights.

RECOMMENDED BY:

DONALD BIRRER
Director of Department
of Public Works

APPROVED BY:

MARY I. CALLANAN
Treasurer

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By: JAMES H. WOODS
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG 19 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

RELEASE OF DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS LIEN

This release of Department of Public Works' Demolition lien is hereby executed on this day of , 1986, by the undersigned on behalf of the CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, the beneficiary thereof, after being duly authorized to act on its behalf by the laws governing the aforesaid municipal corporation.

WHEREAS the CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO recorded this lien in the public records of the San Francisco County Recorder's Office on January 23, 1979, Book C716, Page 670, against 430 Fillmore Street, Block 842, Lot 21 in the amount of six thousand six hundred fifteen dollars ($6,615.00) representing the cost of demolishing pursuant to DPW Order No. 111.522, such building as was found at 430 Fillmore Street. The demolition rendered by the CITY and COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO was assessed against 430 Fillmore Street by the Board of Supervisors, resolution No. 167-79 on February 20, 1979.

NOW THEREFORE, the CITY and COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO does hereby release any and all claim, encumbrance, or right to any interest in real estate known as 430 Fillmore Street, Block 842, Lot 21, arising out of the Department of Public Works lien recorded on January 23, 1979.

DATE: CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

By: _____
JAMES H. WOODS
Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
July 14, 1986	§	July 21, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy	§	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 49-86-1

JUL 2 - 1986

AUG 1 1986

Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 82-86-5 ORDINANCE NO. 311-86

(Grant of Easement)

AUTHORIZING GRANT OF A 8,800 SQUARE FOOT EASEMENT OVER HETCH HETCHY RIGHT-OF-WAY LOCATED IN THE CITY OF MODESTO, STANISLAUS COUNTY, TO THE CITY OF MODESTO FOR PUBLIC STREET AND UTILITY PURPOSES.

Be It Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission and the Director of Property, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that the public interest and necessity require granting an easement across a portion of City-owned land, being a portion of Parcel 663 of the Hetch Hetchy Right-of-Way in Stanislaus County, to the City of Modesto for public street and utility crossing purposes only.

Section 2. Said easement rights to be acquired by the City of Modesto are not required by the City and County of San Francisco for municipal purposes and their sale will not affect the Master Plan.

Section 3. The City of Modesto has offered to acquire said easement for $1,500. The Director of Property has determined the offer represents the fair market value for the easement being conveyed. The Director of Property has also determined that said easement is of use only to the City of Modesto, and as such, recommends a direct sale.

of Supervisors are authorized to execute and the Director of Property to deliver an easement deed to the City of Modesto upon receipt of the purchase price.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By Thomas M. Berdener
Deputy City Attorney

APPROVED:

Wallace Wortman
Director of Property

RECOMMENDED:

L. T. Klein
Deputy General Manager
Hetch Hetchy Water & Power

General Manager
Public Utilities Commission

Per PUC Res. No. 86-0131

DOCUMENTS
AUG 19 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 14, 1986	July 21, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
82-86-5

AUG 1 1986
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 96-86-4 ORDINANCE NO. 312-86

(Sale of Property)

AUTHORIZING SALE OF SURPLUS CITY-OWNED REAL PROPERTY

Be It Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission and the Director of Property, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that the public interest and necessity require the sale of that certain 251 sq. ft., more or less of City owned land identified as Hetch Hetchy Parecl No. 1611(2) Alameda County lands, to the adjoining property owner.

Section 2. Said property is no longer required for municipal purposes and its sale will not affect the Master Plan.

Section 3. Pursuant to Section 7.401 of the City Charter, the Director of Property has made an appraisal of the value of said parcel. The adjoining owner has offered to purchase said parcel for $3500, which sum represents 100% of the appraised value. The Director of Property has also determined that said parcel is of use only to the adjoining owner, and as such, recommends a direct sale.

Section 4. The offer of said adjoining owner is hereby accepted and the Mayor and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are authorized to execute and the Director of Property deliver a deed to the adjoining owner upon receipt of the purchase price.

RECOMMENDED:

Gen. Mngr. Hetch Hetchy Water/Power

Gen.Mngr., Public Utilities Commission

Per P.U.C. Resolution 86-0199

APPROVED:

Director of Property

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG 19 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 14, 1986	July 21, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 96-86-4

AUG 1 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

ORIGINAL

FILE NO. 101-86-4

ORDINANCE NO. 313-86

AO #6524 B.A. #01

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING ~~$70,342~~ $61,714 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES-MISCELLANEOUS AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, COUNTY CLERK FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for the Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 496 (000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserve		
Sub. 000001	Contributed Revenue Reserve	$61,714 ~~$70,342~~	
15-00-00	County Clerk		
01-0010 (322016)	Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous		$47,583 ~~$54,602~~
04-0600	Mandatory Fringe Benefits		14,131 ~~15,740~~
	Total	$61,714 ~~$70,342~~	$61,714 ~~$70,342~~

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

J. C. FARRELL
CONTROLLER

JUN 06 1986

RECOMMENDED:

N/R
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG 19 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 14, 1986	July 21, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

[signature]
Clerk

File No. 101-86-4

AUG 1 1986
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO 102-86-5 ORDINANCE NO. 314-86

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT

INTERIM SALARY ORDINANCE
AMENDMENT NO. 1

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. (INTERIM ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1986-87)

REFLECTING THE ADDITION OF TWO POSITIONS IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY CLERK.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated items and section of Ordinance No. (Interim Annual Salary Ordinance, 1986-87) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Dept.:	15 County Clerk	
		Division:	01 Clerk Superior Court	
		Section:	00 Clerk Superior Court	
		Fund:	01001 Regular Misc.	
1 added	1	8106 A	Legal Process Clerk.....	SC $631B762
1 added	1	8113 A	Court Clerk.....	SC $1003B1214

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

by:

NO. 1

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG 19 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
July 14, 1986	§	July 21, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy	§	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 102-86-5

AUG 1 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

ORIGINAL

FILE NO. 101-86-2

ORDINANCE NO. 315-86

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

CONSOLIDATED BUDGET

AND

ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE

FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1987

AN ORDINANCE ENUMERATING ALL POSITIONS CONTINUED OR CREATED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS IN ADOPTING THE ANNUAL BUDGET AND APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1987, CONTINUING, CREATING OR ESTABLISHING THESE POSITIONS. CHARTER OR STATE LAW FOR WHICH COMPENSATIONS ARE PAID FROM ENUMERATING AND INCLUDING THEREIN ALL POSITIONS CREATED BY CITY AND COUNTY FUNDS AND APPROPRIATED IN THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE. AUTHORIZING APPOINTMENTS OR CONTINUATION OF APPOINTMENTS THERETO. SPECIFYING AND FIXING THE COMPENSATIONS AND WORK SCHEDULES THEREOF, AND AUTHORIZING APPOINTMENTS TO TEMPORARY POSITIONS AND FIXING COMPENSATIONS THEREFOR.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

SECTION 1. ESTABLISHMENT, CREATION AND CONTINUATION OF POSITIONS.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 6.207 of the Charter, the positions hereinafter enumerated under the respective departments are hereby created, established or continued for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1987. Positions created or authorized by charter or state law compensations for which are paid from City and County funds and appropriated in the annual appropriation ordinance are enumerated and included herein.

The word - position - or - positions - as used in the ordinance shall be construed to include - office - or - offices - and the word - employee - or employees - shall be construed to include - officer - or officers.

SECTION 1.1. APPOINTMENTS AND VACANCIES - PERMANENT POSITIONS.

Appointing officers as specified in the charter are hereby authorized, subject to the provisions of this ordinance, to make or continue appointments as needed during the fiscal year to permanent positions enumerated in their respective sections of this ordinance. Such appointments shall be made in accordance with the Civil Service provisions of the Charter. Appointing officers shall not make an appointment to a vacancy in a permanent position until the Mayor shall approve the requisition for such service as herein provided. Provided further that in order to prevent the stoppage of essential services the Civil Service Commission, under its rules, may authorize an emergency appointment pending approval or disapproval of the requisition by the Mayor, if funds are available to pay the compensation of such emergency appointee. The requisition for filling such positions shall be completed in quadruplicate, one copy to be retained by the department and the three remaining copies to be submitted immediately and simultaneously to the Controller, the Mayor and the Civil Service Commission. If the requisition is approved by the Mayor within two working days after the date of receipt of the requisition, he shall promptly transmit it to the

FILE NO. 60-86-5

in Committee 7/23/86
ORDINANCE NO. 317-86

(Special Election)

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 1986, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO PROPOSITIONS TO INCUR BONDED DEBTS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION OR COMPLETION BY THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OF THE FOLLOWING MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENTS, TO WIT: $46,200,000 FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; AND THAT THE ESTIMATED COST TO THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAID MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENTS IS AND WILL BE TOO GREAT TO BE PAID OUT OF THE ORDINARY ANNUAL INCOME AND REVENUE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY AND WILL REQUIRE EXPENDITURES GREATER THAN THE AMOUNTS ALLOWED THEREFOR BY THE ANNUAL TAX LEVY; ALL IN ORDER TO DO AND PERFORM ANY AND ALL OF THE MATTERS HEREINABOVE REFERRED TO; FIXING RATE OF INTEREST OF SAID BONDS AND PROVIDING FOR THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF TAXES TO PAY BOTH PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST THEREOF; PRESCRIBING NOTICE TO BE GIVEN OF SUCH ELECTION AND CONSOLIDATING THE SPECIAL ELECTION WITH THE GENERAL ELECTION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 4th day of November, 1986, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said city and county propositions to incur bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco for the acquisition, construction or completion by the city and county of the hereinafter described municipal improvements in the amounts and for the purposes stated:

FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1986, $46,200,000 to pay the cost of improvement of the municipal fire system within the City and County of San Francisco including auxiliary water supply system (high-pressure), motorized gate valves, seismic controls, improvements to pumping stations and tanks, extensions to the high-pressure system, an installation of high-pressure system pressure gauges; the construction of new cisterns in residential areas; the installation of fire pumper suction connections at the San Francisco Bay and at various lakes within San Francisco including the construction of access roads to the lakes; installation of a high-pressure pump station at Lake Merced and the installation of high-pressure loop main in the Sunset District; the construction of an emergency operation center; the preliminary management and environmental review for fire station reconstruction; and all other works, property and structures necessary or convenient for the additions to the fire protection system of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The estimated costs of the municipal improvements described in Section 1 hereof were fixed by the Board of Supervisors by the following resolution and in the amount specified:

Fire Protection System Improvement Bonds, Resolution No. 618-86, $46,200,000;

That said resolution was passed by two-thirds or more of the Board of Supervisors and approved by the Mayor, and in said resolution it was recited and found that the sums of money specified were too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the city and county in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for those purposes and will require expenditures greater than the amounts allowed therefor by the annual tax levy.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated costs of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

of the City and County of San Francisco in the principal amounts specified.

Said estimates of cost as set forth in said resolution is hereby adopted and determined to be the estimated costs of said improvements.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the results thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and in all particulars not herein recited said election shall be held according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Election to be held Tuesday, November 4, 1986, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for such special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth, in the official publication, by the Registrar of Voters of precincts, polling places and election officers for the said General Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Election and reference is hereby made to the notice of election setting forth the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election by the Registrar of Voters for the General Election to be published in the San Francisco Progress on or about October 19, 1986.

///

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following, to be separately stated, and appear upon the ballot as a separate proposition:

"FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1986.

To incur a bonded indebtedness of $46,200,000 for the improvement of the fire protection system within the City and County of San Francisco."

Each voter to vote for said proposition hereby submitted and in favor of the issuance of the Bonds, shall stamp a cross (X) in the blank space opposite the word "YES" on the ballot to the right of said proposition, and to vote against said proposition and against the issuance of the Bonds shall stamp a cross (X) in the blank space opposite the word "NO" on the ballot to the right of said proposition. On absent voters ballots, the cross (X) may be marked with pen or pencil.

If and to the extent that punch card ballot cards are used at said special revenue bond election, each voter to vote for said proposition shall punch the ballot card in the hole after the word "YES" to the right of said proposition, and to vote against said proposition shall punch the ballot card in the hole after the word "NO" to the right of said proposition.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the voters voting on any proposition voted in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the municipal improvements described herein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials,"

and shall bear interest at a rate not to exceed 11 per centum per annum, payable semiannually.

The votes cast for and against each of said respective propositions shall be counted separately and when two-thirds of the qualified electors, voting on any one of such propositions, vote in favor thereof, such proposition shall be deemed adopted.

Section 7. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest on said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy and in the manner for such general tax levy provided, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid, or until there is a sum in the Treasury of said city and county set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest on said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds as the same becomes due and also such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the proceeds of a tax levied at the time for making the next general tax levy can be made available for the payment of such principal.

Section 8. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in the San Francisco Examiner, a newspaper published daily in the City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county and such publication shall constitute notice of said election and no other notice of the election hereby called need be given.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By: Robert A. Mendelsohn
Deputy City Attorney

2721w:07/22/86

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
July 28, 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, [redacted], Kennedy, [redacted], Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, [redacted]

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Ward

John L. Taylor Clerk

60-86-5
File No.

AUG 8 1986
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
August 4, 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, [redacted], [redacted], Maher, Molinari, Nelder, [redacted], Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Mayor

(Trees)

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER X OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (PUBLIC WORKS CODE) BY REPEALING ARTICLE 16 THEREOF AND ENACTING A NEW ARTICLE 16 REGULATING THE MANAGEMENT AND ENHANCEMENT OF THE CITY'S TREES, INCLUDING THE PLANNING, PLANTING, MAINTENANCE, PROTECTION AND REMOVAL OF TREES THROUGHOUT THE CITY IN STREET AND SIDEWALK AREAS, CERTAIN PUBLIC SPACES, AND CERTAIN DESIGNATED TREES ON PRIVATE PROPERTY; ESTABLISHING A TREE ADVISORY BOARD; AND PROVIDING PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS.

NOTE: This Article is entirely new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter X, Article 16 of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Public Works Code) is hereby repealed.

Section 2. Part II, Chapter X, Article 16 of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Public Works Code) is hereby enacted to read as follows:

SEC. 800. TITLE. This ordinance shall be known as the San Francisco Urban Forestry Ordinance.

SEC. 801. PURPOSE. The San Francisco Urban Forestry Ordinance is enacted to further the following public purposes:

(a) To realize the optimum public benefits of trees on the City's streets, in public places, and on private property, including favorable modification of micro-climates, abatement of air and noise pollution, reduction of soil erosion and runoff, enhancement of the visual environment, and promotion of community pride;

(b) To integrate street tree planting and maintenance with other urban elements and amenities, including but not limited to utilities, vehicular and pedestrian traffic, and enhancement of views and solar access;

(c) To promote efficient, cost-effective management of the City's urban forest by coordinating public and private efforts within a comprehensive and professional management system;

(d) To reduce the public hazard, nuisance, and expense occasioned by improper tree selection, planting, and maintenance;

(e) To provide for the creation of an equitable, sustained, and reliable means of funding urban-forest management throughout the City;

(f) To create and maintain a unified urban-forest resource, enhancing the City's overall character and sense of place.

SEC. 802. DEFINITIONS. Unless the context specifically indicates otherwise,

(a) "City" shall mean the City and County of San Francisco.

(b) "Community Boards" of San Francisco shall mean the neighborhood mediation/dispute settlement service established under the auspices of The Community Board Program, Inc.

(c) "Department" shall mean the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) "Director" shall mean the Director of the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco.

(e) "Injure" or "Injury" shall mean any act which damages a tree, including but not limited to impact, cutting, carving, transplanting, or knocking over any tree on a public street or right-of-way, and as described in Section 808 of this ordinance.

(f) "Landmark tree" shall mean a tree so designated

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG 22 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

pursuant to Section 811 of this ordinance.

(g) "Landscape material" shall mean any tree, shrub, ground cover or other plant.

(h) "Maintenance" shall mean pruning, mulching, clipping, watering, staking, spraying, propping, fertilizing, bracing, treating for disease or injury, and any other acts which promote the life, growth, health, or beauty of the City's trees.

(i) "Nuisance tree" shall mean any tree or portion thereof that poses a hazard to person or property. A tree may be deemed a nuisance if it or any part of it: (1) appears dead, dangerous, or likely to fall; (2) obstructs a street or sidewalk; (3) harbors a serious disease or infestation threatening the health of other trees; (4) interferes with vehicular or pedestrian traffic; or (5) poses any other significant hazard as set forth in regulations promulgated by the Director pursuant to this ordinance.

(j) "Person" shall mean any individual, firm, partnership, association, corporation, company, or organization of any kind.

(k) "Planting" shall mean putting or setting into the ground or into a container to grow.

(l) "Removal" shall mean any intentional or negligent act which causes a tree or landscape material to decline and/or die within a period of three (3) years, including but not limited to damage inflicted upon the root system of a tree by the application of toxic substances, the operation of heavy machinery, the change of natural grade above or below the root system or around the trunk of a tree, excessive pruning, or the severing of part or all of the trunk.

(m) "Replacement value" shall mean the actual cost to the

Department of replacing a tree or landscape material removed or destroyed, or if irreplaceable its value as determined pursuant to the valuation formula adopted by the International Society of Arboriculture, as amended from time to time.

(n) "Sidewalk" shall mean the area between the curb and the distant edge of the pedestrian walk-way.

(o) "Street" shall mean the venicular travel-way portion of any public street, avenue, boulevard, lane, road, parkway, freeway, or other public way.

(p) "Tree" shall mean any large perennial plant having a woody trunk(s), branches, and leaves.

(q) "Tree Advisory Board" or "Tree Board" shall mean a board of private citizens to be appointed and to perform specific duties as set forth in Section 805 of this ordinance.

7/11/86 ~~CV 15~~

(r) "Urban forest" shall mean all trees on lands within the borders of the City and County of San Francisco. subject to the jurisdiction of the Department of Public Works.

(s) "Urban Forestry Division" or "Division" shall mean a Division within the Department of Public Works charged with the duty of carrying out the intent of this ordinance.

SEC. 803. JURISDICTION. The Department shall have jurisdiction over the planning, planting, maintenance, and removal of all trees in any street or other public way as defined in Section 185 of this Code; over any landscape material in any street median, center strip, or other landscaped portion of a public right-of-way; over trees and other landscape material in other public spaces under the jurisdiction of the Department; and over certain trees on private property as designated in Sections 804(f) and 810 of this ordinance.

SEC. 804. URBAN FORESTRY DIVISION; POWERS AND DUTIES.

(a) Assistant Superintendent for Urban Forestry. The

Division shall be directed by an Assistant Superintendent who shall meet Civil Service qualifications and should hold a degree in arboriculture, urban forestry, horticulture, or a closely related field, or have a minimum of five years' experience therein, as well as proven administrative skills. This article does not authorize the creation of any new position or classification of positions under the Department of Public Works.

1/86

(b) Arterial Planting Program. The Division shall undertake a program of plantings of cohesive design along designated major traffic routes and commercial streets throughout the City.

(c) Neighborhood Planting Program. The Division shall encourage and support an active program of plantings within neighborhoods, such plantings to include the voluntary participation of neighborhood children and other residents to the fullest extent possible in both the planning and installation processes. Support may include, but need not be limited to, provision of trees, planting materials, sidewalk cutting and removal, planting labor, technical advice, and organizational assistance.

(d) Major Maintenance of Street Trees - Responsibilities of Division; Responsibilities of Property Owners. In a phased and orderly manner, the Division shall use its best efforts to inventory the condition and major-maintenance needs of all street trees in the City within three (3) years of the enactment of this ordinance and at least every five (5) years thereafter. Maintenance practices shall be in accordance with species-specific standards issued by the Division. Major maintenance shall include, but need not be limited to: structural pruning as necessary to maintain public safety and to sustain the health, safety, and natural growth habit of the tree; routine adjustment and timely removal of stakes, ties, tree guards, and

tree grates; watering sufficient to assure the establishment and survival of a tree; fertilizing as needed; pest- and disease-management procedures as needed and in a manner consistent with public health and ecological diversity; and replacement of missing or damaged trees.

The Division shall be responsible for the maintenance of street trees it has planted or for which the Division has assumed maintenance responsibility. A property owner shall be responsible for the maintenance of street trees he or she has planted or for which he or she has assumed maintenance responsibility through the purchase of real property or otherwise. Upon a finding by the Director or his designee that a street tree requires major maintenance or is being improperly maintained by the property owner, the Director shall notify the responsible party, perform the necessary work, and collect the costs of such work from the responsible party. The notice, billing and lien procedures shall be as prescribed for nuisance trees in Section 810 of this ordinance.

(e) Work Order Program for Trees on Public Property. The Division may assume planting and maintenance responsibilities for trees and other landscape material on or fronting public properties when such work has been funded through work orders against the budgets of the various agencies and jurisdictions responsible for the properties.

(f) Review of Site Development Plans. To assure compliance with the provisions of this ordinance, and pursuant to Section 143 of the City Planning Code, the Division shall have the authority to review site-development-plan applications received by the Department's Central Permit Bureau that pertain to the planting, alteration, or removal of street trees. The

Division shall also have the authority to review site-development-plan applications that pertain to the alteration or removal of landmark trees designated pursuant to Section 811 of this ordinance. Site plans must identify the location, specify the species and size of subject trees, and indicate any existing or proposed building or structure on the property that would require alteration or removal of such trees. Preliminary plans may be submitted for informal Division review prior to submission of a formal site-development-plan application. The Division may:

(1) Advise the Zoning Administrator within thirty (30) days regarding the presence of landmark trees on the site and the impact of new construction on any such tree. The Division shall report its comments and recommendations to the Zoning Administrator for use in acting on the proposed permit application and regarding mechanisms to protect trees to be retained on a construction site against damage to trunk, roots, and branches. Final approval of the application by the Zoning Administrator, consistent with the provisions of Sections 808(c) and 811(c) hereof, shall constitute approval to plant, remove, or alter the specific tree.

(2) Advise the Zoning Administrator, as required, regarding planting of required street trees.

(3) Appear before the Planning Commission and other public forums, as necessary, to encourage the inclusion of sound urban forestry principles in the development of major planning or site-development proposals in the City.

(g) Training Program for Division Staff. The Division shall implement a comprehensive training program for its staff to ensure that the Division's planting and maintenance standards are

implemented in an expert and consistent manner. All field personnel involved in tree maintenance and planting shall meet Civil Service qualifications, which should include certification in arboricultural knowledge and competency. The training program shall be administered by a qualified landscape professional, and shall include regular review, recertification, and advanced occupational training.

(h) Public Education. The Division shall undertake an on-going program of public outreach and education in order to promote public understanding of the City's urban forest and public adherence to the standards and procedures established under this ordinance.

SEC. 805. TREE ADVISORY BOARD; ESTABLISHMENT; APPOINTMENT; POWERS AND DUTIES.

(a) There is hereby established an advisory board to be known as the Tree Advisory Board (hereafter the "Tree Board") consisting of fifteen (15) private citizens, at least five (5) of whom shall be professionally trained and experienced in a tree-related field. All members of the Tree Board shall serve without compensation. The Tree Board members first appointed shall be the members presently serving on an interim board created by the Director, whose terms shall commence on the effective date of this ordinance. Five of the members who are first appointed shall be designated by the Director to serve for terms of two years, five for three years, and five for four years. Thereafter, members shall be appointed by the Director for a term of four years, as follows: at least one member shall be selected from a list of persons nominated by the Director, one from a list nominated by the Mayor, and one from a list nominated by the Board of Supervisors. All of the vacancies occurring

during a term shall be filled for the unexpired term in the same manner as appointments are made. The Assistant Superintendent of Urban Forestry shall serve as an ex-officio member of the Tree Board and a representative from the Recreation and Park Department, City Planning Department, and at the discretion of the Director, other City agencies shall also serve as ex-officio members.

(b) The Tree Board shall meet at least six (6) times a year and shall establish its own operating procedures which, at a minimum, shall meet the public notice, meeting, voting, agenda and other procedural requirements imposed by Section 3.500 of the San Francisco Charter. The failure of a member to attend at least one-half of the regularly scheduled meetings of the Tree Board shall be grounds for removal from the Board by the Director. The Director shall secure a copy of the attendance list of all such meetings for the purpose of determining whether to remove a member for non-attendance.

(c) The Tree Board shall serve in an advisory capacity to the Director on matters relating to the implementation of this ordinance and to tree management in the City, including appropriate funding mechanisms.

(d) The responsibilities of the Tree Board shall include but not be limited to the following:

(1) advising the Director in a written report concerning the qualifications for the position of Assistant Superintendent for Urban Forestry;

(2) studying the problems and determining the needs of the City concerning its street tree planting and maintenance programs, and advising the Director and working with the Division in this regard;

(3) recommending to the Director appropriate species of trees to be planted upon City streets or other areas under the Department's jurisdiction;

(4) working with the Community Boards of San Francisco in developing guidelines, standards, and procedures for the mediation of conflicts between property owners over the protection of views, solar access, planting/removal of trees, and other tree-related issues.

(5) working with the Director concerning the development and implementation of an Urban Forestry Management Plan;

(6) developing and recommending criteria for establishing "landmark" status for trees under the jurisdiction of the Department;

(7) making recommendations to the Director concerning appeals of the Division's decisions on the planting or removal of trees, as set forth in Sections 807 and 812 of this ordinance;

(8) advising the Director concerning the implementation of this ordinance; and

(9) working in conjunction with the Director in disseminating to the public news and information concerning the selection, planting, and maintenance of trees througnout the City, and recommending from time to time to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors desirable City tree programs and activities, including legislation and funding mechanisms.

SEC. 806. URBAN FOREST MANAGEMENT PLAN. Within three (3) years of the adoption of this ordinance, the Director with the advice and participation of the Tree Board shall adopt an Urban Forest Management Plan. The Division thereafter shall use its best efforts to insure that activities of the Division are guided

by such plan. The plan shall incorporate the following elements:

(a) a clear, concise, and comprehensive Statement of Policies and Objectives for urban forestry management in the City, which statement is to be developed by the Director with the advice and participation of the Tree Board through a process of at least three (3) public hearings;

(b) a designation of proposed urban forestry treatments for major traffic routes and districts within the City consistent with the City's Master Plan, together with a program, schedule, and suggested budget for implementing such treatments;

(c) An inventory of every street tree and any other trees deemed necessary by the Division, which inventory shall include, as appropriate, species, age, condition, maintenance records, names of adjacent property owners, record of fees and fines, and any other information necessary or usable in the long-range planning or day-to-day planting and maintenance of the City's urban forest;

(d) a Street-Tree Renewal Plan, based on an evaluation of species characteristics and performance as recorded in the inventory, providing for rotational reforestation of diseased or declining trees and break-up of potentially problematic monocultures;

1/86 (e) a set of ~~City~~ Standards for the Division, ~~other implementing agencies,~~ and the public for street-tree installation, landscape-tree installation, pruning and maintenance, acceptable tree species and any other standards, criteria, or administrative procedures deemed necessary to carry out the purposes of this ordinance and the Urban Forest Management Plan;

(f) a process for continual update and improvement of the

Urban Forest Management Plan's elements.

SEC. 807. PLANTING AND REMOVAL OF STREET TREES - PERMIT REQUIRED; APPEALS.

(a) Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person to plant or to remove a street tree without a valid permit for such work issued by the Division. Any person who desires a permit shall apply to the Division on the designated form. The permittee shall post any permit to remove a tree on the affected tree immediately upon issuance.

(b) Appeals. Any affected party may appeal a permit decision of the Division to the Director within ten (10) days after issuance. The Director shall issue his written decision and order on the appeal after a public hearing and upon recommendation of the Tree Board. Appeals from a permit decision of the Director may be made within ten (10) days after issuance to the Board of Permit Appeals, whose decision on the matter shall be final.

SEC. 808. PROTECTION OF TREES AND LANDSCAPE MATERIAL.

(a) Injury to or Destruction of Trees Prohibited; Injury Defined. It shall be unlawful for any person to injure or destroy a tree on any City street or public property unless the City orders removal of the tree or removal is authorized by permit issued pursuant to Sections 804(f) or 807 of this ordinance. "Injury" includes but is not limited to the following: injurious attachment of any rope, wire, nails, advertising posters, or other contrivance to any public tree as set forth in Sections 675 and 675.1 of the Municipal Police Code; allowing any gaseous, liquid, or solid substance that is harmful to trees to come into contact with them; setting fire or permitting any fire to burn when such fire or the heat therefrom

will injure any part of any tree.

(b) Injury to or Destruction of Landscape Materials Prohibited. It shall be unlawful for any person to injure or destroy any landscape material in any street median, center strip, or other publicly landscaped portion of a public right-of-way under the City's jurisdiction, except as authorized by the Department.

(c) Construction Work; Protection of Trees Required. It shall be unlawful for any person to engage in any construction work on private or public property without first taking steps to protect street trees from damage to trunk, branches or roots, or damage caused by soil compaction or contamination. All trees on any street or other publicly owned property near any excavation or construction of any building, structure, or street work shall be adequately protected in accordance with guidelines established by the Assistant Superintendent of Urban Forestry, including but not limited to preservation of the trunk, bark, leaves, scaffold branches, and immediate soil. Removal of the street tree is authorized only by permit obtained pursuant to Section 804(f) or Section 807 of this ordinance.

SEC. 809. ROUTINE MAINTENANCE OF STREET TREES; RESPONSIBILITIES OF PROPERTY OWNER. Property owners shall be responsible for the routine maintenance of all street trees fronting their properties, whether or not planted by the Department, including watering and weed control as needed, removal of tree-well trash, and reporting to the Division in a timely manner any damage to or condition of the tree which may be hazardous to the health of the tree or of the public. The Division shall devise and apply a systematic program for advising property owners of their maintenance responsibilities.

SEC. 810. NUISANCE TREES; ABATEMENT.

(a) Notice to Property Owner(s). Upon a finding by the Director that a tree on private property or a street tree for which a property owner is responsibile is a "nuisance tree" as defined herein, the Director shall mail a written notice to the property owner(s) which describes the condition creating the nuisance, and sets forth the actions required to be taken to abate the nuisance and the date by which compliance must be completed. Required action may include replacement or removal of the tree. In cases of extreme danger, as determined by the Director, the Director may require immediate compliance.

(b) Performance of Work by Division; Costs Billed to Property Owner. If the responsible property owner(s) does not take the action required by the Director to abate the nuisance tree within the prescribed time, the Division may perform the necessary work and the cost of this service (including labor, equipment, materials, inspection services, and administrative overhead) shall be billed to the property owner(s). Payment shall be due within sixty (60) days of the mailing date of the notice of payment due.

(c) Contents of Notice of Payment Due. The notice of payment due sent to the responsible property owner(s) shall contain the following information:

(1) a statement of the date written notice was sent as required by subsection (a) above;

(2) a statement of the work performed by the Division, the date or dates on which such work was performed, and the costs incurred by the Division in performing the work;

(3) a notice advising the responsible property owner(s) that he or she is liable for the costs in the amount

listed in the notice and that payment to the City to reimburse these costs is due within sixty (60) days of the mailing date of the notice;

(4) a notice advising that a penalty of ten percent (10%) plus interest at the rate of one percent (1%) per month on the outstanding balance shall be added to the costs from the date that payment is due; and

(5) a notice advising the responsible property owner(s) that if payment of the costs is not received within ninety (90) days of the due date, a lien may be imposed on the property pursuant to the provisions of this section.

(d) Non-payment of Costs; Additional Request. If full payment of the costs is not received within sixty (60) days after notice of payment due was sent pursuant to subsection (c) above, an additional request for payment shall be sent to the responsible property owner(s). The notice shall state that the property owner(s) is liable for the payment of the costs indicated on the notice and if payment of such costs is not received within thirty (30) days of the mailing date of this notice, a lien may be imposed on the property pursuant to the provisions of this section.

(e) Lien Proceedings; Notice. If payment is not received within thirty (30) days following mailing of the additional request, the Director shall initiate special assessment lien proceedings pursuant to the provisions of Article XX, Chapter 10 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, by reporting the delinquency to the Board of Supervisors. The entire unpaid balance of the costs, including the penalty on the unpaid balance, shall be made a special assessment lien against the responsible property owner(s) property. Such charges against

delinquent accounts shall be reported to the Board at least once a year. The Director shall also indicate which of such delinquent accounts should be exempted from the lien procedure because of the small amounts involved, or because another procedure is more appropriate.

SEC. 811. LANDMARK TREES.

(a) Designation. With the advice of the Tree Board and following a public hearing, the Director shall adopt criteria for the designation of landmark trees, which criteria shall include consideration of the age, size, shape, species, location, historical association, visual quality, or other contribution to the City's character. Upon the recommendation of the Director, the Board of Supervisors may designate as a "landmark tree" any tree on property under the jurisdiction of the Department meeting the criteria adopted by the Director, or may rescind such designations.

(b) Removal; Special Permit Required. Landmark trees shall be removed only upon a determination of the Director, following a public hearing and upon recommendation of the Tree Board, that the removal is necessary for the safe or reasonable use of property. The Director shall issue criteria governing such determinations.

(c) Removal; Director Authorized to Require Compensation. If the Director determines that removal of a landmark tree is necessary, he may impose such reasonable conditions on the permit for removal as he deems necessary to compensate the public for the loss of the tree, including but not limited to replacement of the tree and/or contribution to the Division's revolving fund for replacing and planting trees.

/ / /

SEC. 812. PUBLIC NOTICE OF PLANTING OR REMOVAL OF STREET TREES BY THE DIVISION; APPEALS TO DIRECTOR.

(a) Division Planting Project. When the Division determines to plant trees in any sidewalk area of the City, not less than fourteen (14) days prior to commencement of the work the Director shall cause to be posted at conspicuous locations along the street notices describing the work to be done. A copy of said notice shall also be mailed to the Tree Board and to each property owner within a radius of 300 feet of the area(s) to be planted. The notices shall depict the identifying mark used by the Division to indicate on the sidewalk the exact location of each proposed tree. Any objections to the proposed work must be submitted within ten (10) days after posting to the Director, who shall where feasible modify the landscaping plan to accommodate any objections without adversely affecting the overall landscaping plan. The Director's decision on the matter shall be final.

(b) Removal of Tree By Division. Except in the case of manifest danger and immediate necessity, as determined by the Director, no street tree shall be cut down or removed by the Division unless twenty-one (21) days' prior written notice is given to the owner of the tree or of the fronting property, or his or her agent, and to the Tree Board. In addition, twenty-one (21) days prior to the removal date, the Division shall post a notice on the tree and in conspicuous locations along the street within one lineal block of the tree. If, within ten (10) days after the giving of such notice, the owner or his or her agent or a member of the public files with the Division his or her written objections to the removal, the Division shall not cut down or remove the tree until the objecting party receives a hearing

before the Director. Written notice of the date, time and place of the hearing shall be sent to both the objecting party and to the Tree Board not less than ten (10) days prior thereto. The Director shall also forward a copy of the written objections to the Tree Board. The Director shall issue his or her written decision and order on the appeal after a public hearing and upon recommendation of the Tree Board. The Director's decision on the matter shall be final.

(c) Notices. Written notices required to be given by this ordinance shall be given by personal delivery or by mailing, either by letter or postal card, postage prepaid, to the last known address as the same appears on the City's most recent assessment rolls.

SEC. 813. PENALTIES FOR VIOLATION OF ORDINANCE.

(a) Violation of any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be chargeable as an infraction or a misdemeanor. Every violation determined to be an infraction is punishable by a fine not exceeding one hundred dollars ($100) for a first violation, two hundred dollars ($200) for a second violation within one year, and five hundred ($500) for each additional violation within one year. Every violation determined to be a misdemeanor is punishable by a fine not exceeding one thousand dollars ($1,000) for each offense.

(b) In addition to the penalty set forth in subsection (a) above, any person who removes, damages, or destroys a tree in violation of the provisions of this Article shall pay a sum of money equal to either the cost of its replacement or the diminishment in its value. Any and all amounts paid or collected pursuant to this subsection shall be deposited into a revolving fund to be used by the Division for replacing and planting trees.

SEC. 814. PROCUREMENT AND RECYCLING OF PLANT MATERIALS.

(a) Contract Nurseries/Growing. In accordance with City purchasing procedures, the Department may establish purchase agreements at commercial nurseries.

11/86 (b) Department of Public Works ~~City~~ Nursery. To assure availability of trees of proper species, size, quality, and acclimatization, the Department may grow and maintain, so far as is feasible, adequate 11/86 stocks of street and landscape trees within the Department of Public Works' ~~City's~~ nursery or nurseries to supply planting activities on public properties and rights-of-way.

(c) Stockpiling. To capture economies of scale, the Department may purchase planting materials in large quantities for use in any planting project on public property and rights-of-way.

(d) Recycling. To the greatest extent economically feasible, the Department shall establish means to recycle the plant trimmings, clippings, cuttings, and other waste generated by its activities and, if economically viable, that generated by other public and private landscape work in the City. Recycled materials not used in the City's own programs may be marketed to other public entities and the general public as compost, soil amendment, mulch, wood chips, wood rounds, firewood, fuel or other salable products. Any funds generated from this effort shall be deposited into a special fund for the Division's planting programs.

SEC. 815. SEVERABILITY. If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Article or any part thereof is for any reason held to be invalid or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or effectiveness of the remaining portions of this Article or any part thereof. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared invalid or ineffective.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By Judith A. Bryajian
Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 21, 1986	July 28, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker
Noes: Supervisors Kennedy	
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Nelder Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

File No. 123-86-1

AUG 5 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FILE NO. 114-86-4 ORDINANCE NO. 319-86

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER I (BUILDING CODE), SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE BY AMENDING ARTICLE 30, SECTIONS 3802(b)8 and 3802(e) CONCERNING AUTOMATIC SPRINKLER SYSTEMS IN HOTELS AND MOTELS; AND AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER XII (HOUSING CODE), SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE BY AMENDING ARTICLE 3, SECTION 309 CONCERNING RETROACTIVE PROVISIONS; AMENDING ARTICLE 9, SECTIONS 903 and 910 CONCERNING AUTOMATIC SPRINKLER SYSTEMS AND SMOKE DETECTION SYSTEMS; AND ADDING SECTION 911 CONCERNING SELF-CLOSING DOORS IN HOTELS AND MOTELS.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Purpose and Intent: The City and County of San Francisco is unique among communities generally in California with respect to its hotels and motels in that, among other things, (1) due to the age of San Francisco itself, many of the City's hotels and motels are of a greater average age than those located in other communities in California, (2) due to its relatively limited geographic area, San Francisco has significantly more hotels and motels per square mile than found in many other communities in California, (3) as does San Francisco itself, the City's hotels and motels reflect a wide range of construction techniques and materials, styles, and features, and therefore San Francisco is presented with a wide range of potential fire safety risks not necessarily found throughout California generally, and (4) San Francisco's hotels and motels are intermingled with the City's residential, commercial, industrial, and other uses to a greater extent than is generally the case in many other communities in California, and this also presents a variety of fire safety risks not necessarily found in other areas of California.

Recognizing the above-stated unique fire safety characteristics of San Francisco as presented by the City's hotels and motels, it is intended to address the potential fire safety risks pertaining thereto by requiring the fire protection measures reflected in this ordinance.

Note: Additions are <u>underlined</u> and deletions are enclosed in [brackets].

Section 2. Part II, Chapter I of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Building Code) is hereby amended by amending Article 38, Section 3802(b)8 to read as follows:

SEC. 3802(b)8. Group R, Dividion 1 Occupancies.

A. In basements, unless separated with 3-hour walls and 2-hour floors into units of 1,500 square feet maximum.

B. In any compartment or room in the building, regardless of floor area, when used for storage, except for closets within dwelling units and guest rooms.

C. At the top of garbage chutes, and at every third floor level below the top in a building of more than three stories.

<u>D. In all public areas of hotels not otherwise required to be sprinklered by this code. For purposes of this section, the term "public areas" shall be defined to include only the following types of areas: (1) lobby areas, (2) ballrooms, (3) meeting rooms (excepting, however, those rooms which are used as meeting rooms on a temporary basis), (4) restaurants and other areas generally used for the purpose of serving food to the public, (5) bars and cocktail lounges, (6) night clubs and similar areas, (7) retail shops and similar areas (excepting, however, those retail shops and similar areas which are separated from the rest of the hotel by a fire rated wall (such wall may contain openings as long as each opening is the equivalent of a one-hour fire rated assembly) and which (a) open directly to the exterior of the building, or (b) open to the exterior of the building through a sprinkler protected corridor not more than 25 feet from the street and having a token sprinkler head on the retail shop side of the opening into such corridor), and (8) corridors connecting any two or more public areas as defined in this section.</u>

Section 3. Part II, Chapter I of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Building Code) is hereby amended by amending Article 38, Section 3802(e) to read as follows:

SEC. 3802(e). Detailed Requirements. 1. Automatic sprinkler systems shall comply with U.B.C. Standard No. 38-1.

EXCEPTIONS: 1. Sprinkler systems requiring a 4-inch or larger water service shall have a dual Fire Department inlet connection. Fire Department inlet connections shall have 3-inch National Standard Fire Department hose threads.

2. The placement of Fire Department connections on domestic water supply lines for combined fire and domestic water service shall be in conformance with the standards of installation and maintenance established by the Fire, Water and

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG 22 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Public Health Departments so as to assure proper function and prevent excessive pressures on the domestic water system and to avoid cross-connections.

3. In existing Group R, Division 1 Occupancies with unenclosed stairways in which an approved partial automatic sprinkler system is to be installed to satisfy the requirements of the Housing Code, the design of the system shall be based on all the following additional design criteria:

A. The computations shall be based upon the most remote locations of sprinkler heads.

B. The sprinkler water service size and riser size shall be determined by adding the total number of sprinkler heads on the floor requiring the greatest number of heads, plus one-half of the total number on the floor with the same or next greatest number of heads, plus the total number of all heads in all open stairwells.

C. The maximum water supply required will be that necessary to supply 13 sprinkler heads.

D. There shall be a minimum pressure of 15 psi at each sprinkler head and delivery shall be a minimum of 22 gpm from each head.

E. Piping and spacing of sprinkler heads shall be based on Ordinary Hazard Schedule of U.B.C. Standard 38-1.

F. The maximum design pressure in the City water main shall be assumed to be 60 psi unless lower actual pressures exist.

4. Sprinkler systems required for exposure protection of openings shall be installed on the interior side, shall use 135° F quick response heads, and shall be designed to the following criteria:

A. In partially sprinklered or non-sprinklered buildings, the system shall consist of a row of 3/8-inch heads at 6 feet maximum spacings, located on a line parallel with, and 18 inches from, the window exposure.

B. In fully sprinklered buildings, an extra first row of 3/8-inch heads shall be placed as in criteria A above, and shall be in addition to the complete sprinkler system of the building. The minimum discharge pressure at

the head shall be 10 psi for up to 11 exposure sprinklers on any one floor, for a maximum of three floors.

2. Long Runs of Pipe. Where there are unusually long runs of pipe or a large number of angles in risers or feed mains, an increase in pipe size over that called for in the schedules may be required to compensate for increased friction losses.

3. Signs. Every building equipped with an automatic sprinkler system and a Fire Department connection shall have a permanent metal sign placed adjacent to the connection indicating the location of the main shut-off valve for the system. For a system without such connection, the sign shall be placed as required by the Fire Department.

4. Any automatic sprinkler system required to be installed pursuant to Section 3802(b)8D, or installed in an existing hotel pursuant to Exception (2) set forth in Section 910 of the Housing Code, may contain any approved materials, equipment, and fixtures, installed in an approved manner.

5. Any automatic sprinkler system required to be equipped with backflow protection may utilize any approved backflow protection device.

Section 4. Part II, Chapter XII of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Housing Code) is hereby amended by amending Article 3, Section 309 to read as follows:

SEC. 309. RETROACTIVE PROVISIONS. The sections and subsections listed herein are retroactive and shall apply to and include existing buildings as defined in Section 203.2 of this Code. Sections 713, 713.A, 713.B and 714 shall be operative one year after date of adoption and Sections 1201 to 1212, inclusive, and 1214 to 1219, inclusive, shall be operative six months after the date of adoption. The retroactive application of Section 910 shall be operative 3 years after the date of adoption, except as provided below.

RETROACTIVE SECTIONS

351 to 351.6 inclusive

401 to 415 inclusive

501 to 508 inclusive

701 to 704 inclusive

801 to 803 inclusive

805 to 808 inclusive

901 to [910] 911 inclusive, as provided below

1001 to 1011 inclusive

1102

1103

Except as otherwise provided below in this section, the retroactive application of Sections 908 and 909 shall be operative on and after July 1, 1979.

Exceptions to the provisions of Sections 903(a) (2) and 910 may be made with respect to any Qualified Historical Building or Structure (as defined in Title 24 California Administrative Code Section 2-418). For such buildings and structures, the Superintendent and the Bureau of Fire Prevention and public Safety may provide for a suitable system of fire protection and life safety as an alternative to the provisions of Sections 903(a) (2) and 910.

For purposes of Sections 903(a) (2), 910 and 911, an "existing hotel" shall be deemed to be any hotel as to which a building permit application for construction of the hotel was approved as of the date of the adoption of the ordinance which adds Sections 903(a) (2) and 911 to this Code. All such existing hotels shall be conformed to the requirements of Sections 903(a) (2), 910, and 911 within 3 years from the date of the adoption of the ordinance which adds Sections 903(a) (2) and 911 to this Code. As to such existing hotels only, the period for compliance with the requirements of Sections 903(a) (2), 910, and 911 may be extended upon showing of good cause for such extension if a systematic and progressive plan of compliance is submitted to the Superintendent and to the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety. Such extension shall not exceed two years from the date of approval of such plan, and any plan of compliance submitted pursuant to this subdivision shall be submitted and approved within 3 years from the date of the adoption of the ordinance which adds Sections

903(a) (2) and 911 to this Code.

Section 5. Part II, Chapter XII of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Housing Code) is hereby amended by amending Article 9, Section 903 to read as follows:

SEC. 903. Automatic Sprinkler System.

(a) Where required. (1) In any apartment house or hotel, any compartment or room in the basement or cellar containing more than 1,800 square feet of floor area, or any compartment or room in such building used for storing or using combustible or flammable materials, shall be equipped with an automatic sprinkler system of a type designed and installed according to the provisions of Article 38 of the Building Code. (2) Automatic sprinkler systems designed and installed according to the provisions of Article 38 of the Building Code shall be furnished and installed in all hotels as required under Section 3802(b)8D of the Building Code.

EXCEPTIONS: 1. Any automatic sprinkler system required by this section to be installed in an existing hotel pursuant to Section 3802(b)8D of the Building Code shall not be required to have an on-site water supply.

2. Any automatic sprinkler system required by this section to be installed in an existing hotel pursuant to Section 3802(b)8D of the Building Code may utilize existing standpipes as approved by the Superintendent and the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety.

3. Any automatic sprinkler system required by this section to be installed in a maid's closet, or similar area, on a floor containing guest rooms in a hotel shall meet the following criteria: (a) Such system may be connected to a domestic water system, regardless of the number of maids' closets, or similar areas, in the hotel, as long as there is a minimum pressure of 15 psi at each sprinkler head; (b) only one sprinkler head need be installed in each such maid's closet, or similar area; and (c) no such system need be connected to the building's sprinkler alarm system.

4. Any automatic sprinkler system required by this section to be installed

in an existing hotel pursuant to Section 3802(b)8D of the Building Code (1) shall, in the event that such existing hotel is already equipped with a supervised automatic sprinkler system, be connected to such other system for purposes of supervision only, or (2) shall, in the event that such existing hotel is not already equipped with a supervised automatic sprinkler system, be connected to a local alarm located at the front desk or other approved location.

(b) Domestic Water System. The sprinkler system in an area having less than 1,800 square feet may be connected to a domestic water system if the water supply and pressure conform to the provisions of Article 38 of the Building Code.

(c) Exceptions. (1) Boiler rooms, central heating rooms and bank vaults are excluded from this Article. (2) Compartments or rooms in the basement or cellar of apartment houses containing four or less dwelling units provided that there are no mattresses, upholstered furniture, or loose storage contained therein.

Section 6. Part II, Chapter XII of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Housing Code) is hereby amended by amending Article 9, Section 910 to read as follows:

SEC. 910. Single-Station Smoke Detector With Alarm Required.

A single-station smoke detector with alarm shall be installed within each dwelling unit and guest room in all group R1 and R3 occupancies within 3 years of the date of adoption of this ordinance[.], except as otherwise provided in Section 309 of this Code. The detector with alarm shall be furnished and installed as required by Section 1210(a) of the San Francisco Building Code. Buildings which are certified by the Superintendent as having installed the smoke and heat detection and alarm systems required under Sections 908 and 909 of this Code and Section 1210(a).1 and 1210(a).2 of the San Francisco Building Code, and buildings which are equipped with an approved automatic sprinkler system installed throughout in accordance with Article 38 of the San Francisco Building Code are exempt from the requirements of this Section. Except as noted below, battery operated detectors are not acceptable. Buildings built prior to July 17, 1977, which have an approved smoke detector connected to the dwelling unit or guest room wiring, may be accepted provided that a licensed electrical

contractor certifies that such detector and alarm in each unit complies with this Section.

EXCEPTIONS: (1) In single-family dwellings, a single-station smoke detector with alarm, energized either by the electrical system of the dwelling unit or by battery power, shall comply with this section. (2) No smoke detector shall be required in a hotel pursuant to this section or Section 1210(a) (or any subsection thereof) of the Building Code with respect to any hotel that is fully sprinklered in accordance with NFPA Recommended Standard No. 101, Life Safety Code. For purposes of this exception, a "fully sprinklered" hotel may include unsprinklered guest room bathrooms which are in excess of 55 square feet in area but which do not contain permanently-installed combustible plastic vanity table or bath-shower fixtures.

Property owners shall supply proof of compliance by following the procedures set forth in Section 1210(a) of the Building Code.

The building owner shall be responsible for the testing and maintenance of the detector after installation. All such testing and maintenance shall be performed in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations.

Section 7. Part II, Chapter XII of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Housing Code) is hereby amended by adding Article 9, Section 911 to read as follows:

SEC. 911. Self-Closing Doors.

Every door between a hotel guest room, community kitichen, or community toilet room and the immediately adjacent corridor on floors containing guest rooms shall be equipped with an approved self-closing, or equivalent, device, which may consist of a spring-loaded hinge.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BEWA

BURK E. DELVENTHAL
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
July 21, 1986	§	July 28, 1986
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker Ward	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Kopp	§	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
114-86-4

AUG 8 1986
Date Approved

Diane Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 34-86-1 ORDINANCE NO. 320-86

(CHANGE SIDEWALK WIDTH)

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 1061 ENTITLED "REGULATING THE WIDTH OF SIDEWALKS" BY ADDING THERETO SECTION 1474 CHANGING THE WIDTH OF SIDEWALK ON THE EAST SIDE OF CHARLES J. BRENHAM PLACE BETWEEN MARKET AND MCALLISTER STREETS.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks", be and is hereby amended in accordance with communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of supervisors, by adding thereto a new Section to be numbered as follows:

Section 1474. The width of sidewalk on the east side of Charles J. Brenham Place between Market and McAllister Streets shall be as shown on the Department of Public Works Plan Q-20-353 on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

The Department of City Planning in its report dated March 25, 1986, stated that the proposed change of the sidewalk width as described above is in conformity with the Master Plan.

The petitioner shall comply with the following condition:

Coordinate with the Bureau of Light, Heat and Power and the Clean Water Program for relocation or adjustment of their facilities in the affected sidewalk areas at no cost to the City.

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

City Engineer

RECOMMENDED:

Director of Public Works

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Deputy City Attorney

APPROVED:

Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 21, 1986	July 28, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Nelder Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 34-86-7

AUG 8 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. [illegible] ORDINANCE NO. [illegible]

(Lease of Property)

AUTHORIZING AND APPROVING LEASE OF THE SKILLS CENTER PORTION OF THE SOUTHEAST COMMUNITY FACILITY TO THE SAN FRANCISCO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT.

WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco has caused the Southeast Community Facility to be constructed as a mitigation measure for the construction of the Southeast Water Pollution Control Facility; and

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Community College District is prepared to expend the funds to provide the staffing, programs and classes, which is a public purpose and will promote the general welfare of the Community in teaching various skills to members of the community; now therefore, be it

ORDAINED, By the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds the leasing of the Skills Center portion of the Community Facility to the San Francisco Community College for the operation of a Skills Center to provide teaching of skills to members of the community in accordance with the terms and conditions of the lease captioned "Community Center Skills Center Lease Agreement" a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of this Board, is for a public purpose and will promote the general welfare of the community.

hereby authorized and directed to execute said lease with the option provision.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By [signature]
Deputy City Attorney

APPROVED:

[signature]
Director of Property

[signature]
Director of Public Works

[signature]
Director of Clean Water Program

[signature]
Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
July 21, 1986	§	July 28, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Nelder Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
Clerk

File No.
65-86-7

AUG 8 1986
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO. 90-86-1 ORDINANCE NO. 322-86

DESIGNATING THE SAN FRANCISCO LAWN BOWLING CLUBHOUSE AND GREENS IN GOLDEN GATE PARK AS A LANDMARK PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 10 OF THE CITY PLANNING CODE.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that the San Francisco Lawn Bowling Clubhouse and Greens located at Golden Gate Park, in Assessor's Block 1700, have a special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value, and that designation as a landmark will further the purposes of, and conform to the standards set forth in Article 10 of the City Planning Code.

(a) Designation. Pursuant to Section 1004 of the City Planning Code, Chapter II, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the San Francisco Lawn Bowling Clubhouse and Greens are hereby designated as a Landmark, this designation having been duly approved by Resolution No. 10656 of the City Planning Commission, which Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 90-86-1.

(b) Required Data. The description of the location and boundaries of the Landmark site, of the characteristics of the Landmark which justify its designation, and of the particular features that should be preserved as included in the said Resolution, are incorporated in the designating ordinance as though fully set forth.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By: Dean Macris
Dean L. Macris
Director of Planning

JHM:pq/0090L

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 21, 1986	July 28, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Kopp	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No. 90-86-1

AUG 8 1986
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

FILE NO. 41-86-21 ORDINANCE NO. 525-86

(Leasing City Property)

AMENDING ARTICLE I OF CHAPTER 10 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING THERETO SECTION 10.6-2, RELATING TO AUDITS BY THE CONTROLLER OF LEASES OF CITY-OWNED REAL PROPERTY ("CITY LEASES"); AMENDING ARTICLE IV OF CHAPTER 23 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE IN THE FOLLOWING PARTICULARS: DELETING EXISTING SECTIONS 23.23, 23.24 AND 23.25; AMENDING SECTION 23.22 TO INCORPORATE THEREIN THE PROVISIONS OF DELETED SECTIONS 23.24 AND 23.25; ADDING NEW SECTION 23.23, RELATING TO ADVISORY REVIEW BY THE DIRECTOR OF PROPERTY OF CERTAIN CITY LEASES; ADDING NEW SECTION 23.24, RELATING TO COMPETITIVE BIDDING FOR CERTAIN CITY LEASES; ADDING NEW SECTION 23.25, RELATING TO PERIODIC REPORTS TO THE BUDGET ANALYST OF ALL LEASES NOT SUBMITTED FOR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' APPROVAL.

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Sec. 10.6-2 is hereby added to the San Francisco Administrative Code to read as follows:

SEC. 10.6-2. CONTROLLER'S AUDIT OF LEASES UNDER WHICH CITY IS LESSOR. Pursuant to Sections 3.301 and 3.303 of the Charter, the Controller is authorized and directed to conduct audits, at regular intervals, of all leases of city-owned real property, except such leases as are made on a month-to-month basis for a rent of five hundred dollars or less per month. The cost of each such audit shall be borne by the department, board or commission that has management and control of, or jurisdiction over, the leased real property. Within 30 days after the completion of each such audit, the Controller shall file reports of the audit with the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors and shall deliver a copy of the report to the department, board or commission that has management and control of, or jurisdiction over, the leased real property. The department, board or commission shall take corrective action to comply with the audit recommendations and shall report to the Controller on the action taken within 45 days of the receipt of the audit report and at the end of each quarter thereafter until the matters disclosed by the audit have been resolved.

Section 2. Article IV of Chapter 23 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

Article IV. Leases When City is Lessor.

Sec. 23.22. AUTHORITY OF DIRECTOR TO LEASE PROPERTY WITH RENTAL VALUE LESS THAN FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS; DETERMINATION OF VALUE.

When any department in charge of city-owned real property requests the Director of Property to lease, on a month-to-month or shorter basis, city-owned land or improvements having a rental value of five hundred dollars or less per month and reports to the Director of Property that the property is not required for the purposes of the department, the director is hereby authorized and directed to lease such property to the highest responsible bidder on a month-to-month or shorter basis. The Director of Property shall determine the rental value of such property and is hereby authorized to execute such leases in behalf of the City and County. Where, in the opinion of the Director of Property, the leasing of such property for landscaping or gardening serves a public purpose, the Director of Property may lease such property at a nominal rental, on a month-to-month basis, and on such other terms and conditions as he may require.

All moneys received as rental from real property leased under this section shall be collected by the Director of Property and deposited in the city treasury to the credit of the proper fund. Within ten days after awarding, the Finance Committee of the Board

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG 22 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

of Supervisors shall be notified as to the terms and conditions of such leases.

The provisions of this section shall not apply to the leasing of City and County Water Department lands for agricultural purposes.

Sec. 23.23 ((Posting of Notice of Proposed Lease.)) ADVISORY REVIEW BY DIRECTOR OF PROPERTY.

((Before awarding a lease under the provisions of this article, the director of property shall post notices for a period of three days advertising the time and place of such proposed leases.))

Any commission, board or department that, under the charter, is given exclusive power to lease real property under its control and management may submit any proposed lease to the Director of Property for review and advisory recommendations and may request the Director of Property to determine property value or market rental rates to aid and assist in negotiating, extending or renewing such leases. It is recommended that all commissions, boards and departments that negotiate and administer such leases submit all proposed leases which have a term, including extension options, of five years or more, or which would produce more than $500,000 in revenue over such term, to the Director of Property for review and advisory recommendations before final approval of any such lease and, as to such leases, request the Director of Property to determine land value or market rental rates, as required, to aid and assist in negotiating, extending or renewing such leases. This recommendation is made in order to provide more uniformity in the City's leasing practices, to increase the financial return to the City from its leases and to avoid unnecessary duplication of effort and expense in the leasing of City real property.

/ / /

/ / /

Sec. 23.24. ((Disposition of Moneys; Notification of Terms of Lease.)) COMPETITIVE BIDDING.

((All moneys received as rental from real property leased under this article shall be collected by the director of property and deposited in the city treasury to the credit of the proper fund. Within ten days after awarding, the public buildings, lands and city planning committee of the board of supervisors shall be notified as to the terms and conditions of such leases.))

To the extent that any ordinance or charter provision gives the City, or any of its commissions, boards or departments, power to award leases without competitive bidding, it is recommended that, notwithstanding such power, all such leases that are expected to produce more than $1500 per month in revenue be awarded to the highest responsible bidder in accordance with competitive bidding procedures, unless such bidding procedures are impractical or impossible.

Sec. 23.25. ((Inapplicability of article.)) LEASE REPORTING.

((The provisions of this article shall not apply to the leasing of any parcels where the rental value is more than five hundred dollars per month nor shall it apply to the leasing of City and County Water Department lands for agricultural purposes.))

Each commission, board and department that is empowered by the charter, City ordinance or state statute to lease City-owned real property shall, within ten days after the close of each quarter of a fiscal year ("fiscal quarter"), file with the Budget Analyst for the Board of Supervisors a written report of all leases of real property awarded during the preceding fiscal quarter which were not submitted for approval by the Board of Supervisors.

/ / /

/ / /

The report shall contain the following information for each lease:

1. Lessee's name.
2. Term of the lease, including any extension options.
3. Rental amount, including, if applicable, percentage rent and rent escalation or adjustment provisions.
4. Location of leased property.
5. If unimproved property, dimensions and area of property.
6. If improved property, description of improvements and floor area of leased space.
7. Use to be made of premises by lessee.

The Budget Analyst shall review each report and, if any lease shall appear to be defective or deficient in any respect or to produce inadequate rental income, shall report that fact to the Board of Supervisors, together with such comments and recommendations as the Budget Analyst shall deem appropriate.

RECOMMENDED:

John C. Farrell
Controller

Wallace Hartman
Director of Property

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By Julian E. Hultgren
Deputy City Attorney

2484:5/9/86

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
July 21, 1986	§	July 28, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Kopp Maher Nelder Renne Silver Walker Ward	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Molinari	§	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Nelder Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 97-86-31

AUG 8 1986
Date Approved

Diane Feinstein
Mayor

AS AMENDED IN BD. 7/21/86

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY AMENDING SECTION 207.2 TO ~~PERMANENTLY~~ PRECLUDE CERTAIN SECOND UNITS IN RH-1(D) AND RH-1 ZONED AREAS.

Additions are underlined; deletions are in double parentheses.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 207.2 to read as follows:

SEC. 207.2. Second Units. (a) Second units, as defined and referred to in Government Code Section 65852.2((c)), are precluded in RH-1(D) and RH-1 zoned areas, except where second units are currently permitted under Section 209.1(m) for units designed for and occupied by senior citizens or physically-handicapped persons((.))and except as may hereafter be permitted by later amendments to this Code governing second units.

(b) ((The following findings are made in order to comply with Government Code Section 65852.2(c).))Government Code Section 65852.2 requires a City to adopt either an ordinance permitting or precluding second units within single-family and multi-family zoned areas or, in the alternative, to be subject to certain restrictions set forth in Government Code Section 65852.2 (b). The provisions of this ordinance, in light of other provisions of the City Planning Code governing second units, do not result in the total preclusion of second units within single-family and multi-family zoned areas and therefore San Francisco has a legislative scheme which complies with Government Code Section 65852.2(a). In the event that it is determined, however, that San Francisco's legislative scheme does not comply with Government Code Section 65852.2(a), the following findings are made with the intent of complying with Government Code Section 65852.2(c).

((3.))1. San Francisco's total land area is approximately 49 square miles and much of this land is not open to development because of topography or public ownership. San Francisco does not have the option open to many other cities of annexing undeveloped land currently outside its border.

2. San Francisco already has higher density development than other cities in California, both in terms of units per square feet of lot area and in terms of units per linear feet of street frontage. ((The average San Francisco lot is twenty-five feet wide with an area of 2,500 square feet.)) The density for housing development in San Francisco ranges from 4,000 square feet of lot area per unit in RH-1(D) (House, One-Family Detached Dwellings) districts to 200 square feet per unit in RM-4 (Mixed Residential, High Density) districts. Except for districts which require a lot width of 33 feet and an area of 4,000 square feet, the minimum lot size for housing development is 2,500 square feet in area, following the standard lot size in San Francisco (25 x 100 square feet), or 1,750 square feet for lots within 125 feet of a corner. This density and lot size requirement allows greater density than other jurisdictions in California where the typical density and lot size is about 5,000 square feet per unit for single family dwellings and 1,500 square feet per unit for multi-family development.

((1.))3. San Francisco is the most densely populated city in California. It is the fourth most densely populated city in the nation following only New York City and two cities in New Jersey (Jersey City and Patterson).

4. The limited land area and the limited developable land area of San Francisco make it difficult to provide sites to replace single-family houses lost through conversion to a higher density. Once single-family homes are converted into multiple dwelling structures by the addition of a second unit, single-family housing stock is eliminated from the existing supply of single-family structures. The irrevocable loss of the limited supply of single-family housing stock throughout the City will adversely affect the health, safety and welfare of San Francisco residents.

5. Single family residences have in recent years been demolished at a faster rate than any other residential structures in the City primarily

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG 22 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

because new multiple unit residential development in the City often occurs as the result of the demolition of single family homes in multiple unit districts. Single family homes were 37 percent of the residential units demolished in 1984, and 61 percent of the residential units demolished in 1983. Single family homes represented an even larger percentage of the residential structures demolished. Single family homes were 86 percent of the residential structures demolished in 1984, and 74.4 percent of the residential structures demolished in 1983.

((5.))6. Single-family structures represent only one-third of all residential structures in San Francisco compared to 60 percent of the residential structures in the State of California. Single-family homes accounted for ((11.6)) 18 percent of the ((net increase in San Francisco)) new housing units in San Francisco in ((1980)) 1984, and ((The 11.6 percent shows a decline from the previous year 1983 in which single- family homes represented 15)) 7 percent of the ((net addition)) new units in 1983. Other jurisdictions in California ((have)) had single-family structures representing ((52 to 80)) approximately 50 percent of their new residential building permits for the same period.

((6.))7. The number of families in San Francisco declined in the years from 1970 to 1980, as evidenced by the school enrollment for the population group under 15 years old. The decline in enrollment was from 106,900 to 83,790 ((in 1980)). The zoning policy of the City and County of San Francisco should encourage families to live in the City rather than encouraging them to leave the City. A further decline in the number of families living in the City is detrimental to the public health, safety and welfare.

((7.))8. The addition of second units to single-family dwellings usually results in an increase in the cost of those dwellings, and, in addition, to the cost of the remaining smaller supply of single-family homes without second units. An increase in the cost of these types of dwellings will discourage families from living in the City because the cost of dwellings most suitable

for families will be beyond the means of many who would otherwise live in the City.

((8.))9. San Francisco will probably face a need for more large units in the future than it did in the past, as the population ages and the new baby boom continues. Many women born between 1945 and 1952 who delayed child-bearing during the 1970's are now having babies at the same rate as women born after 1952.

((9.))10. The addition of second units in single-family houses throughout the City will irrevocably deplete its limited supply of single family homes and discourage families from living in the City by removing the type and size of dwelling units most suitable for families. Many of the residential parcels in the City are less than 2,500 square feet in size or 1,750 square feet for corner lots and do not meet minimum lot size standards. Many of these parcels were developed without required garages or with minimal garage space, and do not comply with existing off-street parking requirements. The addition of second residential units in these areas could only worsen existing congestion.

((10.))11. Parking problems are severe in a number of areas of the City because of its dense population . The addition of second units in such areas will exacerbate the parking problem. Imposing off-street parking requirements on secondary units would only partially alleviate that problem in that additional units cause increased traffic other than that engaged in by the occupants of the units (such as persons visiting the occupants for social or business purposes) as well as by the occupants of the units.

((11.))12. Increased parking problems in areas of the City already burdened with traffic congestion adversely affects the health, safety and welfare of the residents of such areas by interfering with access to off-street parking spaces, requiring additional police services to control traffic problems and unlawful parking, requiring occupants and visitors to park further from their homes (thereby also exposing themselves to greater inconvenience and, in some instances, threat to safety), and interfering with access by

emergency vehicles during an emergency (a problem which is further complicated in areas with narrow streets, winding roads and other topographical features which make access by vehicles difficult).

((12.))13. A need exists in San Francisco for additional affordable housing. Allowing second units in RH-1(D) and RH-1 districts is one means of providing such housing. However, to allow second units without restriction in all areas currently zoned RH-1(D) and RH-1 would adversely affect the health, safety and welfare of the public by permitting the conversion of an undue number of single-family houses to multi-family units; by eliminating low density residential areas in the City and thereby depriving those who desire to live in the City without the stress of living in higher density areas of their opportunity to do so; and by permitting second units to be added in areas where undue traffic congestion and the attendant difficulties described above, will occur.

((13.))14. ~~((A~~ further period of time is needed in order to determine those areas of the City where the traffic congestion problems described above would be least likely to occur and where second units may therefore be permitted without adverse impact to the public.~~))~~

((A study by a professor at the University of California at Berkeley regarding the incidence and physical impacts of second units in RH-1 zoning districts was inconclusive in determining those areas of the City where second units may be permitted without the adverse impacts described above.))

((14.))15. ((A study is being undertaken by Professor Martin Gellen of the University of California regarding the incidence and physical impacts of second units in R-1 zoning districts. This study will provide a basis, either alone or in conjunction with further study by staff of the Department of City Planning, for determining those areas of the City where second units may be added without the adverse impacts described above.))

There are no large districts suitable for the provision of second units, but instead there are small subareas which must be reviewed on a

case-by-case basis with community participation in the review process. A case-by-case review is needed in order to determine those areas of the City where the traffic congestion problems described above would be least likely to occur and where second units may therefore be permitted without adverse impact to the public. Furthermore:

(A) The City Planning Code presently permits a secondary unit in all single-family homes in RH-1(S) (House, One-Family with Minor Second Unit), RH-2 (House, Two-Family) and RH-3 (House, Three-Family) districts no matter what the lot size. Second units in single-family homes are permitted in all other multi-family residential districts (all RM and RC districts), depending on the size of the lot.

(B) The City Planning Code Section 209.1(c) permits the mapping of the RH-1(S) (House, One-Family with Minor Second Unit) district. These RH-1 (S) zoning districts provide for a two-family dwelling with the second dwelling limited to 600 square feet of net floor area. The second unit remains subordinate to the owner's unit and the structures retain the appearance of single-family dwellings. The RH-1(S) zoning district has been mapped in four areas of the City. Additional mapping of the RH-1(S) zoning district may be used to legalize existing secondary units in single-family homes and to increase the number of secondary units.

(C) Dwellings specifically designed for an occupied by senior citizens and handicapped persons are presently permitted at a density ratio or number of dwelling units not exceeding twice the number of dwelling units otherwise permitted as a principal use in the district by the City Planning Code (Section 209.1(m).

((15.))16. Restricting second units in single-family homes in San Francisco's RH-1(D) and RH-1 zoning districts may limit the housing opportunities of the region. However, ((such limitation will only be for a period of up to 18 months and the delay is justified in order to provide the City Planning Commission and its staff time to determine those areas of the

City)) over time, applications for RH-1(S) zoning designation may be reviewed on a case-by-case basis by the City Planning Commission and its staff, the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor and where second units would be appropriate and would not adversely affect the public health, safety and welfare of the residents of the City and County of San Francisco, such rezoning applications would be approved. Neither the provisions of this Section nor those of Government Code Section 65852.2 preclude the City from hereafter amending this Code in order to permit second units in additional situations designed to address specific housing needs and circumstances unique to San Francisco.

((Furthermore:

(((A) The City Planning Code presently permits a secondary unit in all single-family homes in RH-1(S) (House, One-Family with Minor Second Unit), RH-2 (House, Two-Family) and RH-3 (House, Three-Family) districts no matter the lot size. Second units in single-family homes are permitted in all other multi-family residential districts (all RM and RC districts, pending on the size of the lot.

(((B) The City Planning Code Section 209.1(c) permits the mapping of the RH-1(S) (House, One-Family with Minor Second Unit) district. These RH-1(S) zoning districts provide for a two-family dwelling with the second dwelling unit limited to 600 square feet of net floor area. The second unit remains subordinate to the owner's unit and the structures retain the appearance of single-family dwellings. The RH-1(S) zoning district has been mapped in three areas of the City. Additional mapping of the RH-1(S) zoning district may be used to legalized existing secondary units in single-family homes and to increase the number of secondary units.

(((C) Dwelling specifically designed for and occupied by senior citizens and handicapped persons are presently permitted by the City Planning Code Section 209.1(m)).

((The controls of this Section shall be in effect for 18 months from the effective date of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors shall conduct a

hearing regarding this ordinance within 21 months of its effective date.))

17. San Francisco has been and will continue to be a major provider of affordable housing opportunities in the region.

A. Currently (1986) San Francisco administers 6,766 units of public housing and 2,574 Section 8 certificates.

B. Article 34, Section 1 of the California Constitution requires the approval of the electorate as a condition to the development or acquisition of a low rent housing project by the local jurisdiction. San Francisco has met the requirement with the city's voters approving the development of a maximum of 3,000 low income housing units by a vote on Proposition Q on November 2, 1976. Together with the units previously approved, approximately 4,000 low income housing units may be developed, constructed or acquired.

C. Between 1981 and 1985, San Francisco's housing production efforts included, but were not limited to the following:

1. San Francisco undertook a major rezoning of underutilized land which will allow the development of 14,000 housing units. Another 1,700 units is underway on vacant publicly owned sites in the City.

2. San Francisco set aside $10 million in general-fund monies for an Affordable Housing Fund. $6.1 million of this amount is committed to create 443 housing units including the renovation of 82 vacant public housing units into privately managed two and three-bedroom apartments.

3. San Francisco combined $1 million in federal Community Development Funds with the proceeds of an $8 million bond issue to finance home improvement loans for low- and moderate-income homeowners.

4. The Office Housing Production Program (OHPP), under which highrise office developers are required to build or contribute to housing on a formula based on the size of their projects was instituted in 1981. The program has resulted in $25 million and over 3,700 housing units to date.

5. The City of San Francisco has sold $84 million in two bond issues since 1982 to provide 30-year, 10 3/4% mortgages to some 900 low- to

middle-income first-time homebuyers. In addition a $42 million bond issue was sold to finance up to 400 homes with 9.8% mortgages. In June, 1985 the City sold $44 million in mortgage revenue bonds to finance the construction of 563 units of rental housing on five sites.

D. Between 1980 and mid-1985 community based non-profit organizations which receive Community Development Block Grant funding built 1,166 new housing units for low and moderate income households. At the time of the 1985 report on their activities they had 200 units under construction, and 426 units planned. During this same time the organizations rehabilitated 1,780 units for lower income households, had 426 units undergoing rehabilitation, and had plans to rehabilitate 1,285 units.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By Paula Jesson
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By Dean L. Macris
Dean L. Macris
Director of Planning

0054C
6/6/86

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 21, 1986	July 28, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Molinari Nelder Renne Walker
	Noes: Supervisors Maher Silver
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
115-86-21

AUG 8 1986
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 116-86-1 ORDINANCE NO. 325-86

(Electrical Sales Permit Fee)

ORDINANCE AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER III OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (ELECTRICAL CODE) BY AMENDING SECTION 90-80, ELECTRICAL SALES PERMIT FEE, RELATING TO FEES CHARGED FOR THE INSPECTION OF ELECTRICAL PRODUCTS SOLD OR INSTALLED; DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS PROVIDING FOR A CHANGE IN THE FEE SCHEDULE.

NOTE: Additions and substitutions are indicated by underlining; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco that:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter III of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Electrical Code) is hereby amended, by amending Section 90-80 thereto, to read as follows:

SEC. 90-80. ELECTRICAL SALES PERMIT FEE.

Every person, firm, corporation or entity before selling, offering for sale, advertising, or displaying for sale, or disposing of by way of gift, loan, rental, lease or premium, or installing for use any electrical material or device which may be operated or energized in whole or in part by electricity shall pay an annual fee based on the total value of the inventory of electrical products on the premises at any time. The fee for said permit shall be fifty dollars ($50.00).

((Class A. Electrical Product Inventory $300 or more

Fee: $50.00

Class B. Electrical Product Inventory under $300

Fee: $35.00))

Exceptions: No Electrical Sales Permit Fee shall be charged:

(1) Persons, firms or corporations selling light globes but no other electrical devices.

(2) Persons selling electrical material designed for and to be used exclusively on automotive transport vehicles, and who sell no other electrical material.

(3) State licensed electrical contractors who install electrical systems or materials under electrical permit and who do not sell electrical products to consumers.

(4) Persons, firms or corporations with an electrical product inventory under three hundred dollars ($300.00).

The Electrical Sales Permit Fee shall be payable annually in advance on the first day of July of each year, payable to and collected by the Central Permit Bureau. If said fee is not paid within 30 days after it becomes due and payable as herein provided, 100 percent of the amount thereof shall be added thereto as a penalty for nonpayment. If said fee, plus penalty, is not paid within 60 days from the date due, Section 90-35 shall apply. The fees provided for in this section shall cover inspections made by employees of the Electrical Inspection Division. The Director shall issue a permit to said applicant which permit shall be serially numbered, and delivered to the permittee upon the payment of the Electrical Sales Permit Fee due, and shall remain in effect until June 30 unless revoked by the Director.

An Electrical Sales Permit, when issued, shall at all times be displayed in the premises for which the permit is issued, and

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG 22 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

shall be displayed in such a manner that said permit shall at all times be visible to the public.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
July 21, 1986	§	July 28, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker Ward	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher	§	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Nelder Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 116-86-1

AUG 8 1986

Date Approved

Mayor

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE
6/13/86

FILE NO. 311-86-2 ORDINANCE NO. 326-86

(Yerba Buena)
(Vacation of Streets, additional subsurface area)

ORDERING VACATION OF AN ADDITIONAL PORTION OF SUBSURFACE AREA OF MISSION STREET IN THE YERBA BUENA CENTER REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. On June 23, 1986, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 579-86, approved by the Mayor on July 3, 1986, being a Resolution of a Declaration of Intention to order the vacation of an additional portion of the subsurface area of Mission Street in the Yerba Buena Center Redevelopment Project Area bounded by Market, Howard, Fourth and New Montgomery Streets, as shown on the Department of Public Works SUR-786 copy of which is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors did transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of said Resolution, and the Director of said Department did cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notice of passage of said Resolution.

Section 3. When said matter was considered as scheduled by the Board of Supervisors at its regular meeting held in the City Hall, San Francisco, on July 21, 1986, beginning at 3:00 P.M., said Board heard all persons interested in said vacation.

Section 4. The public interest and convenience, and the City's long range plan for the redevelopment of this area, requires the vacation to be done as declared in said Resolution No. 579-86.

Section 5. The Department of City Planning reported that said vacation was in conformity with the Master Plan.

Section 6. The Board of Supervisors has acquired jurisdiction to order said vacation subject to the conditions set forth in said Resolution of Intention to order said vacation, and transfer said subsurface street area in fee title to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency for the stated purposes of developing, redeveloping and improving of said Central Blocks of the Yerba Buena Center Redevelopment Project by said Agency.

Section 7. Redevelopment Agency shall satisfy the requirements of the Clean Water Program in accordance with the requirements specified in Resolution No. 579-86.

Section 8. Said vacation shall not become effective until the appropriate quitclaim deed from the City to said Agency is recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

6/13/86

Section 9. The said subsurface area as shown and specified on said Department of Public Works Plan SUR-786, excepting an easement for the 96" diameter North Point Sewer Main, also as shown and specified on said Department of Public Works Plan SUR-786, are hereby ordered vacated.

Section 10. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, be and are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver to the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco a quitclaim deed to the aforesaid subsurface area.

Section 11. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit to the Recorder, the Director of Property, and the Director of Public Works a certified copy of this Ordinance, and the Recorder, the Director of Property, and the Director of Public Works are hereby directed to proceed thereafter as required by law.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

RECOMMENDED:

Donald Lyon
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

City Engineer

Wallace Hartman
Director of Property

APPROVED:

Chief Administrative Officer

APPROVED TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
July 21, 1986	§	July 28, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker Ward	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto	§	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Nelder Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No.
311-86-2

AUG 8 1986
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-47 ORDINANCE NO. 327-86

RO #6555

[Settlement of Lawsuit]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF ATTORNEYS' FEES CLAIM IN THE CHRISTOPHER T. ET AL. v. SAN FRANCISCO ~~UNITED~~ UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, ET AL. BY PAYMENT OF $155,000 BY THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

K. 23/86

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the attorneys' fees claim by plaintiffs' counsel in Christopher T. et al. v. San Francisco Unified School District, et al., No. 80-4466 WHO, by payment by the City and County of San Francisco of $155,000 as part of an overall attorneys' fees settlement of $470,000.

RECOMMENDED BY AND APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney:

CRAIG M. McCABE
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER
01 001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $155,000.00

JUL 21 1986

5775e/hm

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
July 28, 1986	§	August 4, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Nelder Renne Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-86-47

8/11/86
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 36-86-6 ORDINANCE NO. 328-86

(Zoning Change, 86.48EZ)

ADOPTING CHANGES IN PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 850 BRYANT STREET, NORTH SIDE BETWEEN SEVENTH AND HARRIET STREETS, A PORTION OF LOT 42 IN ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 3759, FROM A 105K HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT TO A 128K HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT.

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 302(c) of the City Planning Code, Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the following change in property use classification, duly approved by resolution of the City Planning Commission, is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco.

Description of Property	Use District to be Superseded	Use District Hereby Approved
Beginning at a point which is the intersection of a line which is parallel to and 67.66 feet northwesterly from the center line of Bryant Street and a line which is parallel to and 91.5 feet northeasterly from the center line of Seventh Street; thence from said point northwesterly along said line which is parallel to Seventh Street for a distance of 103 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly for a distance of 138 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly for a distance of 103 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly along said line which is parallel to Bryant Street for a distance of 138 feet; being a portion of Lot 42 in Assessor's Block 3759.	105K Height and Bulk	128K Height and Bulk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
Dean L. Macris
Director of Planning

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 28, 1986	August 4, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Walker Ward	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No. 36-86-6

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

FILE NO. 36-86-7 ORDINANCE NO. 329-86

(Zoning Change, 86.48EZ)

ADOPTING CHANGES IN PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 850 BRYANT STREET, NORTH SIDE BETWEEN SEVENTH AND HARRIET STREETS, A PORTION OF LOT 42 IN ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 3759, FROM A 105K HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT TO A 118K HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT.

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 302(c) of the City Planning Code, Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the following change in property use classification, duly approved by resolution of the City Planning Commission, is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco.

Description of Property	Use District to be Superseded	Use District Hereby Approved
Beginning at a point which is the intersection of a line which is parallel to and 67.66 feet northwesterly from the centerline of Bryant Street and a line which is parallel to and 229.5 feet northeasterly of Seventh Street; thence along said line which is parallel to Seventh Street northwesterly for a distance of 103.00 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly for a distance of 211.91 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly for a distance of 77.91 feet more or less; thence at a right angle southwesterly for a distance of 23.58 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly for a distance of 121.83 feet more or less; thence at a right angle northeasterly for a distance of 187.00 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly for a distance of 302.75 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly for a distance of 375.33 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lot 42 in Assessor's Block 3759.	105K Height and Bulk	118K Height and Bulk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By Paula Jesson
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By
Dean L. Macris
Director of Planning

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
July 28, 1986	§	August 4, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Walker Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 36-86-7

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 36-86-8 ORDINANCE NO. 330-86

(Zoning Change, 85.430EZ)

ADOPTING CHANGES IN PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 2912 THROUGH 2966 CALIFORNIA STREET, LOTS 8 THROUGH 11 AND 31 IN ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 1024 FROM AN RH-2 (HOUSE, TWO-FAMILY) DISTRICT TO AN RM-1 (MIXED RESIDENTIAL, LOW DENSITY) DISTRICT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to resolution of the Board of Supervisors, adopting the final negative declaration as its own, and pursuant to Section 302(c) of the City Planning Code, the following change in property use classification, duly approved by resolution of the City Planning Commission, is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco.

Description of Property	Use District to be Superseded	Use District Hereby Approved
Beginning at a point on the northerly line of California Street, distant thereon 135 feet westerly from the westerly line of Broderick Street; running thence westerly along said line of California Street 155 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 132.594 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 155 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 132.594 feet to the northerly line of California Street and the point of beginning; being all of Lots 8, 9, 10, 11 and 31 in Assessor's Block 1024; and adjacent street areas to their centerline.	RH-2 (House, Two-Family)	RM-1 (Mixed Residential, Low Density)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By Paula Jesson
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By Dean L. Macris
Dean L. Macris
Director of Planning

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
July 28, 1986	§	August 4, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Walker Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Joseph Taylor
Clerk

File No. 36-86-8

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 36-86-9.2 ORDINANCE NO. 331-86

(Zoning Change, 84.197EZ)

ADOPTING CHANGES IN PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON PROPERTY LOCATED ON A PORTION OF HUNTER'S POINT NAVAL SHIPYARD, NORTH SIDE OF CRISP AVENUE, ALL OF BLOCKS 275 AND 276 PLUS PORTIONS OF EARL STREET, McKINNON AVENUE, DONAHUE STREET AND CRISP AVENUE AS SHOWN ON THE "MAP OF SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO HOMESTEAD AND RAILROAD ASSOCIATION" FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE RECORDER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON APRIL 15, 1867 IN BOOKS 2A AND B OF MAPS ON PAGE 39 AND ALSO BEING A PORTION OF LOT 6 IN ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 4591A, FROM A P (PUBLIC USE) DISTRICT TO AN M-1 (LIGHT INDUSTRIAL) DISTRICT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to resolution of the Board of Supervisors adopting the final negative declaration as its own, and pursuant to Section 302(c) of the City Planning Code, Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the following change in property use classification, duly approved by resolution of the City Planning Commission, is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco.

Description of Property	Use District to be Superseded	Use District Hereby Approved
All that real property situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California,	P (Public Use)	M-1 (Light Industrial)

being a portion of the lands of the United States of America, known as Hunter's Point Naval Shipyard, being all of Block 275 and a portion of Block 276, and portions of Earl Street, McKinnon Avenue, Donahue Street, and Crisp Avenue. Said blocks, streets and avenues are shown on that certain map entitled "Map of South San Francisco Homestead and Railroad Association" filed in the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on April 15, 1867, in Book 2A and B of Maps on Page 39, and also being a portion of Lot 6 in Assessor's Block 4591A. More particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of centerlines of Earl Street and McKinnon Avenue, being the most northerly corner of parcel herewith described, proceed South 54° 28' 21" East, 688.93 feet along said centerline of McKinnon Avenue to a Point on a line

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

parallel with and distant 7.00 feet from the southeasterly line of Donahue Street; thence South 35° 31' 39" West, 17.12 feet; thence South 24° 37' 25" West, 174.85 feet; thence North 65° 22' 35" West 727.00 feet; thence North 24° 37' 25" East 158.00 feet; thence South 65° 22' 35" East, 22.16 feet; thence North 35° 31' 39" East 167.00 feet to the point of beginning; being all of Assessor's Blocks 275 and 276 as shown on the "Map of South San Francisco Homestead and Railroad Association" filed in the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco on April 15, 1867 in Books 2A and B of Maps on page 39, and also being a portion of Lot 6 in Assessor's Block 4591A.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By Dean L. Macris
Dean L. Macris
Director of Planning

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

July 28, 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Ward

Finally Passed

August 4, 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Renne Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No. 36-86-9.2

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-27

ORDINANCE NO. 332-86

RO #6528

[Settlement of Lawsuit]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF MARK PATRAS AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $75,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "RUTH PATRAS, as Guardian Ad Litem for MARK PATRAS, a minor, v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," Superior Court No. 796-273 by the payment of $75,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By: JOHN A. ETCHEVERS
Chief Trial Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

DAVID WERDEGAR, Director
Department of Public Health

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

Controller
01 001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $75,000.00

JUL 08 1986

APPROVED:

HEALTH COMMISSION

Secretary

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
July 28, 1986	§	August 4, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Nelder Renne Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
45-86-27

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-28

ORDINANCE NO. 333-86

RO #6525

[Settlement of Lawsuit]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF PABLO BARRERA AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $500,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "BOBBI GOTAJ, as Guardian Ad Litem of PABLO BARRERA, an incompetent, v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," Superior Court No. 815-484 by the payment of $500,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By: JOHN A. ETCHEVERS
Chief Trial Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

DAVID WERDEGAR, M.D.
Director
Department of Public Health

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
Controller

01-001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $500,000.00

JUL 08 1986

APPROVED:

HEALTH COMMISSION

Secretary

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 28, 1986	August 4, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Nelder Renne Ward	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-86-28

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-29 ORDINANCE NO. 334-86

RO #6540

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF GEAN ESFANDIARY AGAINST CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $13,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "GEAN ESFANDIARY v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," Superior Court No. 838-987, by the payment of $13,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By: KEVIN M. O'DONNELL
Assistant Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE DEPARTMENT

By: EMMET CONDON, Chief
San Francisco Fire Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C. Farrell
Controller

1 001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $13,000.00

JUL 07 1986

APPROVED:
FIRE COMMISSION

Secretary

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
July 28, 1986	§	August 4, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Nelder Renne Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-86-29

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-30

ORDINANCE NO. 335-86

RO #6536

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF LARRY WASSERMAN, ET AL. AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ET AL., FOR $19,722.04.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. the City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "LARRY WASSERMAN, et al. vs. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.,"Municipal Court No. 910-431 by the payment of $19,722.04.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By: KATHLEEN A. FOLEY
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE DEPARTMENT

EMMET D. CONDON, Chief
San Francisco Fire Department

APPROVED:

FIRE COMMISSION

Secretary

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

Controller
01 001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $19,722.04
JUL 07 1986

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
July 28, 1986	§	August 4, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Nelder Renne Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-86-30

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-31

ORDINANCE NO. 336-86

RO #6533

[SETTLEMENT OF UNLITIGATED CLAIM]
AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF THE CLAIM OF DAVID FAN AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $10,500.00

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the claim of DAVID FAN by the payment of $10,500.00

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By _____
JOHN A. ETCHEVERS
Chief Trial Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE DEPARTMENT

_____
EMMET CONDON, Chief
San Francisco Fire Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____
Controller
00 001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $10,500.00
JUL 07 1986

APPROVED:

FIRE COMMISSION

_____
Secretary

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
July 28, 1986	§	August 4, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Nelder Renne Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No.
45-86-31

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-32

ORDINANCE NO. 337-86

RO #6532

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF RICHARD A. CODER AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ET AL., FOR $50,000.00

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "RICHARD A. CODER V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," Superior Court No. 828-957, by the payment of $50,000.00

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By
JOHN A. ETCHEVERS
Chief Trial Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

By
DONALD J. BARKER
Director

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
Controller

01 001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $50,000.00

JUL 07 1986

APPROVED:

Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
July 28, 1986	§	August 4, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Nelder Renne Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-86-32

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45 86 33

ORDINANCE NO. 338-86

RO #6531

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF BERNADETTE DUFFY AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $15,000.00

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "BERNADETTE DUFFY V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," Superior Court No. 837-363, by the payment of $15,000.00

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By Kathleen A. Foley
KATHLEEN FOLEY
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C. Farrell
Controller
01 001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $15,000.00
JUL 07 1986

RECOMMENDED:

RECREATION AND PARK DEPARTMENT

By Mary E. Burns
MARY BURNS
Director

APPROVED:
RECREATION & PARK COMMISSION

Shawn Lane Roy
Secretary

7830E

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
July 28, 1986	§	August 4, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Nelder Renne Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-86-33

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-34

ORDINANCE NO. 339-86

RO #6530

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF WADE FREEDMAN AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $185,000.00

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "WADE FREEDMAN v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.", Superior Court No. 816-804, by the payment of $185,000.00 ($92,500.00 to be paid by Municipal Railway and $92,500.00 to be paid by Department of Public Works).

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By _____
JOHN ETCHEVERS
Chief Trial Deputy

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

01-001 509138 1450 $92,500 97 09 00
31-001 560946 " " 35 01 00

JUL 07 1986

5876E/15

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL RAILWAY

By _____
RUDY NOTHENBERG
Director
Department of Public Utilities

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

By _____
DONALD G. BIRRER
Director
Department of Public Works

APPROVED:

_____
Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
July 28, 1986	§	August 4, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Nelder Renne Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No.
45-86-34

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-35

ORDINANCE NO. 340-86

RO #6529

(SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT)

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF MARIA SCIONEAUX AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $38,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "MARIA SCIONEAUX v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," Superior Court No. 842-041, by the payment of $38,000.00.

/ / / /

/ / / /

/ / / /

/ / / /

/ / / /

/ / / /

/ / / /

/ / / /

/ / / /

/ / / /

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By: Kathleen Foley
KATHLEEN FOLEY
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

DONALD J. BIRRER
Director of Public Works and Clean Water Program

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER
01 001 97 09 00
909138 1450 $38,000.00

JUL 07 1986

APPROVED:

By:
ROGER BOAS
Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 28, 1986	August 4, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Nelder Renne Ward	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-86-35

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-36 ORDINANCE NO. 341-86

RO #6526

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF SASHA KOENIGSBERG AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $8,500.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to settle Superior Court Action No. 817-907 "Sasha Koenigsberg v. City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco Zoological Society, etc. et al." by the payment of $8,500.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By Joshua D. Milstein
JOSHUA D. MILSTEIN
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
Controller
01 001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $8,500.00
JUL 07 1986

RECOMMENDED:

RECREATION AND PARKS DEPARTMENT

By Mary E. Burns
Mary Burns
General Manager

APPROVED:

RECREATION AND PARKS COMMISSION

By Shawn Kern Rosa
Secretary

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 28, 1986	August 4, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Nelder Renne Ward	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No. 45-86-36

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-37 ORDINANCE NO. 342-86

BO #6527

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF JOHN JOHNSON AGAINST CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $50,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "JOHN JOHNSON v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," Superior Court No. 796-213, by the payment of $50,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By: JOHN ETCHEVERS
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

By: FRANK JORDAN, Chief
San Francisco Police

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
Controller
01 001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $50,000.00

JUL 07 1986

APPROVED:
POLICE COMMISSION:

Willie E. Frazier
Secretary

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 28, 1986	August 4, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Nelder Renne Ward	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-86-37

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-38

ORDINANCE NO. 343-86

RO #6539

[Settlement of Lawsuit]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF GEORGE READER AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $72,500.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "GEORGE READER v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," Superior Court No. 791-718 by the payment of $72,500.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By: JOHN A. ETCHEVERS
Chief Trial Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

FRANK JORDAN, Chief
San Francisco
Police Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER

01 001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $72,500.00

JUL 07 1986

APPROVED:

POLICE COMMISSION

SECRETARY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
July 28, 1986	§	August 4, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Nelder Renne Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
45-86-38

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-39 ORDINANCE NO. 344-86

RO #6538

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF LEVIT RUBIO CHAVEZ AGAINST CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $16,500.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "LEVIT RUBIO CHAVEZ v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," Superior Court No. 823-708, by the payment of $16,500.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By: KEVIN M. O'DONNELL
Assistant Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

By: FRANK JORDAN, Chief
San Francisco Police

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
Controller
01 001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $16,500.00
JUL 07 1986

APPROVED:
POLICE COMMISSION:

Willie E. Frazier
Secretary

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 28, 1986	August 4, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Nelder Renne Ward	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 45-86-39

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 90-86-2 ORDINANCE NO. 345-86

(Landmarks)

DESIGNATING THE THEODORE GREEN APOTHECARY AT 500-502 DIVISADERO STREET AS A LANDMARK PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 10 OF THE CITY PLANNING CODE.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that the Theodore Green Apothecary located at 500-502 Divisadero Street, Lot 17A in Assessor's Block 1203, has a special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value, and that its designation as a landmark will further the purposes of, and conform to the standards set forth in Article 10 of the City Planning Code.

(a) Designation. Pursuant to Section 1004 of the City Planning Code, Chapter II, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the Theodore Green Apothecary is hereby designated as a Landmark, this designation having been duly approved by Resolution No. 10686 of the City Planning Commission, which Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 90-86-2.

(b) Required Data. The description of the location and boundaries of the Landmark site, of the characteristics of the Landmark which justify its designation, and of the particular features that should be preserved as included in the said Resolution, are incorporated in this designating ordinance as though fully set forth.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY

By [signature]
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By Dean L. Macris
Dean L. Macris
Director of Planning

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
July 28, 1986	§	August 4, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Ward
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Renne Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
Clerk

File No. 90-86-2

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO. 97-86-34 ORDINANCE NO. 346-86

[Revolving Fund]

AMENDING SECTION 10.149 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE TO INCREASE THE REVOLVING FUND OF THE MUNICIPAL COURT FROM $200 TO $500.

(Note: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).)

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section I. Section 10.149 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

Sec. 10.149 CASH REVOLVING FUNDS - MUNICIPAL COURT; (($200)) $500.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

George Agnost, City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 28, 1986	August 4, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Walker Ward	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No. 97-86-34

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

FILE NO. 115-86-3

ORDINANCE NO. 347-86

AS AMENDED IN BD. 7/28/86

(Fast Food Establishments)

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER V OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (HEALTH CODE) BY ADDING SECTIONS 468 TO 468.8 THERETO, PROVIDING FOR THE DISCLOSURE OF INGREDIENT AND NUTRITION INFORMATION OF FOOD SOLD IN FAST FOOD ESTABLISHMENTS.

NOTE: Additions are not underlined; all sections are new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter V of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Health Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 468 through Section 468.9 thereto.

SEC. 468 POLICY. It is the policy of the City and County of San Francisco to require fast food establishments to make readily available to their customers in San Francisco ingredient and nutrition information regarding the products on their menus.

SEC. 468.1 FINDINGS. The Board of Supervisors finds that major cardiovascular diseases are responsible for more than one million deaths in the United States each year. As a result, almost one out of every two Americans die of cardiovascular diseases. In 1984, nearly 50 percent of all deaths in San Francisco were from heart disease, stroke and related disorders. It has been estimated that coronary heart disease costs the United States over $60 billion a year in direct and indirect costs.

There is increasing evidence of a relationship between diet and many of the leading causes of death in the United States. A number of risk factors have been identified as strongly associated with coronary heart disease. High blood pressure and high blood

///

cholesterol are two of the most clearly established of these factors.

A large body of evidence reveals that the blood cholesterol level of most Americans is undesirably high, in large part because of our high dietary intake of calories, saturated fat, and cholesterol. In countries with diets lower in these constituents, blood cholesterol levels are lower, and coronary heart disease is less common. There is no doubt that appropriate changes in the American diet will reduce blood cholesterol levels.

The $47 billion fast food industry provides a sizeable and growing portion of the American diet. Studies indicate that Americans eat out often and that younger groups eat more often at fast food places. A Gallup study over a three-year period (1978 to 1980) revealed that 30 to 42 percent of those polled had eaten out the day before. Twenty-eight percent ate at fast food establishments. Other studies have shown that one out of every five working women eat at a fast food establishment four times a week. It is apparent that fast foods comprise a significant portion of the diet of many Americans, San Franciscans included.

Recent studies have shown that some fast food establishments use highly saturated fats, such as beef tallow, in the preparation of fried foods. Fast foods can supply more calories than needed from one meal. Sodium levels may be high, which is of special concern for people on sodium restricted diets. Various studies have noted the high fat and sugar content of some fast foods, and the low levels of vitamins A and C in many fast foods. Further, the majority of fast food establishments provide few vegetables, fruits and whole grain products on their menus.

///

///

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

When it comes to selecting a nutritious meal, most fast food diners are provided little, if any, information regarding foods on the menu. Requiring ingredient and nutrition disclosure would enable consumers, particularly consumers with histories of heart disease, stroke, or allergies, to make informed choices concerning their eating habits, choices more consistent with their long term health.

Most fast food establishments, unlike many local, non-franchised restaurants, are not capable of changing their menus on a daily basis. In fact, they are more like small, decentralized food manufacturing facilities than restaurants. Each franchise in a chain uses the same ingredients to make the same standardized product. The variety of food is limited and most foods come in ~~some form of~~ standardized containers or wrappers that could easily bear ingredient and nutrition labels. Section 343(i) of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act requires ingredient information to be included on the labels of packaged food. Moreover, in a statement of policy issued December 3, 1985 regarding the disclosure of ingredients in restaurant-served foods, the United States Department of Agriculture went on record supporting state and local efforts to require accurate descriptions of food served in, among other places, fast food establishments.

Therefore, the Board of Supervisors declares that it is in the public interest to require fast food establishments to make readily available to their customers in San Francisco ingredient and nutrition information regarding the products on their menus.

SEC. 468.2 DEFINITIONS.

(a) "Color additive" shall mean a substance which satisfies both of the following requirements:

(1) It is a dye, pigment, or other substance which is made by a process of synthesis or similar artifice, or which is extracted, isolated or otherwise derived, with or without intermediate or final change or identity, from a vegetable, animal, mineral, or other source.

(2) When added or applied to a food, it is capable, alone or through reaction with any other substance, of imparting color to the food to which it is added or applied.

The term "color additive" does not include any material which is used, or intended to be used, solely for a purpose or purposes other than coloring.

The term "color," as used in this section, includes black, white, and intermediate grays.

(b) "Director" shall mean the Director of Health, or his designated agents or representatives.

(c) "Fast Food Establishment" shall mean a restaurant where ready to eat cooked food and beverages, primarily served in or on disposable wrappers, packages, or containers, for consumption on or off the premises, is offered for retail sale, and which is part of a chain of 10 or more franchised restaurants. This definition is not applicable to bakeries, or to food operations which are an incidental part of a grocery or a supermarket business.

(d) "Food" shall mean any article intended for use for food, drink, confection, condiment, or chewing gum, or any article which is used or integrated for use as a component of any such article.

(e) "Food Additive" shall mean any substance, the intended use of which results or may reasonably be expected to result, directly or indirectly, in such substance becoming a component of the food or otherwise affecting the characteristics of the food.

This includes any substance or radiation source which is intended for use in producing, manufacturing, packing, treating, packaging, transporting, or holding any food.

The term "food additive" does not include any of the following:

(1) A pesticide chemical in or on a raw agricultural commodity.

(2) A pesticide chemical that is used, or intended for use, in the production, storage, or transportation of any raw agricultural commodity.

(3) A color additive.

(4) Any substance which is used in accordance with a sanction or approval granted prior to the enactment of the Food Additives Amendment of 1958 (72 Stat. 1784), pursuant to the federal act; the Poultry Products Inspection Act (71 Stat. 441; 21 U.S.C., Sec. 451 et seq.); the Meat Inspection Act of March 4, 1907 (34 Stat. 1260) as amended and extended (21 U.S.C., Sec. 71 et seq.); or the Food and Agricultural Code of this state.

(f) "Federal Act" shall mean the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, as amended (52 Stat. 1040; 21 U.S.C., Sec. 301 et seq.).

(g) "Label" shall mean a display of written, printed, or graphic matter upon a food or upon its package.

(h) "Labeling" shall mean any label or other written, printed or graphic matter upon a food or upon its package.

(i) "Package" shall mean any container or wrapper for enclosing or containing any food product.

SEC. 468.3 INGREDIENT INFORMATION REQUIRED TO BE DISCLOSED.

(a) The ingredient information relating to a packaged food sold for consumption by customers of fast food establishments

must be included on the label of the package, or on any of the alternative disclosure media provided for in Section 468.5. The information must include ingredients, cooking medium, spices, flavorings, colorings, artificial flavorings, artificial colorings, and chemical preservatives. The ingredient information shall consist of the following items:

(1) A heading titled "Ingredient Information".

(2) The common or usual name of each ingredient of the food, in descending order of predominance by weight.

(3) The cooking medium.

(4) Spices, flavorings, and colorings may be designated as spices, flavorings, and colorings without naming each.

(5) Artificial flavorings, artificial colorings, and chemical preservatives must be designated as such.

(6) Any other food additives, or color additives. FD&C Yellow No. 5 must be specifically declared.

(b) This section does not require that any trade secret be divulged.

(c) Customers will be able to obtain ingredient information without the necessity of purchasing food

SEC. 468.4 NUTRITION INFORMATION REQUIRED TO BE DISCLOSED.

(a) The nutrition information relating to a packaged food sold for consumption by customers of fast food establishments must be included on the label of the package, or on any of the alternative disclosure media provided for in Section 468.5. The information must include calories, protein, carbohydrates, total fat, saturated fat, polyunsaturated fat, cholesterol, sodium, vitamins and minerals. The nutrition information shall consist of the following items:

/ / / / /

(1) A heading titled "Nutrition Information Per Serving or equivalent heading acceptable to the Department of Public Health ."

(2) A statement of the serving size.

(3) A statement of the number of servings per container.

(4) Caloric content shall be expressed to the nearest 5-calorie increment per serving.

(5) Protein, carbohydrates, total fat, saturated fat, and polyunsaturated fat shall be expressed to the nearest gram per serving.

(6) Cholesterol and sodium shall be expressed to the nearest milligram per serving.

(7) In the case of vitamins and minerals, information regarding vitamin A, vitamin C, thiamine, riboflavin, niacin, calcium, and iron must be expressed in percentages of the U.S. RDA as derived from the Recommended Dietary Allowances of the National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council, by the Food and Drug Administration, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

(b) Customers will be able to obtain nutrition information without the necessity of purchasing food.

SEC. 468.5 ALTERNATIVE DISCLOSURE MEDIA. Information required by Sections 468.3 and 468.4 must be disclosed either (a) on the label of packaged food sold for consumption by customers of fast food establishments, or (b) on a notice displayed in a conspicuous place and readily visible to customers at the point of sale, or (c) through the provision of menu notices, or (d) brochures, made available to customers in a conspicuous place readily available to said customers or (e) tray liners.

7/28/86
al

SEC. 468.6 CONSPICUOUSNESS AND TERMS OF INFORMATION REQUIRED TO BE DISCLOSED.

(a) Information required by Sections 468.3 and 468.4 must be prominently placed upon the label, or other disclosure media as provided for in Section 468.5, with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs and in such terms as to render it likely to be read and understood by the ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase and use.

(b) If the information required by Sections 468.3 and 468.4 is disclosed on a label, the information must appear on the outside container or wrapper of the retail package, or be easily legible through the outside container or wrapper.

SEC. 468.7 PENALTIES AND ENFORCEMENT.

(a) The Director of Public Health shall enforce Sections 468 through 468.6 against violations by serving notice requiring the correction of any violation within a reasonable time specified by the Director. If no response to the notice is received within the specified time period, the Director shall call upon the City Attorney to maintain an action for injunction to enforce the provisions of Sections 468 through 468.6, to cause the correction of any such violation, and for assessment and recovery of a civil penalty for such violation.

(b) Any individual, firm, partnership, corporation, company, association, society, group or other person or legal entity that violates any provision of Sections 468 through 468.6 shall be liable for a civil penalty, not to exceed $500 for each day such violation is committed or permitted to continue, which

penalty shall be assessed and recovered in a civil action brought in the name of the people of the City and County of San Francisco by the City Attorney in any court of competent jurisdiction. Any penalty assessed and recovered in an action brought pursuant to this paragraph shall be paid to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 468.8 SEVERABILITY. If any part or provision of Sections 468 through 468.7 or application thereof, to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the section, including the application of such part or provision to other persons or circumstances, shall not be affected thereby and shall continue in full force and effect. To this end the provisions of the sections are severable.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

1850j

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
July 28, 1986	§	August 4, 1986
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Kopp Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No.
118-86-3

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

FILE NO. 124-86-14 ORDINANCE NO. 348-86

[Towing Vehicles]

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER XI OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (TRAFFIC CODE) BY REPEALING SECTIONS 158, 162, 164, 165 AND 167, AND AMENDING SECTIONS 161 AND 163 THEREOF, REGARDING PROCEDURE FOR REMOVING AND IMPOUNDING VEHICLES.

Note: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are in ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter XI of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Traffic Code) is hereby amended as follows:

SEC. 158 is repealed.

SEC. 162 is repealed.

SEC. 164 is repealed.

SEC. 165 is repealed.

SEC. 167 is repealed.

Section 2. Part II, Chapter XI of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Traffic Code) is hereby amended by amending Sections 161 and 163 thereof, to read as follows:

SEC. 161. ((Garagemen's)) Lien. Whenever any vehicle has been removed to a garage under the provisions of this Article and the ((operator)) person or company in control of such garage has received notice or notices from the arresting officer, as provided herein, said ((operator)), person or company shall have a lien, dependent upon possession, for ((his)) compensation for towage and for caring for and keeping safe such vehicle for a period not exceeding 90 days.

SEC. 163. Purchaser of Supplies to Enter into Contract. The Purchaser of Supplies shall enter into contracts on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco with ((operators)) one or more companies which contract or contracts shall provide that the said ((operators)) company or companies shall agree to tow and to store vehicles which are ordered removed from the highway and other places by police officers, pursuant to this Article, and the Laws of the State of California, and shall further agree to charge the owner of the vehicle so removed a fee which shall not be in excess of that agreed upon in said contract.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney

8233E(05/19/86)

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
July 28, 1986	§	August 4, 1986
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
124-86-14

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 124-86-16 **ORDINANCE NO.** 349-86

[Truck Loading Zone Parking]

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER XI OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (TRAFFIC CODE) BY AMENDING SECTION 71b THEREOF TO INCLUDE ILLEGAL PARKING IN A SPECIAL TRUCK LOADING ZONE TO THOSE ACTIONS CONSTITUTING A TRAFFIC HAZARD OR OBSTRUCTION.

Note: Additions are indicated by underlining.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter XI of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Traffic Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 71b thereto, to read as follows:

SEC. 71b VEHICLES OBSTRUCTING THE NORMAL FLOW OF TRAFFIC. Any vehicle parked or stopped in violation of Subdivision (a) Section 32, Subdivision (b) of Section 38, Section 33.3, Section 33.3.1, Section 33.3.2 or Section 71 of this Article, shall be deemed to be left standing on a street in an unusual position and obstructing normal movement of traffic thereon.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

SUPERVISOR BILL MAHER

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	**Finally Passed**
July 28, 1986	**August 4, 1986**
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker	**Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker**
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Renne Ward	**Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne Ward**

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No. 124-86-16

AUG 15 1986
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-51

ORDINANCE NO. 350-86

RO #6568

(Settlement of Lawsuit)

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF JUDITH NORTHRUP, M.D., AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $67,500.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled : "Judith Northrup, M.D., v. Ben Abramovice, et al.," Superior Court No. 805-470 by the payment of $67,500.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

PHILIP S. WARD
Acting City Attorney

By: KEVIN M. O'DONNELL
Chief Trial Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

David Werdegar MD
DAVID WERDEGAR, M.D.
Director
Department of Public Health

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
Controller
01 001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $67,500.00
AUG 0 6 1986

APPROVED:

HEALTH COMMISSION

Secretary

2490j

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 1 1 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 1 1 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy, Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne,~~ Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne

Mary Anne Baker ACTING Clerk

45-86-51 8/20/86
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 1 8 1986

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt, Hongisto,~~ Kennedy, ~~Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT HONGISTO KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Mary Anne Baker ACTING Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-8 ORDINANCE NO. 351-86

RO #6565

AMENDING THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87, FILE NO. 101-86-2, ORDINANCE NO. 315-86, IN ORDER TO REFLECT THE NECESSARY REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE APPROPRIATION ADJUSTMENTS TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS FOR PLATFORM EMPLOYEES UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 8.404 OF THE CHARTER, RESERVING FUNDS FOR PENSION CONTRIBUTION - PLATFORM.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Annual Appropriation Ordinance for Fiscal Year 1986-87, File No. 101-86-2, Ordinance No. 315-86, is hereby amended by the following increases or decreases in revenue or expenditure appropriations as indicated (in Revenue Appropriations increases are shown as debits while decreases are shown as credits; in Expenditure Appropriations, Reserves and Unappropriated Revenue increases are shown as credits while decreases are shown as debits).

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
	Revenue Appropriation		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Retained		
Sub. 000022	Salary Standardization Reserve	$4,211,871	
//////	//////	//////	//////

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	PURPOSE OF APPROPIATION	DEBIT	CREDIT
99-00-00	General Fund Unallocated		
48-4830 (841601)	Contribution to Municipal Railway Operating Fund, 31-001		$4,211,871
31-001	Municipal Railway Operating Fund		
35-00-00	Municipal Railway		
97-9701 (196113)	Contribution from General Fund, 01-001	$4,211,871	
	Expenditure Appropriation		
01-0040 (560664)	Permanent Salaries-Platform		$2,209,260
04-0600	Retirement - City		366,649
0606	Social Security		157,962
0700	Pension Contribution-Platform		1,478,000*
	Total-Municipal Railway Operating Fund	$4,211,871	$4,211,871

*These funds shall be held in reserve pending completion of an actuarial survey in the manner provided in Section II, Paragraph 2 of the Salary Standardization Ordinance for Municipal Railway Platform Employees. This reserve may be released by the Finance Committee upon submission of said actuarial report.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Mark Kat
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

By John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

AUG 06 1986

RECOMMENDED:

Dianne Feinstein
MAYOR

lp-1432A

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Passed for Second Reading

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 1 1 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher,~~ Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne,~~ Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors MAHER RENNE

Mary Anne Buler ACTING Clerk

101-86-8 AUG 2 6 1986

File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 1 8 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Mary Anne Buler ACTING Clerk

Dianne Feinstein

Mayor

FILE NO. 104-86-1 ORDINANCE NO. 352-86

FIXING SCHEDULES OF COMPENSATION EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 1986, TO BE PAID UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF CHARTER SECTION 8.404 TO EMPLOYEES OCCUPYING CLASSIFICATION 9163 TRANSIT OPERATOR AND RELATED TRAINEE CLASS, BY ESTABLISHING THE DOLLAR VALUE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN VACATION RETIREMENT AND HEALTH SERVICE BENEFITS TO BE PAID INTO THE TRANSPORT WORKERS UNION SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL RAILWAY TRUST FUND, INCORPORATING BY REFERENCE A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING, AND BY ESTABLISHING CONDITIONS AND BENEFITS OF EMPLOYMENT OTHER THAN WAGES FOR SAID CLASSIFICATIONS, PROVISIONS HEREOF TO REMAIN IN EFFECT UNTIL THE ADOPTION OF AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING DIFFERENT PROVISIONS.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

SECTION I. This ordinance incorporates by reference all terms of the Memorandum of Understanding entered into between the City and County of San Francisco and Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO, and Transport Workers Union, Local 250-A for fiscal years 1986-87-88. The provisions of this ordinance shall remain in effect after the end of fiscal year 1987-88 until the passage of an ordinance establishing different provisions.

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM
PHILIP S. WARD
ACTING CITY ATTORNEY

By: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 11 1986

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt,~~ Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne,~~ Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT KOPP RENNE

Mary Anne Buler ACTING Clerk

104-86-1 AUG 26 1986
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 18 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto,~~ Kennedy, ~~Kopp,~~ ~~Maher,~~ Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HONGISTO KOPP MAHER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Mary Anne Buler ACTING Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-40

ORDINANCE NO. 353-86

RO #6550

(SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT)

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF MARIA E. GONZALEZ AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $10,500.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "MARIA E. GONZALEZ v. ELISHA PIERRE, et al.", Superior Court No. 807-087 by the payment of $10,500.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By: for KATHLEEN A. FOLEY
Assistant Chief
Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

By: for DONALD J. BIRRER, Director
Department of Public Works

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

01 001 97 09 00
8982E/6 309138 1450 $10,500.00

JUL 16 1986

APPROVED:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 11 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy, Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne,~~ Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne

Mary Anne Buler ACTING Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 18 1986

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt, Hongisto,~~ Kennedy, ~~Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT HONGISTO KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Mary Anne Buler ACTING Clerk

45-86-40
File No.

AUG 29 1986
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-41

ORDINANCE NO. 354-86

RO #6549

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF MIKE SANDLIN AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $7,500.00

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "MIKE SANDLIN V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," Superior Court No. 847-956, by the payment of $7,500.00

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By KATHLEEN FOLEY
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

By DONALD J. BIRRER
Director

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
Controller

01-001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $7,500.00

JUL 16 1986

APPROVED:

Chief Administrative Officer

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 11 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy, Kopp~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne,~~ Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne

Mary Anne Baker ACTING Clerk

45-86-41
File No.

AUG 29 1986
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 18 1986

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt, Hongisto,~~ Kennedy, ~~Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, HONGISTO KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Mary Anne Baker ACTING Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-42 ORDINANCE NO. 355-86

RO #6548

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF CARLA FIRNSCHILD AGAINST WALTER DAVID BROWN, et al. FOR $50,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "CARLA FIRNSCHILD v. WALTER DAVID BROWN, et al.," Superior Court No. 827-663 by the payment of $50,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

JOHN ETCHEVERS
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

DONALD J. BIRRER, Director
Department of Public Works

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER

01 001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $50,000.00
8280E JUL 16 1986

APPROVED:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 11 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy, Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne,~~ Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne

Mary Anne Buler ACTING Clerk

45-86-42 AUG 20 1986
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 18 1986

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt, Hongisto,~~ Kennedy, ~~Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT HONGISTO KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Mary Anne Buler ACTING Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-43 ORDINANCE NO. 356-86

RO #6543

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT] .

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF ESTELLE RHINE AGAINST CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $5,500.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "ESTELLE RHINE v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," Superior Court No. 815-522, by the payment of $5,500.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By: Kevin M. O'Donnell
KEVIN M. O'DONNELL
Assistant Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

By: George A. White
DONALD J. BIRRER
Director
Department of Public Works

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
Controller
01 001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $5,500.00
JUL 16 1986

APPROVED:

Chief Administrative Officer

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 11 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy, Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne,~~ Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne

Mary Anne Buler ACTING Clerk

45-86-43 AUG 29 1986
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 18 1986

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt, Hongisto,~~ Kennedy, ~~Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT HONGISTO KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Mary Anne Buler ACTING Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-44

ORDINANCE NO. 357-86

RO #6546

[Settlement of Lawsuit]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF BENNY CRAYTON AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $50,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "BENNY CRAYTON v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," Superior Court No. 843-842 by the payment of $50,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By: JOHN A. ETCHEVERS
Chief Trial Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

DONALD, J. BIRRER
Director
Department of Public Works

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

Controller

01-001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $50,000.00

JUL 16 1986

APPROVED:

Chief Administrative Officer

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 11 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy, Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne

Mary Anne Baker ACTING Clerk

45-86-44
File No.

AUG 29 1986
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 18 1986

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt, Hongisto,~~ Kennedy, ~~Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT HONGISTO KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Mary Anne Baker ACTING Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-45

ORDINANCE NO. 358-86

RO #6545

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF WILLIAM RYAN AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $8,500.00

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "WILLIAM RYAN V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," Municipal Court No. 894-475, by the payment of $8,500.00

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By Kathleen A. Foley
KATHLEEN FOLEY
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

By George A. White
for DONALD J. BIRRER
Director

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
Controller

01 001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $8,500.00

JUL 16 1986

APPROVED:

Chief Administrative Officer

dddJE/edn

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 11 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy, Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne,~~ Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne

Mary Anne Baker ACTING Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 18 1986

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt, Hongisto,~~ Kennedy, ~~Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT HONGISTO KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Mary Anne Baker ACTING Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

45-86-45
File No.

AUG 29 1986
Approved

FILE NO. 45-86-46

ORDINANCE NO. 359-86

RO #6544

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF DAVID JOHN MILANESE AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS ($15,000.00).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to settle Superior Court Action No. 834-416 entitled "DAVID JOHN MILANESE v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al." by the payment of $15,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By
JULIA TEN EYCK
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

By
Director

APPROVED:

Chief Administrative Officer

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
Controller
01 001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $15,000.00
JUL 16 1986

7549p

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 11 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy, Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne,~~ Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne

Mary Anne Buler ACTING Clerk

AUG 29 1986

45-86-46
File No.

Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 18 1986

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt, Hongisto,~~ Kennedy, ~~Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, HONGISTO KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Mary Anne Buler ACTING Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-48

ORDINANCE NO. 360-86

RO #6552

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF AARON BARNES AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $5,090.03.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "AARON BARNES v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," Superior Court No. 816-102 by the payment of $5,090.03.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By Kathleen A. Foley
KATHLEEN A. FOLEY
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

Frank M. Jordan
FRANK JORDAN, Chief
San Francisco Police Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER
01 001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $5,090.03

JUL 22 1986

APPROVED:

POLICE COMMISSION

Willie E. Fagan
SECRETARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 11 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy, Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne

Mary Anne Buler ACTING Clerk

45-86-48 AUG 29 1986
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 18 1986

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt, Hongisto~~, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, HONGISTO KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Mary Anne Buler ACTING Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-49 ORDINANCE NO. 361-86

RO #6553

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF FELIPE CASTILLO ROSILLO AGAINST CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $15,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorizied to settle the action entitled "FELIPE CASTILLO ROSILLO v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, Superior Court No. 787-058, by the payment of $15,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By: KEVIN M. O'DONNELL
Assistant Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

By: FRANK JORDAN, Chief
San Francisco Police Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

By: John C Farrell
Controller
01 001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $15,000.00
JUL 22 1986

APPROVED:
POLICE COMMISSION:

William E. Fazio
Secretary

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 11 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy, Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne,~~ Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Kopp Renne

Mary Anne Baker ACTING Clerk

45-86-49 AUG 29 1986
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 18 1986

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt, Hongisto,~~ Kennedy, ~~Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, HONGISTO KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Mary Anne Baker ACTING Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-5

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

1986 JUL 15 AM 8:28

BY [illegible]

ORDINANCE NO. 362-86

RO #6541 SA #04

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $3,671,893 FOR CAPITAL PROJECT BUDGET (LOMBARD STREET GARAGE CONSTRUCTION), PARKING AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1986-87 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-071	Off-Street Parking Fund		
G.L. 490 (003327)	Unappropriated Revenue	$3,671,893	
36-00-00	Parking Authority		
21-2030 (353144)	Capital Project Budget		
Proj. 605-01	Lombard Street Garage		
	Construction		$3,671,893

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

[signature]
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED: JUL 11 1986

[signature]
MAYOR

lm(#0634P)

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 11 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne,~~ Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor RENNE

[signature] ACTING Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 18 1986

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt, Hongisto~~, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT HONGISTO KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

[signature] ACTING Clerk

101-86-5
File No.

AUG 29 1986
Approved

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-9 ORDINANCE NO. 363-86

RO #6566

AMENDING THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87, FILE NO. 101-86-2, ORDINANCE NO. 315-86, IN ORDER TO REFLECT THE NECESSARY REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE APPROPRIATION ADJUSTMENTS TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS FOR REGISTERED NURSES UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 8.403 OF THE CHARTER.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Annual Appropriation Ordinance for Fiscal year 1986-87, File No. 101-86-2, Ordinance No. 315-86, is hereby amended by the following increases or decreases in Revenue or Expenditure Appropriations as indicated (in Revenue Appropriations increases are shown as debits while decreases are shown as credits; in Expenditure Appropriations, Reserves and Unappropriated Revenue, increases are shown as credits while decreases are shown as debits).

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
	Revenue Appropriation		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Retained		
Sub. 000022	Salary Standardization Reserve	$3,460,471	
	Expenditure Appropriations		
83-00-00	Department of Public Health		
83-01-02	PH-Bayview Hunters Point Project		
01-0010 (732347)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		$ 5,514
04-0600	Retirement - City		993
0606	Social Security		394
Proj. 017-01	Total-Bayview Hunters Point Project		$ 6,901

////////////////////
////////////////////

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
83-02-00	PH-Forensic Services - Medical		
01-0010 (441055)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		$ 118,684
02-0200	Temporary Salaries		21,594
04-0600	Retirement - City		21,755
0606	Social Security		8,642
	Total - Forensic Services		$ 170,675
83-02-01	Ph-Forensic - Center for Special Problems		
01-0010 (733907)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		1,958
02-0200	Temporary Salaries		46
	Total - Forensic - CSP		$ 2,004
83-02-02	PH-Forensic - Youth Guidance Center		
01-0010 (731398)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		2,167
04-0600	Retirement - City		390
0606	Social Security		155
	Total - YGC		$ 2,712
83-04-00	PH-Health Centers		
01-0010 (732404)	Permanant Salareis - Miscellaneous		278,039
02-0200	Temporary Salaries		489
04-0600	Retirement - City		50,135
0606	Social Security		19,915
	Total - Health Centers		$ 348,578

////////////////////
////////////////////

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
83-07-00	PH-Disease Control		
01-0010 (732420)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		$ 16,746
04-0600	Retirement - City		3,014
0606	Social Security		1,197
	Total - Disease Control		$ 20,957
83-11-00	PH-Emergency Medical Services		
01-0010 (732198)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		6,024
02-0200	Temporary Salaries		195
04-0600	Retirement - City		1,084
0606	Social Security		431
	Total-Emergency Medical Services		$ 7,734
83-12-03	PH-AIDS Health Centers		
01-0010 (732453)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		4,268
04-0600	Retirement - City		768
0606	Social Security		305
	Total - AIDS Centers		$ 5,341
83-14-00	PH-Senior Health Centers		
01-0010 (732438)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		7,681
04-0600	Retirement - City		1,383
0606	Social Security		549
	Total - Senior Health Centers		$ 9,613

////////////////////
////////////////////

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
83-14-00	PH-Senior Health North of Market Projects		
01-0010 (732446)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		$ 3,530
04-0600	Retirement - City		635
0606	Social Security		252
Proj. 018-01	Total-North of Market Projects		$ 4,417
83-19-70	PH-Substance Abuse		
01-0010 (791277)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		4,249
04-0600	Retirement - City		765
0606	Social Security		304
	Total-Substance Abuse		$ 5,318
	Total - Public Health		$ 584,250
87-00-00	Community Mental Health Services		
87-22-00	Business and Operation Support		
01-0010 (730366)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		4,397
04-0600	Retirement - City		791
0606	Social Security		315
	Total-Business and Operation Support		$ 5,503
87-23-00	CMHS-Children's Services		
01-0010 (730374)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		1,291
04-0600	Retirement - City		232
0606	Social Security		93
	Total - Children's Services		$ 1,616

////////////////////
////////////////////

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
87-24-00	CMHS - Geriatric Services		
01-0010 (730390)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		$ 9,137
04-0600	Retirement - City		1,645
0606	Social Security		653
	Total - Geriatric Services		$ 11,435
87-25-00	CMHS - Adult Acute Services		
01-0010 (730416)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		1,970
04-0600	Retirement - City		355
0606	Social Security		140
	Total - Adult Acute Services		$ 2,465
87-26-00	CMHS - Adult Community		
01-0010 (730515)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		38,473
04-0600	Retirement - City		6,925
0606	Social Security		2,751
	Total - Adult Community		$ 48,149
	Total-Community Mental Health Services		$ 69,168
99-00-00	General Fund Unallocated		
48-4830 (876789)	Contribution to SF General Hospital Operating Fund #36-001		2,057,524
4830 (859330)	Contribution to Laguna Honda Hospital Operating Fund #37-001		749,529
	Total - General Fund	$3,460,471	$3,460,471

////////////////////
////////////////////

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
36-001	S.F. General Hospital Operating Fund		
97-9701 (199471)	Contribution from General Fund, 01-001	$2,057,524	
86-00-00	San Francisco General Hospital		
86-01-00	SFGH - Acute Operations		
01-0010 (462580)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		$1,453,152
02-0200	Temporary Salaries		23,519
04-0600	Retirement - City		256,919
0606	Social Security		102,054
	Total - Acute Operations		$1,835,644
86-30-00	SFGH - Psychiatric Services		
01-0010 (462598)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		169,245
04-0600	Retirement - City		30,464
0606	Social Security		12,101
	Total - Psychiatric Services		$ 211,810
86-31-00	SFGH - Methadone Clinic		
01-0010 (462606)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		8,047
04-0600	Retirement - City		1,448
0606	Social Security		575
	Total - Methadone Clinic		$ 10,070
	Total - SF General Hospital	$2,057,524	$2,057,524

////////////////////
////////////////////

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
37-001	Laguna Honda Hospital		
	Operating Fund		
97-9701 (180315)	Contribution from General Fund,		
	01-001	$ 749,529	
85-00-00	Laguna Honda Hospital		
01-0010 (448274)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		$ 598,905
04-0600	Retirement - City		107,802
0606	Social Security		42,822
	Total - Laguna Honda Hospital	$ 749,529	$ 749,529

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

AUG 05 1986

RECOMMENDED:

MAYOR

lm(#0634P)

7-7

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 11 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy, Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne,~~ Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KENNEDY KOPP RENNE

Mary Anne Baker ACTING Clerk

101-86-9
File No.

AUG 29 1986
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 18 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Mary Anne Baker ACTING Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 102-86-9 ORDINANCE NO. 364-86

SALARIES AND WAGES SAL. ORD. AMEND NO. 2

ADJUSTING THE COMPENSATION SCHEDULES FOR PLATFORM EMPLOYEES (MUNICIPAL RAILWAY) EFFECTIVE AS OF JULY 1, 1986, PURSUANT TO SECTION 8.404 OF THE CHARTER.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated sections and items of Ordinance No. (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1986-87) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

No. of Pos.	Class and Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
	Dept.	35 Municipal Railway	
	Division	03 Operations Division	
	Section	00 Operations Division	
	Fund	31001 Municipal Railway Operating	
	Object	004 Regular Platform	
1946	9163 A	Transit Operator.....$14.05	H 14.05
		eff. 07/12/86.....14.175	H 14.175
		eff. 05/02/87.....14.4225	H 14.4225
		Trainee Rate.....$ 8.85	H 8.85
		eff. 07/12/86 8.93	H 8.93
		eff. 05/02/87 9.09	H 9.09

Trainee rate applies on original employment date and for a period not to exceed 35 working days, or when the operator is mode certified by the training section, whichever occurs first.

9163 Transit Operator to receive $1.00 per hour extra while instructing or training new employees.

The hourly rate for operators who are employed as a result of a civil service examination given after January 1, 1985 will be the percentage of the hourly rates established herein, as follows:

Months of Employment	Percentage of Hourly Rate
Up to first 35 days	100% of trainee rate
0-6	80%
6-12	85%
12-18	90%
18+	100%

The number of months of employment in the foregoing table shall be measured from the dates the trainee rate is no longer applicable.

Section 2. This Ordinance shall be effective July 1, 1986.

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Michael C. Millen
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 11 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher~~, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors MAHER ~~RENNE~~

Mary Anne Baker ACTING Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 18 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Mary Anne Baker ACTING Clerk

102-86-9 AUG 29 1986
File No. Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-6 ORDINANCE NO. 365-86

RO #6567

AMENDING THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87, FILE NO. 101-86-2, ORDINANCE NO. 315-86, IN ORDER TO REFLECT THE NECESSARY REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE APPROPRIATION ADJUSTMENTS TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS FOR POLICE AND FIRE DEPARTMENTS UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 8.405 OF THE CHARTER; AN EMERGENCY ORDINANCE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Annual Appropriation Ordinance for Fiscal year 1986-87, File No. 101-86-2, Ordinance No. 315-86, is hereby amended by the following increases or decreases in Revenue or Expenditure Appropriations as indicated (in Revenue Appropriations increases are shown as debits while decreases are shown as credits; in Expenditure Appropriations, Reserves and Unappropriated Revenue, increases are shown as credits while decreases are shown as debits).

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
	Revenue Appropriation		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Retained		
Sub. 000022	Salary Standardization Reserve	$4,607,626	
	Expenditure Appropriation		
31-00-00	Fire Department		
31-03-00	Fire Department - Fire Suppression		
01-0020 (530071)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		$1,049,941
03-0121	Holiday Pay - Uniform		54,544
0130	Extended Work Week		39,837
02-0140	Differential Pay - Uniform		1,620
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		1,114,656
	Total - Fire Suppression		$2,260,598
31-03-00	Fire Department - Fire Prevention		
01-0020 (530014)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		$ 13,533
03-0121	Holiday Pay - Uniform		1,428
0130	Extended Work Week		374
02-0140	Differential Pay - Uniform		110
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		14,461
	Total - Fire Prevention		$ 29,906
31-03-00	Fire Department-Fire Investigation		
01-0020 (530006)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		6,385
03-0121	Holiday Pay - Uniform		669
0130	Extended Work Week		610
02-0140	Differential Pay - Uniform		8
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		6,777
	Total - Fire Investigation		$ 14,449
31-03-00	Fire Department - Support Services		
01-0020 (530022)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		1,798
03-0121	Holiday Pay - Uniform		175
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		1,906
	Total - Support Services		$ 3,879
31-03-00	Fire Department - Administration		
01-0020 (530030)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		92,385
03-0121	Holiday Pay - Uniform		1,445
0130	Extended Work Week		1,339
02-0140	Differential Pay - Uniform		43

////////////////
////////////////

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		$ 97,974
	Total - Administration		$ 193,186
	Total - Fire Department		$2,502,018
38-00-00	Police Department		
38-01-41	Police Department-Community Services		
01-0020 (580050)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		$ 10,937
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		10,064
	Total - Community Services		$ 21,001
38-01-42	Police Department - Intelligence		
01-0020 (580027)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		18,024
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		16,586
	Total - Intelligence		$ 34,610
38 01-43	Police Department-Internal Affairs		
01-0020 (580035)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		3,063
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		2,818
	Total - Internal Affairs		$ 5,881
38-01-44	Police Department - Departmental Management		
01 0020 (580019)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		18,083
03 0101	Overtime - Uniform		34,934
0121	Holiday Pay - Uniform		19,465
//////////////			
//////////////			

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-0140	Differential Pay - Uniform		$ 1,541
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		16,642
	Total - Dept Management		$ 90,665
38-01-45	Police Department - Consent Decree		
01-0020 (580506)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		596
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		547
	Total - Consent Decree		$ 1,143
38-02-51	Police Department-District Policing		
01-0020 (582015)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		591,079
03-0130	Extended Work Week		11,866
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		522,906
	Total - District Policing		$1,125,851
38-02-52	Police Department-Traffic Regulation		
01-0020 (580175)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		13,354
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		12,292
	Total - Traffic Regulation		$ 25,646
38-02-52	Police Department-Parking Enforcement		
01-0020 (582338)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		4,852
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		4,463
	Total - Parking Enforcement		$ 9,315
//////////////			
//////////////			

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
38-02-52	Police Department - Street Sweeping		
01-0020 (582346)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		$ 594
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		547
	Total - Parking Enforcement		$ 1,141
38-02-52	Police Department - General Moving Enforcement		
01-0020 (582312)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		44,859
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		41,272
	Total - Parking Enforcement		$ 86,131
38-02-53	Police Department - Tactical Force		
01-0020 (582114)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		46,251
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		42,557
	Total - Tactical Force		$ 88,808
38-02-54	Police Department - Muni Protection		
01-0020 (582213)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		26,966
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		24,813
	Total - Muni Protection		$ 51,779
38-02-55	Police Department - Field Training		
01-0020 (582023)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		9,266
04 0602	Retirement - City Uniform		8,527
	Total - Field Training		$ 17,793

////////////////
////////////////

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
38-03-11	Police Department-Personal & Property Crimes Detail		
01-0020 (580522)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		$ 142,589
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		131,186
	Total - Crimes Detail		$ 273,775
38-03-13	Police Department - Vice Crimes		
01-0020 (580548)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		36,852
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		33,910
	Total - Vice Crimes		$ 70,762
38-03-14	Police Department - Juvenile Bureau		
01-0020 (580555)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		15,449
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		14,214
	Total - Juvenile Bureau		$ 29,663
38-04-22	Police Department - Communications		
01-0020 (581082)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		12,760
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		11,745
	Total - Communications		$ 24,505
38-04-23	Police Department - Criminalistics		
01-0020 (581025)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		11,113
04-0600	Retirement - City		143
0602	Retirement - City Uniform		9,493
0606	Social Security		116
	Total - Criminalistics		$ 20,865

////////////////
////////////////

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
38-04-24	Police Department - Property and Evidence Control		
01-0020 (581033)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		$ 4,791
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		4,408
	Total-Property and Evidence Control		$ 9,199
38-04-25	Police Department - Permits		
01-0020 (581041)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		$ 2,732
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		2,514
	Total - Permits		$ 5,246
38-04-26	Police Department - Records		
01-0020 (581058)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		$ 9,597
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		8,833
	Total - Records		$ 18,430
38-04-27	Police Department-Fleet Management		
01-0020 (581066)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		$ 1,541
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		1,418
	Total - Fleet Management		$ 2,959
38-004-28	Police Department - Warrants		
01-0020 (581074)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		$ 10,933
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		10,062
	Total - Warrants		$ 20,995

////////////////
////////////////

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
38-05-31	Police Department-Planning and Research		
01-0020 (581520)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		$ 2,816
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		2,593
	Total - Planning and Research		$ 5,409
38-05-32	Police Department - Training		
01-0020 (580027)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		23,364
04-0600	Retirement - City		2,665
0602	Retirement - City Uniform		7,868
0606	Social Security		1,059
	Total - Training		$ 34,956
38-05-33	Police Department-Personnel Services		
01-0020 (581538)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		11,731
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		10,797
	Total - Personnal Services		$ 22,528
38-05-34	Police Department - Legal Services		
01-0020 (581546)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		3,412
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		3,140
	Total - Legal Services		$ 6,552
	Total - Police Department		2,105,608
	Total - General Fund	$4,607,626	$4,607,626

////////////////
////////////////

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
09-099	Police Department Recovery Fund		
38-05-36	Police Department-Services to Other Departments		
01-0020 (581512)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		$ 680
03-0101	Overtime - Uniform		1,434
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		626
39-3900 (940023)	Work Order Recovery		(2,740)
	Total		0
30-001	Airport Operating Fund Revenue Appropriation		
G.L. 491 (022012)	Unappropriated Revenue Retained		
Sub. 000004	Fire Department Salary Standardization Reserve	$ 69,803	
	Expenditure Appropriation		
27-00-00	Airport Commissions		
01-0020 (530113)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		$ 29,592
03-0121	Holiday Pay - Uniform		2,671
0130	Extended Work Week		6,113
02-0140	Differential Pay - Uniform		29
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		31,398
	Total - Airport Operating Fund	$ 69,803	$ 69,803

////////////////
////////////////

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
34-001	San Francisco Harbor Operating Fund Revenue Appropriation		
G.L. 491 (026013)	Unappropriated Revenue Retained		
Sub. 000004	Fire Department Salary Standardization Reserve	$ 13,476	
	Expenditure Appropriation		
39-00-00	Port Commission		
01-0020 (530212)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		$ 5,446
03-0121	Holiday Pay - Uniform		541
0130	Extended Work Week		496
02-0140	Differential Pay - Uniform		22
0200	Temporary Salaries		1,177
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform		5,794
	Total - Harbor Operating Fund	$ 13,476	$ 13,476

/////////
/////////

Section 2. This ordinance is enacted as an emergency ordinance pursuant to Charter Section 2.301 to permit the Board of Supervisors to adopt a rate of compensation schedule for members of the Police and Fire Departments within the Charter mandated time for enactment of August 25. Rate compensation schedule from the city of Long Beach, which is intended under Charter Section 8.405 to be included in the rate calculation, was not available to the Board of Supervisors until the 25th day of August. The emergency is based on the following facts: (1) the Civil Service Commission certified survey data (required by Charter Section 8.405 to be submitted by August 1) did not include the most recent Long Beach rates as that jurisdiction had not then established a new, fixed and enforceable rate, and (2) the securing of such data was beyond the control of the Board of Supervisors. The emergency is further founded on the fact that the inclusion of the new Long Beach data is necessary to establish a rate of compensation for the City's Police Department commensurate with the surveyed jurisdictions which is the very purpose of Charter Section 8.405.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
PHILIP S. WARD, ACTING CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____
CONTROLLER

AUG 25 1986

APPROVED:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

BY: _____
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

RECOMMENDED:

_____
MAYOR

11-11

lm(#0671P)

Passed as an emergency measure—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 25, 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

_____
Clerk

101-86-6
File No.

AUG 29 1986
Approved

_____
Mayor

FILE NO. 102-86-8 ORDINANCE NO. 366-86

SALARIES AND WAGES SAL. ORD. AMEND. NO. 7

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 316-86. (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1986-87) TO PROVIDE RATES OF COMPENSATION, EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 1986, FOR CLASSES SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 8.405 OF THE CHARTER (POLICE AND FIRE); AN EMERGENCY ORDINANCE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated sections and items of Ordinance No. 316-86 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1986-87) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

No. of Pos.	Class and Item No.		Class Title	Compensation Schedule
	Dept.	31 Fire		
	Division	03 General		
	Section	00 General		
	Fund	01001 General Fund		
	Object	002 Regular Uniform		
1	0140	A	Chief of Dept. (Fire Dept.)	$ 3560 B 3560
1	0150	A	Deputy Chief of Dept. (Fire Dept.)	2994 B 2994
1003	H2	A	Firefighter	1143 B 1323
21	H4	A	Inspector, Bureau of Fire Prevention & Public Safety	1584 B 1584
9	H6	A	Investigator, Bureau of Fire Investigation	1584 B 1584
1	H6	N	Investigator, Bureau of Fire Investigation SC	1584 B 1584
56	H10	A	Chief's Operator, (Fire Dept.)	1440 B 1440
1	H18	A	Coordinator of Community Service	1533 B 1533
201	H20	A	Lieutenant, (Fire Dept.)	1535 B 1535
4	H22	A	Lieutenant, Bureau of Fire Prevention & Public Safety	1734 B 1734
1	H24	A	Lieutenant, Bureau of Fire Investigation	1734 B 1734
76	H30	A	Captain, (Fire Dept.)	1754 B 1754
3	H32	A	Captain, Bureau of Fire Prevention & Public Safety	1982 B 1982
44	H40	A	Battalion Chief, (Fire Dept.)	$ 2105 B 2105
14	H50	A	Assistant Chief of Dept. (Fire Dept.)	2434 B 2434
	Dept.	31 Fire		
	Division	03 General		
	Section	00 General		
	Fund	30001 Airport Operating Fund		
	Object	002 Regular Uniform		
52	H2	A	Firefighter	1143 B 1323
1	H4	A	Inspector, Bureau of Fire Prevention & Public Safety	1584 B 1584
1	H19	A	Airport Training Officer	1533 B 1533
7	H20	A	Lieutenant, (Fire Dept.)	1535 B 1535
3	H30	A	Captain, (Fire Dept.)	1754 B 1754
1	H50	A	Asst. Chief of Dept. (Fire Dept.)	2434 B 2434
	Dept.	31 Fire		
	Division	03 General		
	Section	00 General		
	Fund	34001 S.F. Harbor Operating Fund		
	Object	002 Regular Uniform		
2	H20	A	Lieutenant, (Fire Dept.)	1535 B 1535
1	H22	A	Lieutenant, Bureau of Fire Prevention & Public Safety	1734 B 1734
1	H30	A	Captain, (Fire Dept.)	1754 B 1754
3	H110	A	Marine Engr. of Fire Boats	1754 B 1754
3	H120	A	Pilot of Fire Boats	1754 B 1754
	Object	002 Regular Temp		
0	H110	D	Marine Engr. of Fire Boats	1754 B 1754
0	H120	D	Pilot of Fire Boats	1754 B 1754
	Dept.	38 Police		
	Division	01 Commission & Chiefs Office		
	Section	41 Community Services		
	Fund	01001 General Fund		

No. of Pos.	Class and Item No.		Class Title	Compensation Schedule	
	Object		002 Regular Uniform		
14	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	$1143 B 1323	
4	Q35	A	Assistant Inspector.....Q...	1420 B 1535	07
2	Q60	A	Lieutenant, (Police Dept.).....	1754 B 1754	
	Dept.		38 Police		
	Division		01 Commission & Chiefs Office		
	Section		42 Intelligence		
	Fund		01001 General Fund		
	Object		002 Regular Uniform		
15	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	1143 B 1323	
1	Q20	A	Policewoman.....	1143 B 1323	
13	Q35	A	Assistant Inspector.....Q...	1420 B 1535	07
1	Q50	A	Sergeant, (Police Dept.).....	1535 B 1535	
1	Q60	A	Lieutenant, (Police Dept.).....	1754 B 1754	
1	Q80	A	Captain, (Police Dept.).....	2059 B 2059	
	Dept.		38 Police		
	Division		01 Commission & Chiefs Office		
	Section		43 Internal Affairs		
	Fund		01001 General Fund		
	Object		002 Regular Uniform		
4	Q50	A	Sergeant, (Police Dept.).....	1535 B 1535	
1	Q60	A	Lieutenant, (Police Dept.).....	1754 B 1754	
	Dept.		38 Police		
	Division		01 Commission & Chiefs Office		
	Section		44 Department Management		
	Fund		01001 General Fund		
	Object		002 Regular Uniform		
1	0390	A	Chief of Police.....	3560 B 3560	
4	0400	A	Deputy Chief.....	2994 B 2994	
1	0460	A	Secretary, Police Commission.....	1754 B 1754	
3	0488	A	Commander, (Police Dept.).....	2434 B 2434	
8	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	1143 B 1323	

No. of Pos.	Class and Item No.		Class Title	Compensation Schedule	
4	Q50	A	Sergeant, (Police Dept.).....	$ 1535 B 1535	
3	Q60	A	Lieutenant, (Police Dept.).....	1754 B 1754	
	Dept.		38 Police		
	Division		01 Commission & Chiefs Office		
	Section		45 Consent Decree		
	Fund		01001 General Fund		
	Object		002 Regular Uniform		
1	Q35	A	Assistant Inspector.....Q..	1420 B 1535	07
	Dept.		38 Police		
	Division		02 Field Operations Bureau		
	Section		51 District Policing		
	Fund		01001 General Fund		
	Object		002 Regular Uniform		
899	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	1143 B 1323	
12	Q35	A	Assistant Inspector.....Q...	1420 B 1535	07
149	Q50	A	Sergeant (Police Dept.).....	1535 B 1535	
37	Q60	A	Lieutenant, (Police Dept.).....	1754 B 1754	
12	Q80	A	Captain, (Police Dept.).....	2059 B 2059	
	Dept.		38 Police		
	Division		02 Field Operations Bureau		
	Section		52 Traffic Regulation		
	Fund		01001 General Fund		
	Object		002 Regular Uniform		
15	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	1143 B 1323	
69	Q2	B	Police Officer.....	1143 B 1323	
3	Q35	A	Assistant Inspector.....Q...	1420 B 1535	07
12	Q50	A	Sergeant, (Police Dept.).....	1535 B 1535	
9	Q50	B	Sergeant, (police Dept.).....	1535 B 1535	
4	Q60	A	Lieutenant, (Police Dept.).....	1754 B 1754	
1	Q80	A	Captain, (Police Dept.).....	2059 B 2059	
	Dept.		38 Police		
	Division		02 Field Operations Bureau		
	Section		53 Tactical Force		
	Fund		01001 General Fund		
	Object		002 Regular Uniform		

No. of Pos.	Class and Item No.		Class Title	Compensation Schedule	
50	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	$ 1143 B 1323	
15	Q2	B	Police Officer.....	1143 B 1323	
8	Q50	A	Sergeant, (Police Dept.).....	1535 B 1535	
1	Q50	B	Sergeant, (Police Dept.).....	1535 B 1535	
3	Q60	A	Lieutenant, (Police Dept.).....	1754 B 1754	
1	Q80	A	Captain, (Police Dept.).....	2059 B 2059	

Dept. 38 Police
Division 02 Field Operations Bureau
Section 54 Muni Protection
Fund 01001 General Fund

Object 002 Regular Uniform

39	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	1143 B 1323	
8	Q50	A	Sergeant, (Police Dept.).....	1535 B 1535	
2	Q60	A	Lieutenant, (Police Dept.).....	1754 B 1754	
1	Q80	A	Captain, (Police Dept.).....	2059 B 2059	

Dept. 38 Police
Division 02 Field Operations Bureau
Section 55 Field Training (FTO)
Fund 01001 General Fund

Object 002 Regular Uniform

30	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	1143 B 1323	
2	Q50	A	Sergeant, (Police Dept.).....	1535 B 1535	
1	Q60	A	Lieutenant, (Police Dept.).....	1754 B 1754	

Dept. 38 Police
Division 03 Investigations Bureau
Section 11 Personal & Property Crimes Detail
Fund 01001 General Fund

Object 002 Regular Uniform

17	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	1143 B 1323	
213	Q35	A	Assistant Inspector.....Q...	1420 B 1535	07
9	Q60	A	Lieutenant, (Police Dept.).....	1754 B 1754	
1	Q80	A	Captain, (Police Dept.).....	2059 B 2059	

///

No. of Pos.	Class and Item No.		Class Title	Compensation Schedule	

Dept. 38 Police
Division 03 Investigations Bureau
Section 13 Vice Crimes Investigations
Fund 01001 General Fund

Object 002 Regular Uniform

42	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	1143 B 1323	
1	Q20	A	Policewoman.....	1143 B 1323	
19	Q35	A	Assistant Inspector.....Q...	1420 B 1535	07
1	Q50	A	Sergeant, (Police Dept.).....	1535 B 1535	
3	Q60	A	Lieutenant, (Police Dept.).....	1754 B 1754	
1	Q80	A	Captain, (Police Dept.).....	2059 B 2059	

Dept. 38 Police
Division 03 Investigations Bureau
Section 14 Juvenile Bureau
Fund 01001 General Fund

Object 002 Regular Uniform

2	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	1143 B 1323	
1	Q20	A	Policewoman.....	1143 B 1323	
22	Q35	A	Assistant Inspector.....Q...	1420 B 1535	07
1	Q80	A	Captain, (Police Dept.).....	2059 B 2059	

Dept. 38 Police
Division 04 Support Services Bureau
Section 22 Communications
Fund 01001 General Fund

Object 002 Regular Uniform

16	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	1143 B 1323	
1	Q35	A	Assistant Inspector.....Q...	1420 B 1535	07
3	Q50	A	Sergeant, (Police Dept.).....	1535 B 1535	
2	Q60	A	Lieutenant, (Police Dept.).....	1754 B 1754	
1	Q80	A	Captain, (Police Dept.).....	2059 B 2059	

Dept. 38 Police
Division 04 Support Services Bureau
Section 23 Criminalistics Lab
Fund 01001 General Fund

No. of Pos.	Class and Item No.		Class Title	Compensation Schedule
	Object		002 Regular Uniform	
6	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	1143 B 1323
9	Q35	A	Assistant Inspector.....Q...	1420 B 1535 07
2	Q50	A	Sergeant, (Police Dept.).....	1535 B 1535
1	Q60	A	Lieutenant, (Police Dept.).....	1754 B 1754
1	Q63	A	Criminologist.....	2059 B 2059

Dept. 38 Police
Division 04 Support Services Bureau
Section 24 Property & Evidence Control
Fund 01001 General Fund

	Object		002 Regular Uniform	
8	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	1143 B 1323
1	Q60	A	Lieutenant, (Police Dept.).....	1754 B 1754

Dept. 38 Police
Division 04 Support Services Bureau
Section 25 Permits
Fund 01001 General Fund

	Object		002 Regular Uniform	
3	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	1143 B 1323
2	Q35	A	Assistant Inspector.....Q...	1420 B 1535 07

Dept. 38 Police
Division 04 Support Services Bureau
Section 26 Records
Fund 01001 General Fund

	Object		002 Regular Uniform	
11	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	1143 B 1323
3	Q50	A	Sergeant, (Police Dept.).....	1535 B 1535
2	Q60	A	Lieutenant, (Police Dept.).....	1754 B 1754
1	Q80	A	Captain, (Police Dept.).....	2059 B 2059

Dept. 38 Police
Division 04 Support Services Bureau
Section 27 Fleet Maint. & Management
Fund 01001 General Fund

	Object		002 Regular Uniform	
3	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	1143 B 1323

No. of Pos.	Class and Item No.		Class Title	Compensation Schedule

Dept. 38 Police
Division 04 Support Services Bureau
Section 28 Warrants
Fund 01001 General Fund

	Object		002 Regular Uniform	
13	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	$ 1143 B 1323
1	Q35	A	Assistant Inspector.....Q...	1420 B 1535 07
5	Q50	A	Sergeant, (Police Dept.).....	1535 B 1535
1	Q60	A	Lieutenant, (Police Dept.).....	1754 B 1754

Dept. 38 Police
Division 05 Administration Bureau
Section 31 Planning & Research
Fund 01001 General Fund

	Object		002 Regular Uniform	
3	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	1143 B 1323
1	Q50	A	Sergeant, (Police Dept.).....	1535 B 1535
1	Q60	A	Lieutenant, (Police Dept.).....	1754 B 1754

Dept. 38 Police
Division 05 Administration Bureau
Section 32 Training
Fund 01001 General Fund

	Object		002 Regular Uniform	
43	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	1143 B 1323
2	Q35	A	Assistant Inspector.....Q...	1420 B 1535 07
1	Q60	A	Lieutenant, (Police Dept.).....	1754 B 1754

Dept. 38 Police
Division 05 Administration Bureau
Section 33 Personnel Services
Fund 01001 General Fund

	Object		002 Regular Uniform	
12	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	1143 B 1323
1	Q20	A	Policewoman.....	1143 B 1323
5	Q35	A	Assistant Inspector.....Q...	1420 B 1535 07
1	Q50	A	Sergeant, (Police Dept.).....	1535 B 1535

No. of Pos.	Class and Item No.		Class Title	Compensation Schedule
1	Q60	A	Lieutenant, (Police Dept.).....	$ 1754 B 1754
1	Q80	A	Captain, (Police Dept.).....	2059 B 2059
	Dept.		38 Police	
	Division		05 Administration Bureau	
	Section		34 Legal Services	
	Fund		01001 General Fund	
	Object		002 Regular Uniform	
3	Q2	A	Police Officer.....	1143 B 1323
2	Q35	A	Assistant Inspector.....Q...	1420 B 1535 07
1	Q60	A	Lieutenant, (Police Dept.).....	1754 B 1754
	Dept.		38 Police	
	Division		05 Administration Bureau	
	Section		36 Police Services to other Depts	
	Fund		09099 Work Order	
	Object		002 Regular Uniform	
1	Q60	N	Lieutenant, (Police Dept.).....SC..	1754 B 1754

07 Q35, Assistant Inspector and 0380, Inspector:

Positions in classifications Q35, Assistant Inspector and 0380, Inspector are paid according to prior service as provided for in Charter Section 8.405.

Q35, Assistant Inspector when appointed from Rank Q2, Police Officer- $1420 B 1535.

Q35, Assistant Inspector when appointed from Rank Q50, Sergeant- $1535 B 1535.

Q35, Assistant Inspector for appointee with two years service $1535 B 1535.

0380, Inspector, $1535 B 1535.

Section 2. This ordinance shall be effective July 1, 1986.

Section 3. This ordinance is enacted as an emergency ordinance pursuant to Charter Section 2.301 to permit the Board of Supervisors to adopt a rate of compensation schedule for members of the Police and Fire Departments within the Charter mandated time for enactment of August 25. Rate compensation schedule from the city of Long Beach, which is intended under Charter Section 8.405 to be included in the

the 25th day of August. The emergency is based on the following facts: (1) the Civil Service Commission certified survey data (required by Charter Section 8.405 to be submitted by August 1) did not include the most recent Long Beach rates as that jurisdiction had not then established a new, fixed and enforceable rate, and (2) the securing of such data was beyond the control of the Board of Supervisors. The emergency is further founded on the fact that the inclusion of the new Long Beach data is necessary to establish a rate of compensation for the City's Police Department commensurate with the surveyed jurisdictions which is the very purpose of Charter Section 8.405.

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
PHILLIP WARD, ACTING
CITY ATTORNEY

BY: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

0184c

August 25, 1986

Passed as an emergency measure—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco,.....

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~.....

~~Absent: Supervisors~~.....

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

102-86-8
File No.

AUG 29 1986
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 105-86-1 ORDINANCE NO. 367-86

SALARIES AND WAGES SAL. ORD. AMEND NO. 8

FIXING AND DETERMINING RATES OF COMPENSATION TO BE PAID MUNICIPAL OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES, WHOSE OFFICES AND POSITIONS ARE ALLOCATED TO CLASSES SPECIFIED HEREIN AND COMPENSATION FOR WHICH ARE SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 8.405 OF THIS CHARTER PROVIDING THAT SAID RATES OF COMPENSATION SHALL BE EFFECTIVE BEGINNING JULY 1, 1986 REPEALING ORDINANCE NO. 410-85; AN EMERGENCY ORDINANCE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. Adoption of rates of compensation subject to the provisions of Section 8.405 of the Charter - pursuant to the provisions of Section 8.405 of the Charter, the rates of compensation specified herein shall be paid beginning July 1, 1986, as provided in said Section 8.405 of the Charter, to officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco who are occupying positions designated as or allocated to the various classifications of employment specified herein, compensations for which are subject to the provisions of said Section 8.405 of the Charter.

Section 2. Application of rates of compensation - employees legally holding offices and positions in the classifications hereinafter set forth, the compensation for which are subject to the provisions of Section 8.405 of the Charter, as aforesaid, shall be paid July 1, 1986 as provided in said Section 8.405 of the Charter, the amount set forth herein for the classification in which the respective offices or positions are classified or allocated.

Section 3. The schedules of compensation composing the rates of pay for the respective classifications subject to the provisions of Section 8.405 of the Charter are set forth in Sections 4 and 5 hereof.

Section 4. Police Service BI-WEEKLY COMPENSATION

0390	Chief of Police	$3560
0400	Deputy Chief	2994
0488	Commander	2434
0460	Secretary, Police Commission	1754
0380	Inspector	1535
Q 80	Captain	2059
Q 63	Criminologist	2059
Q 60	Lieutenant	1754
Q 50	Sergeant	1535
Q 35	Assistant Inspector	1420
	(with 2 years of service)	1535
0520	Police Surgeon	1323
Q 20	Policewoman 1st year	1143
	2nd year	1200
	3rd year	1260
	4th year	1323
Q 2	Police Officer 1st year	1143
	2nd year	1200
	3rd year	1260
	4th year	1323

Members assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty receive $87 bi-weekly additional compensation.

Section 5. Fire Service BI-WEEKLY COMPENSATION

0140	Chief of Department	$3560
0145	Assistant Deputy Chief	2993
0150	Deputy Chief of Department	2994
0155	Secretary to Chief of Department	2356
H 50	Assistant Chief of Department	2434
H 40	Battalion Chief	2105
H 30	Captain	1754
H 29	Special Services Officer	1753
H 20	Lieutenant	1535
H 19	Airport Training Officer	1533
H 18	Coordinator of Community Service	1533
H 10	Chief's Operator	1440
H 32	Captain, Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety	1982
H 22	Lieutenant, Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety	1734
H 24	Lieutenant, Bureau of Fire Investigation	1734
H 6	Investigator, Bureau of Fire Investigation	1584
H 4	Inspector, Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety	1584
H 2	Firefighter 1st year	1143
	2nd year	1200
	3rd year	1260
	4th year	1323
H110	Marine Engineer of Fireboats	1754
H120	Pilot of Fireboat	1754

Section 6. Placement Above The Entrance Rate.

Upon notice to the Civil Service Commission by the appointing officer, the reinstatement or reappointment of a former permanent member of the uniformed forces of the Police or Fire Department, following resignation with services satisfactory, may be made at the step in

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

the respective salary range the returning uniformed member enjoyed immediately prior to resignation.

Section 7. Holidays.

Members of the uniformed force of the Police Department shall be entitled to the days declared to be holidays for employees whose compensations are fixed in the schedules of compensation adopted by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to the provisions of Section 8.401 of the Charter as additional days off with pay. Members shall be compensated on the basis of time and one half as computed pursuant to Charter Section 8.451 or shall be granted equivilant time off duty with pay at the rate of time and one half as requested by the member. Members of the uniformed force of the Police Department working a five-day work week other than Monday through Friday shall be allowed another day off if a holiday falls on one of their regularly scheduled days off. Employees whose holidays are changed because of shift rotations shall be allowed another day off if a legal holiday falls on one of their days off.

If the provisions of this section deprive an employee of the same number of holidays that an employee receives who works Monday through Friday, he/she shall be granted additional days off to equal such number of holidays. The designation of such days off shall be by mutual agreement of the employee and the appropriate supervisor with the approval of the appointing officer. In no event shall the provisions of this section result in such employee receiving more or less holidays than an employee on a Monday thru Friday work schedule.

Section 8. Police Night Differential.

Any member of the uniformed force of the Police Department who actually performs services between the hours of 5:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. shall be entitled to an additional 6.25% of the compensation otherwise payable for base pay therefor for all such hours worked; provided, however, t

that such additional compensation shall not be included for purposes of retirement benefit calculation or contributions provided elsewhere in the Charter.

Section 9. Overtime Compensation for Uniformed Members of the Police and Fire Departments.

Members who request compensation by payment for overtime worked shall be paid within fourteen (14) days after the end of the pay period during which said overtime was worked. Members who request equivalent time off duty for overtime worked shall have their compensatory time account adjusted within fourteen (14) days after the end of tne pay period during which said overtime was worked.

Section 10. Savings Clause.

If it shall be determined by any court of competent jurisidiction that any salary or wage rate schedule of compensation fixed herein, or any provision hereof, is contrary to tne provisions of Section 8.405 of the Charter, or of any provisions of the Charter or Law, such determination shall not affect the validity of any other salary wage or rate of compensation or provisions in this ordinance.

Section 11. The rate of compensation provided for in said Sections 4 and 5 of this ordinance shall become effective July 1, 1986.

Section 12. Ordinance No. 410-85 is hereby repealed.

Section 13. This ordinance is enacted as an emergency ordinance pursuant to Charter Section 2.301 to permit the Board of Supervisors to adopt a rate of compensation schedule for members of tne Police and Fire Departments within the Charter mandated time for enactment of August 25. Rate compensation schedule from the city of Long Beach, which is intended under Charter Section 8.405 to be included in tne rate calculation, was not available to the Board of Supervisors until the 25th day of August. The emergency is based on the following facts: (1) the Civil Service Commission certified survey data (required by

Charter Section 8.405 to be submitted by August 1) did not include the most recent Long Beach rates as that jurisdiction had not then established a new, fixed and enforceable rate, and (2) the securing of such data was beyond the control of the Board of Supervisors. The emergency is further founded on the fact that the inclusion of the new Long Beach data is necessary to establish a rate of compensation for the City's Police Department commensurate with the surveyed jurisdictions which is the very purpose of Charter Section 8.405.

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
PHILLIP WARD, ACTING
CITY ATTORNEY

By: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

Passed as an emergency measure—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 25, 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

[signature]
Clerk

105-86-1
File No.

AUG 29 1986
Approved

[signature]
Mayor

8/6/86

FILE NO. 127-86-7

ORDINANCE NO. 368-86

(Transient Occupancy Tax)

AMENDING PART III, ARTICLE 7, OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 506 THEREOF TO CHANGE THE EXEMPTION FROM TAX ON TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY HOTEL ROOMS; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are <u>underlined</u>; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Section 1, Part III, Article 7, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by amending Section 506 thereof to read as follows:

SEC. 506. <u>Exemptions</u>.

No tax shall be imposed hereunder:

(a) Upon a permanent resident.

(b) Upon a corporation or association having a formally recognized exemption from income taxation pursuant to Section 501(c) or 501(d) or 401(a) of Title 26 of the United States Code as qualified by Sections 502, 503, 504 and 508 of Title 26 of the United States Code.

(c) Where the rent is less than at the rate of ((Five ($5.00))) <u>Twenty</u> Dollars <u>($20.00)</u> a day <u>or Eighty-five Dollars ($85.00) per week</u>.

Section 2. This ordinance shall become effective pursuant to Charter Section 2.300 and shall become operative October 1, 1986.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

PHILIP S. WARD
Acting City Attorney

By: Robert A. Venezia
Deputy City Attorney

2717w

Passed for Second Reading

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 1 1 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne,~~ Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE

Mary Anne Baker ACTING Clerk

127-86-7
File No.

AUG 2 9 1986
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 1 8 1986

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt, Hongisto,~~ Kennedy, ~~Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, HONGISTO KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Anne Baker ACTING Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 369-86-1 ORDINANCE NO. 369-86

(SADDLE HORSE AGREEMENT)

APPROVING A MONTH TO MONTH AGREEMENT WITH JAY BARNES FOR ESTABLISHING AND OPERATING A PROFESSIONAL SADDLE HORSE CONCESSION AT CAMP MATHER.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. That certain agreement between the Recreation and Park Commission, for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and Jay Barnes, dba: Mather Saddle and Pack Station, for establishing and operating a professional saddle horse concession at Camp Mather, a copy of which agreement is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made, is hereby approved.

RECOMMENDED:

Mary E. Burns, General Manager
Recreation and Park Department

Shauna Marie Rose, Secretary
Recreation and Park Commission

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By: Mara Rosales
Mara Rosales, Deputy City Attorney

0355c

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 11 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne,~~ Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor RENNE

Mary Anne Buler ACTING Clerk

369-86-1 AUG 29 1986
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 18 1986

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt, Hongisto,~~ Kennedy, ~~Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT HONGISTO KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Mary Anne Buler ACTING Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 369-86-2 ORDINANCE NO. 370-86

(ZOO DOO PROJECT LEASE)

APPROVING THE REDUCTION OF THE ZOO DOO PROJECT LIABILITY INSURANCE COVERAGE FROM $1,000,000.00 to $300,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Recreation and Park Commission, for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and Urban Resource Systems is hereby mutually agreed to reduce the Zoo Doo project liability insurance coverage from $1,000,000.00 to $300,000.00, a copy of which lease is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made, is hereby approved.

RECOMMENDED:

Mary E. Burns, General Manager
Recreation and Park Department

Shauna Marie Rose, Secretary
Recreation and Park Commission

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By: Mara Rosales, Deputy City Attorney

0354c

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 11 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne,~~ Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE

ACTING Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 18 1986

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt, Hongisto,~~ Kennedy, ~~Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, HONGISTO KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ACTING Clerk

369-86-2
File No.

AUG 29 1986
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 121-86-12.1

AS AMENDED IN BD. 8/18/86
ORDINANCE NO. 371-86

[Regulation of Solicitations for Charitable Purposes] *
AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER VIII OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (POLICE CODE) BY AMENDING ARTICLE 9.6 THEREOF RELATING TO THE REGULATION OF THE SOLICITATION OF CONTRIBUTIONS FOR CHARITABLE PURPOSES.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Note: Additions are indicated by underlining; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Section 1. Part II, Chapter VIII, Article 9.6 of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Police Code) is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE 9.6

REGULATIONS FOR SOLICITATION FOR CHARITABLE PURPOSES

SEC. 660. FINDINGS AND PURPOSE.

(a) The primary purpose of the public streets and sidewalks is for use by vehicular and pedestrian traffic; however, state and federal courts have determined that sidewalks are also traditional public fora for the exchange of ideas and views protected by our federal and state constitutions.

(b) The sale of goods, products and services with its corresponding inquiry regarding the quality and price of goods, bartering over that price, and exchange of goods, products and services for consideration, by its nature has created greater problems with regard to the use of the public sidewalk than the traditional solicitation of contributions by charitable organizations. In particular, the unregulated sale of goods, products and services on the public sidewalks by charitable organizations and persons soliciting by sales on behalf of charitable organizations has caused congestion and potential use of force that threatens the safety of pedestrians attempting to use the sidewalks and public transit for their primary purpose. It has also interfered with pedestrian access to private residences, Municipal Railway, cable car and bus zones, and places of business. One of the primary causes of these problems is the sales activities on the public sidewalks of commercial enterprises who represent that part of their profits will go to a charitable organization. This problem has become particularly critical in the Fisherman's Wharf area.

(c) Reasonable regulation of sidewalk solicitation is necessary to protect the public safety, health, and welfare.

(d) The City has sought to address the problems created by the unregulated sales activities of charitable solicitors by means less restrictive than those set out in this legislation. These less restrictive measures have failed to alleviate adequately the problems caused by the sales activities of charitable organizations.

(e) The San Francisco Street Artists Program, established by a mandate of the people of the City and County of San Francisco with the adoption of Proposition L on November 4, 1975, is an essential component of this City's effort to support the work of its resident artisans. Permitting the sale of merchandise in spaces designated as Street Artists spaces by persons other than Street Artists tends to confuse the public regarding the nature of the products sold and adversely affects the credibility of the Street Artists Program.

(f) The City and County of San Francisco has a duty to

safeguard its citizens from fraudulent solicitors. Like other communities, San Francisco has traditionally been plagued with persons defrauding its citizens by falsely representing that they are soliciting contributions for charitable purposes. The most effective method for protecting citizens from fraudulent solicitors is to require full disclosure to the public of information regarding the operations and purposes of persons soliciting for charitable purposes and to ensure that the information disclosed is truthful.

SEC. 660.1. DEFINITIONS. Whenever used in this Article unless a different meaning clearly appears from the context:

(a) "Association" shall mean any unincorporated organization or group of individuals sharing a common purpose or common purposes.

(b) "Charitable Organization" shall mean and include any person who, or any nonprofit community organization, fraternal, benevolent, educational, philanthropic, or service organization, or governmental employee organization which, solicits or obtains contributions solicited from the public for charitable purposes or holds any assets solely for charitable purposes.

(c)(1) "Charitable Purpose" shall mean religious, charitable, scientific, testing for public safety, literary, educational, or for the prevention of cruelty to children or animals, and all other purposes recognized as charitable under common law.

(c)(2) "Charitable Purpose" shall not be construed to include the direct benefit of the person making the solicitation.

(d) "Contributions" shall mean and include the words alms, food, clothing, money, subscription or property. "Contributions" shall also mean donations under the guise of a loan of money or property.

(e) "Department" shall mean the San Francisco Police Department.

(f) "Person" shall mean any individual, partnership, corporation, or association.

(g) "Promoter" shall mean any person who for pecuniary compensation or consideration received, or to be received, solicits or is engaged in the business of or holds himself or herself out to the public as engaged in the business of soliciting contributions for a charitable purpose for or on behalf of any charitable organization or conducts, manages or carries on or is engaged in the business of or holds himself or herself out as engaged in the business of conducting, managing, or carrying on any drive or campaign for any such purpose. Pecuniary compensation shall include, but shall not be limited to, participation on a percentage basis in any fund solicited or raised, for or on behalf of any charitable organization; provided that no person who is a bona fide paid officer or employee of, or volunteer for, a charitable organization who has obtained a Certificate of Registration as hereinafter provided shall be considered a promoter within the meaning of this Article, and further provided that, for purposes of this Article, the term "promoter" shall apply only to persons who engage themselves in the activity defined herein on the streets, sidewalks, parks or other property under the control of the City and County of San Francisco.

(h) "Public Sidewalks" shall mean all improved sidewalks owned or under the control of the the City and County of San Francisco.

(i) "Solicit," "solicitation," and "solicitation for a charitable purpose," shall mean any request, plea, entreaty, demand, or invitation, or attempt thereof, to give money or property, which occurs on the streets, sidewalks, or parks or other property under the control of the City and County of San Francisco in connection with which:

(1) Any appeal is made for charitable purposes; or

(2) The name of any charity, philanthropic or charitable organization is used or referred to in any such appeal as an inducement for making any such gift; or

(3) Any statement is made to the effect that such gift or any part hereof will go to or be used for any charitable purpose or organization.

(j) "Sales solicitation for a charitable purpose" shall mean the sale of, offer to sell, or attempt to sell, <u>or the solicitation or receipt of money in exchange in whole or part for,</u> any advertisement, advertising space, book, card, chance, coupon device, magazine subscription, membership, merchandise, ticket or admission or any other thing or service, which occurs on the streets, sidewalks, parks or other property under the control of the City and County of San Francisco, in connection with which:

(1) Any appeal is made for charitable purposes; or

(2) The name of any charity, philanthropic or charitable organization is used or referred to in any such appeal as an inducement for making any such sale; or

(3) Any statement is made to the effect that the whole or any part of the proceeds from such sale will go to or be used for any charitable purpose or organization.

(k) "Agent" shall mean any person authorized by any other person or organization to transact some business, manage some affair, or perform any other act, on behalf of that person or organization.

660.2. UNLAWFUL SOLICITATION.

(a) No charitable organization may solicit, directly or through an agent employee or promoter, any contribution for any charitable purpose or conduct any sales solicitation for charitable purposes activity within the City and County of San Francisco, unless, prior to each 180 day period during which solicitations for contributions will be conducted, such charitable organization shall have first obtained a Certificate of Registration from the Chief of Police or his or her designate, as hereinafter provided.

(b) No individual, as agent, employee or promoter of another, may solicit contributions for a charitable purpose unless the charitable organization on whose behalf the individual is soliciting has received a Certificate of Registration.

<u>(c) No individual may engage in sales solicitation for a charitable purpose without visibly displaying an identification card issued to that individual by the Chief of Police or his or her designate as hereinafter provided.</u>

<u>(d)</u> (((c))) (1) No individual may solicit for a charitable purpose or engage in sales solicitation for a charitable purpose on the streets, sidewalks, parks or other property under the control of the City and County of

San Francisco, unless the solicitor shall clearly and visibly display and have available for distribution to the prospective donor or purchaser a card entitled "Solicitation for Charitable Purposes Card." The card shall be of a size to be prescribed by the Chief of Police or his or her designate, signed and dated under penalty of perjury by an individual who is a principal or officer of the charitable organization on whose behalf the solicitation is made.

The information on the card shall be printed in at least 10-point type and shall include the following:

(a) The name and address of the charitable organization on whose behalf the money will be collected.

(b) The name of the individual soliciting on behalf of the charitable organization.

(((b))) (c) The number of the Certificate of Registration issued to the organization by the Chief of Police.

(((c))) (d) If there is no charitable organization, the manner in which the money collected will be utilized for charitable purposes.

(((d))) (e) The percentage of the purchase price that will be used for charitable purposes.

(((e)))(f) If promoters are paid a set fee rather than a percentage of the total amount raised, the card shall show the total cost that is estimated will be used for such promoters, and the name and business address of the employer of any promoter who is doing the actual soliciting.

(((f))) (g) If the solicitation is not a sale

solicitation, the card may state, in place of the amount of fundraising expenses, that ((a)) an audited financial statement of such expenses may be obtained by contacting the organization at the address disclosed.

(((g))) (h) Whether the charitable organization on whose behalf the solicitation is made is tax exempt under state and federal law.

(((h))) (i) The percentage of the total gift or purchase price which may be deducted as a charitable contribution under both federal and state law. If no portion is so deductible the card shall state that "This contribution is not tax deductible."

(((i))) (j) If the charitable organization making the solicitation represents any nongovernmental organization by any name which includes, but is not limited to, the term, "officer," "peace officer," "police," "law enforcement," "reserve officer," "deputy," or "deputy sheriff," which would reasonably be understood to imply that the organization is composed of law enforcement personnel, the solicitor shall give the total number of members in the organization and the number of members working or living within the county where the solicitation is being made, and if the solicitation is for advertising, the statewide circulation of the publication in which the solicited ad will appear.

(((c))) (d) (2) Any person engaged in sales solicitation for a charitable purpose by means of selling goods, products ((and)) or services from a stationary display on the streets or sidewalks or other places held open to the public shall, in addition to the

requirements of section (((c)))(d)(1), display a sign which shall contain the name and address of the person on whose behalf the charitable solicitation is being made, the purpose of the solicitation, whether the organization is tax exempt under state or federal law, and the percentage of the money collected that will be utilized for charitable purposes. The sign shall be 2 feet by 2 feet square and shall be printed in black 36 point bold face print on a white background.

(((c)))(d)(3) A volunteer who receives no compensation of any type from, or in connection with, a solicitation for a charitable organization which has qualified for a tax exemption under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, and who is ((18)) 15 years of age or younger, is not required to make any disclosures pursuant to this section.

(((c))) (d)(4) When the solicitation is not a sales solicitation, any individual volunteer who receives no compensation of any type from, or in connection with, a solicitation by any charitable organization may comply with the disclosure provisions of Section 660.2 (((c))) (d) (1) by providing in writing the name and address of the charitable organization on behalf of which all or any part of the money collected will be utilized for charitable purposes, the charitable purposes for which the solicitation is made and that the information about revenues and expenses of such organization, including its administration and fundraising costs, may be obtained by contacting the organization's office at the address disclosed. Such organization shall provide such

information to the person solicited immediately upon receipt of the request.

(((d))) (e) No person, other than the Chief of Police or his or her designate, may alter ((a)) an identification card or certificate of registration.

(f) No person may engage in a sales solicitation for charitable purposes while displaying an identification card issued by the Chief of Police or his or her designate in the name of another individual.

(((e))) (g) No person may solicit for charitable purposes or engage in sales solicitations for charitable purposes from any vehicle.

(h) No promoter shall solicit contributions by means of the sale of goods, wares or merchandise, or any article, material or substance, of whatsoever kind, unless the promoter, in addition to complying with the provisions of this Article, obtains the appropriate peddling or vending permit as provided for in the Charter, Municipal Code, or Administrative Code of the City and County of San Francisco, including but not limited to Section 869 of Article 13 of the San Francisco Police Code, Section 2400 of Article 24 of the San Francisco Police Code, or Section 1330.1 of Article 17.3 of the San Francisco Police Code. A promoter receiving a peddling or vending permit shall be subject to any health, safety, or welfare provision applicable to other persons peddling or vending pursuant to such a permit, including, but not limited to, the locational provisions.

(((f))) (i) In areas within the City and County of

San Francisco other than ((that)) those areas described in subsection (((i))) (1) of this section, and in Sec. 660.2-1, below, no person may engage in sales solicitations for charitable purposes by means of selling goods, products, services or merchandise on the public sidewalks:

(1) within five feet of any of the following:

(a) a person already soliciting pursuant to a Certificate of Registration issued under this Article.

(b) a space designated by the Public Works Department of the City and County of San Francisco as a street artist space pursuant to Article 24 of the San Francisco Police Code. For the purposes of this subsection, the five feet shall be measured from the outer edges of the street artist space in each direction parallel to the building side of the space and thence at a 90-degree angle to the curbside and building side of the sidewalk.

(c) a person peddling goods, products ((and)) or services pursuant to a permit issued under Article 17.3 or Article 13 of the San Francisco Police Code, Article 5 of the San Francisco Public Works Code, or any other provision of the San Francisco Charter or San Francisco Municipal Code.

(d) a crosswalk

(e) a fire hydrant.

(f) the end of a fire escape.

(2) within ten feet from the outer edge of any entrance to any building, including, but not limited to, doors, driveways, emergency exits measured in each direction

parallel to the building line and thence at a 90-degree angle to the curb.

(3) within 18 inches of the curb line of a sidewalk or more than 4 1/2 feet from the curb line of a sidewalk or, in the alternative, within 18 inches of abutting property line or more than 4 1/2 feet from the abutting property line provided in the latter case that the solicitor's activities may not be conducted within 12 inches of a window of any building, measured in each direction parallel to the building line and thence at a 90-degree angle to the curb.

(4) in a space more than four feet long or five feet high.

(5) on the street side of any sidewalk adjacent to a curb that has been designated pursuant to ordinance or regulation as a red, white, blue, green, or yellow zone.

(6) between the hours of 12:00 a.m. (midnight) and 6:00 a.m. of the following day.

(7) if, due to such solicitation for sales on a public sidewalk, there remains open for the passage of pedestrians a space of less than 6 feet in width, as measured on a line perpendicular to the curb line, from the edge of the solicitor's activities to the edge of the sidewalk or the edge of the building farthest from the edge of those activities. No portion of the area occupied by the solicitor for its activities shall be included in measuring the 6 foot space.

(((g))) (j) No person shall directly or indirectly solicit contributions for any purpose by misrepresentation of his or her name, occupation, financial condition, exemption status, or residence, and no person shall make or

perpetrate any other misstatement, deception, or fraud in connection with any solicitation of any contribution for any purpose in the City and County of San Francisco or in any Statement of Registration or report filed under this Article.

(((h))) (k) No charitable organization shall solicit or cause or permit to be made any solicitation or sales solicitation within the City and County of San Francisco while the Certificate of Registration issued to the Charitable organization is revoked.

(((i))) (l) No person may engage in sales solicitations for charitable purposes by means of selling clothing, jewelry, or any other goods, products, services or merchandise in any area of the City and County of San Francisco zoned pursuant to the San Francisco Planning Code as an "RH" or "RM" district or in that area of the City and County of San Francisco bounded by and including both sides of Van Ness Avenue from Francisco Street to the Bay of San Francisco on the west, both sides of Francisco Street from Van Ness Avenue to the Bay of San Francisco on the south, and the Bay of San Francisco between Van Ness Avenue and Francisco Street on the north and east unless that person obtains the appropriate peddling permit pursuant to Article 13, 17.3 or 24 of the San Francisco Police Code. This section shall not apply to the sale of books, pamphlets, buttons, bumperstickers, posters or any other type of item that has no intrinsic value or purpose other than to communicate a message. In the event the enforcement of this subsection is restrained or enjoined in that area of the City and County of San Francisco bounded by and

including both sides of Van Ness Avenue from Francisco Street to the Bay of San Francisco on the west, both sides of Francisco Street from Van Ness Avenue to the Bay of San Francisco on the south, and the Bay of San Francisco between Van Ness Avenue and Francisco Street on the north and east, the regulations specified in subsection (((f))) (m) of this section shall apply to ((the)) that area ((described in this subsection.))

(m) In that area of the City and County of San Francisco subject to the lottery conducted pursuant to Section 660.2-1 of this Article, no person may conduct sales solicitations for charitable purposes except in those spaces designated by the Director of Public Works or his her designate pursuant to Section 660.2-2 of this Article, nor shall any person conduct sales solicitations in such a space without having first obtained a document from the Director of Public Works indicating that the solicitor has been chosen for the space pursuant to the lottery conducted under Section 660.2-1 of this Article.

SEC. 660.2-1. LOTTERY

(a) The Director of Public Works shall establish and supervise a fair and equitable lottery system under which those persons having obtained a Certificate of Registration pursuant to Section 660.4 of this Article and desiring to engage in sales solicitations for charitable purposes in that area subject to the lottery shall be authorized by lot to vie for specified available solicitation spaces as designated by the Director of Public Works pursuant to Section 660.2-2 of this Article.

(b) It is the purpose of this ordinance that all charitable organizations have an equal opportunity to participate in the lottery. To that end, the Director of Public Works shall not allot to a charitable organization participating in the lottery a second solicitation space until all those charitable organizations participating in the lottery have been allotted a solicitation space. Further, the Director of Public Works may adopt rules and regulations to implement this section which may include regulations prohibiting an individual or group of individuals acting as a paid representative of more than one charitable organization from participating more than once in any single round of the lottery, thereby obtaining an unfair advantage over the other participants in the lottery.

(c) The area subject to lottery shall be the area bounded by and including both sides of Market Street between Van Ness Avenue and the Bay of San Francisco on the south, both sides of Van Ness Avenue between Market Street and the Bay of San Francisco on the west, and the Bay of San Francisco on the north and east, excluding the areas in which sales solicitations for charitable purposes are prohibited pursuant to Sec. 660.2 (1) of this Article.

(d) The Director of Public Works shall specify one day in each week for the holding of a lottery for allotting solicitation spaces to those charitable organizations seeking to engage in sales solicitations for charitable purposes in the area subject to the lottery. The spaces allotted under this section shall be allotted for a period of one week.

(e) The Director of Public Works may make such reasonable rules and regulations as are necessary to effectuate the lottery.

(f) The Director of Public Works shall design and distribute to those chosen in the lottery a document identifying the charitable organization chosen and the location where the charitable organization chosen will be allowed to engage in sales solicitations for charitable purposes for the following week.

(g) The lottery document shall be in the possession of the solicitor at all times and shall be displayed to a police officer upon request.

SEC. 660.2-2 DESIGNATION OF SPACES

(a) Subject to the proviso set out in subsection (c) of this section, the Director of Public Works, following notice to the public in the affected area of his or her intention to designate spaces and inviting public comment on said designations, shall cause to be drawn on the sidewalks in the area subject to the lottery described in Section 660.2-1 lines designating the spaces in which persons may engage in sales solicitations for charitable purposes. In designating these spaces, he or she shall designate one space per pair of sidewalks adjoining each two block length of a street. A block length of the street shall be that area encompassed by street addresses within the same "100" group.

(b) To the extent feasible and subject to the one space per two block standard of subsection (a), the Director of Public Works shall not designate a space:

(1) within five feet of any of the following:

(a) a space designated by the Public Works Department of the City and County of San Francisco as a street artist space pursuant to Article 24 of the San Francisco Police Code.

(c) a person peddling goods pursuant to a permit issued under Article 17.3 or Article 13 of the San Francisco Police Code.

(d) a crosswalk.

(e) a fire hydrant.

(f) the end of a fire escape.

(2) within ten feet from the outer edge of any entrance to any building, including, but not limited to, doors, driveways, emergency exits measured in each direction parallel to the building line and thence at a 90-degree angle to the curb.

(3) within 18 inches of the curb line of a sidewalk or more than 4 1/2 feet from the curb line of a sidewalk

(4) more than four feet long.

(5) on any sidewalk adjacent to a curb that has been designated pursuant to ordinance or regulation as a red zone, yellow, blue, green, or white zone.

(6) if, due to such designation, there remains open for the passage of pedestrians a space of less than 6 feet in width, as measured on a line perpendicular to the curb line, from the edge of the space to the edge of the sidewalk or the edge of the building farthest from the edge of those activities. No portion of the space shall be included in measuring the 6 foot space.

(c) The Director of Public Works, in designating spaces pursuant to subsection (a) of this section shall designate

spaces only in those sections of the area subject to the lottery where there has been a demonstrated demand for space for sales solicitations activities or in those block lengths in which a charitable organization requests that a space be designated.

SEC. 660.3 STATEMENT OF REGISTRATION. All charitable organizations desiring to solicit funds for charitable purposes in the City and County of San Francisco shall file with the Chief of Police or his or her designate a written Statement of Registration, which Statement shall contain complete information as follows:

(a) The name of the charitable organization registering to solicit funds for charitable purposes.

(b) The purpose of the solicitation and use of the contribution to be solicited.

(c) Whether the charitable organization registering is a natural person, partnership, corporation or association and,

(1) If a natural person, the person's business or residence address and telephone number.

(2) If a partnership, ((corporation or association,)) the name of all the partners and principal business address and telephone number of ((the partnership, corporation or association and the name of the individual in charge of the San Francisco office)) each partner.

(3) If a corporation, whether it is organized under the laws of California or is a foreign corporation, the mailing address, business location, and telephone number, name of the individual in charge of the San

Francisco office of the corporation, and the names of all officers and directors or trustees of said corporation, and if a foreign corporation, the place of incorporation.

(4) If an association, the association's principal business address and telephone number, if any, and the names and principal business or residence addresses and telephone numbers of the officers and directors or trustees of the association. If the association is part of a multi-state organization or association, the mailing address and business location of its central office and the mailing address and business location of its local office.

(d) A description of the methods and means by which the solicitation of funds is to be accomplished, including whether solicitations will be accomplished by the sale of any item.

(e) What portion of the contributions collected as a result of the solicitation will remain available for application to the specific purposes declared in the Statement of Registration.

(f) A financial statement of all revenues and contributions collected by or received by such charitable organization or association within the City and County of San Francisco within the 180 day period immediately preceding the filing of such Statement of Registration, ((and)) the ((expenses of such organization or association, including its administrative and fundraising costs)) expenditures or use made of such contributions, together with the names and addresses of all persons or associations

receiving salaries, wages, compensation, commissions or emoluments from such contributions, and the respective amounts thereof. A charitable organization may comply with the requirements of this subsection by submitting every 180 days a completed Federal Tax Form 990.

(g) If the charitable organization is a corporation, partnership, or association, a ((notarized)) copy of the resolution or other document issued in compliance with the bylaws or internal rules of any organization authorizing such solicitation and authorizing a particular individual to apply for the Certificate of Registration certified to as a true and correct copy of the original of such resolution by the officer of such association having charge of the records thereof.

(h) A statement that the signers of such Statement of Registration have read and are familiar with the provisions of this Article and will require all persons authorized to engage in such solicitation to read and be familiar with all sections of this Article prior to making any such solicitation.

(i) The time during which the solicitation shall be made, giving the proposed dates for the beginning and ending of such solicitation, or if the solicitation is to be ongoing, that fact.

(j) A projected schedule of salaries, fees, commissions, expenses and costs to be expended and paid in connection with the solicitation of funds or in connection with their disbursement, and an estimated percentage of the total projected collections which the costs of solicitation will comprise.

(k) The names, mailing addresses and telephone numbers of all individuals who will be in direct charge or control of the solicitation of funds.

(l) The names, mailing addresses and telephone numbers of all individuals authorized to disburse the proceeds of the solicitation.

(((i))) (m) A statement to the effect that if a Certificate of Registration is granted, such Certificate will not be used as or represented to be an endorsement by the City or any of its officers or employees.

(n) The names of any officer, director, trustee, partner, or any current agent or employee engaging in the solicitation of funds who has been convicted of any felony within the past seven years, the nature of the offense, the state where the conviction occurred, and the year of the conviction.

(((j))) (o) Whether the applicant has received tax exempt status from either the State of California or the United States, or both, and a copy of its current tax exempt determination letter, if any.

(((k))) (p) Any restriction on the disbursal of the monies collected by reason of age, sex, sexual orientation, ethnic background, or geographical location of potential recipients.

(((l))) (q) Whether the solicitation will be conducted by employees or volunteers of the charitable organization on whose behalf the solicitation is made or by a promoter. If the solicitation is to be made by a promoter, the name, business address, and phone number of the promoter.

(((m))) (r) If the charitable organization is a

corporation, partnership, or association, the Statement of Registration must be signed by the person authorized to apply for the Certificate of Registration by the resolution required in Section 660.3 (g) and, under penalty of perjury, declared to contain true and correct information. If the charitable organization is an individual, the Statement of Registration must be signed by that individual and, under penalty of perjury, declared to contain true and correct information.

(((n))) (s) Any other information deemed necessary by the Chief of Police to verify the accuracy of the information provided pursuant to this section.

SEC. 660.4 ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE OF REGISTRATION.

(a) After a review of the Statement of Registration to determine its compliance with Section 660.3 above, and within 10 working days of the receipt of the Statement of Registration, the Chief of Police or his or her designate shall either issue a Certificate of Registration or notify the person registering that the Statement of Registration does not comply with the requirements of Section 660.3 above and specifying what information has not been furnished. Upon receipt of that additional information, the Chief of Police or his or her designate shall, within 5 working days of the receipt of the revised Statement, issue a Certificate of Registration.

(b) The Chief of Police shall not issue a Certificate of Registration if the Chief of Police:

(1) Finds, after a noticed hearing conducted pursuant to section 660.11, that the Statement of Registration contains a material misstatement of fact, which material

misstatement was known to the person filing the application, or

(2) Has suspended the registrant's right to apply for, or solicit under, a Certificate of Registration pursuant to Section ((660.10)) 660.11 of this Article.

(3) After notifying the registrant of what information has not been furnished, the Chief of Police fails to receive the additional information.

(c) The Chief of Police or his or her designate shall issue the Certificate of Registration or notify the registrant of the information that has not been furnished in less than 10 days if the registrant files with the Chief of Police a affidavit signed under penalty of perjury describing specific, sudden, unexpected circumstances, and declaring that due to those sudden, unexpected circumstances, application of the 10 day rule would deprive the registrant of necessary, immediate access to the public for solicitation related to the sudden, unexpected circumstances.

(d) Any charitable organization that has obtained a Certificate of Registration prior to the amendment of this Article need not file a Statement of Registration under this amended Article until the expiration date specified on that Certificate of Registration.

SEC 660.5 CHANGE IN FACT, POLICY OR METHOD. If, while any Statement of Registration is pending, or during the term of any Certificate of Registration granted thereon, there is any change in fact, policy, or method that would materially alter the information given in the Statement of Registration, the registrant shall notify the Chief of Police or his or her

designate in writing thereof within 48 hours after such change. Such notice shall specifically indicate what section of the Statement of Registration is affected by the change, in what manner the information in that section has been changed, and include the fee for amendments to Statements of Registration set by the Police Commission.

SEC. 660.6 CERTIFICATE OF REGISTRATION NON-TRANSFERABLE -- RETURN OF UPON EXPIRATION. Any Certificate of Registration issued under this Article shall be non-transferable and shall be returned to the Chief of Police or his or her designate within five days of its date of expiration.

SEC. 660.7 REGISTRATION FEE. The Police Commission shall, within 180 days of the effective date of this Article as amended, set the fees for registration and amendments to Statements and Certificates of Registration, and additional identification cards, required under this Article. These fees shall be based on the costs of issuing and amending the certificates of registration and identification cards and conducting the lottery provided for in this Article. The Chief of Police or his or her designate shall waive these fees if the registrant files with the Chief of Police an affidavit signed under penalty of perjury declaring that the registrant's inability to pay the fees would prevent the registrant from soliciting contributions for a charitable purpose. Pending determination of these fees by the Police Commission, every Statement of Registration shall be accompanied by a registration fee of thirty dollars ($30) for solicitations or fifty dollars ($50) for sales solicitations to defray the costs incurred by the City and County of San Francisco in administering the provisions of this Article. Fees collected under this section shall be used exclusively for administering

the provisions of this Article.

SEC. 660.8 SOLICITORS' IDENTIFICATION CARDS AND LISTS OF SOLICITORS. Consistent with the standards and purposes of this Article, the Chief of Police or his or her designate shall create identification cards for persons engaged in sales solicitations for charitable purposes. Each such identification card shall be laminated and shall bear the name and residence or business address of the charitable organization registering, a recent photograph of the solicitor, to be supplied by the charitable organization registering and to be of a size prescribed by the Chief of Police or his or her designate, the registration number, the name and signature of the solicitor or agent, the expiration date of the Certificate of Registration, if the solicitor is a promoter or agent or employee of a promoter, that fact, and it shall have printed prominently thereon in red: "This identification card is not an endorsement of the solicitation by the City and County of San Francisco or any of its officers or employees." The name of the organization, photograph of the individual and statement of non-endorsement shall appear on the front of the card with the remaining information appearing on the back of the card. This card shall be prepared and laminated by the Chief of Police or his or her designate or, at the election of the charitable organization, by the charitable organization on pre-numbered cards supplied by the Department.

The Chief of Police or his or her designate shall issue up to five (5) identification cards with the Certificate of Registration without any additional charge. The registrant may obtain additional identification cards by paying to the City its actual cost as established by resolution of the Police

Commission.

With respect to sales solicitations, the charitable organization registering shall maintain on its premises or the premises of a designated agent of the organization or, in the alternative, shall provide to the Department, a list of the names, addresses, and dates of birth of all agents and employees for whom identification cards are desired. With respect to nonsales solicitations, the charitable organization registering shall maintain on its premises or the premises of a designated agent of the organization or, in the alternative, shall provide to the Department, a list of the names of all agents and employees authorized to solicit on behalf of the organization.

SEC. ((660.8)) 660.9 WRITTEN RECEIPTS REQUIRED. Any person receiving money or anything having a value of $5.00 or more from any contributor under a solicitation or sales solicitation for a charitable purpose made pursuant to a Certificate of Registration granted under this Article, or any person receiving money or anything having a value of less that $5.00 from any contributor under a solicitation or sales solicitation for charitable purposes made pursuant to a Certificate of Registration granted under this Article when the contributor so requests, shall give to the contributor at the time the contribution is received a written sequentially numbered receipt signed by the solicitor showing plainly the name and Certificate of Registration number of the charitable organization for which the solicitation is conducted, the Internal Revenue Service and California Franchise Tax Board tax exempt identification numbers, if any, the date and the amount received, and whether the contribution, or what part thereof, is tax

deductible; provided, however, that where a solicitation is made and a donation is received for a ticket of admission to an event held specifically to raise money or any other thing of value for charitable purposes, such ticket, when retained by the contributor, shall constitute a valid receipt if it contains the name and Certificate of Registration number, the person for whom the solicitation is conducted, the date and location of the event, and the amount received. This section shall not apply to any contributions collected by means of a sealed or locked box or receptacle which shall have permanently imprinted on it the name of the Certificate of Registration holder used in solicitation with the written approval of the Chief of Police or his or her designate, where it is impractical to determine the amount of such contributions.

SEC. ((660.9)) 660.10 SOLICITATION -- BOXES -- RECEPTACLES.

(a) Notwithstanding Sec. 660.2, no charitable organization may solicit any contribution for any purpose by means of any unattended box or receptacle, upon any public street, sidewalk, or way, or in any public park or in a publicly owned or controlled place, except by express written permission of the Chief of Police or his or her designate. Prior to giving such permission, the applicant shall furnish the Department with a written statement from the appropriate City department having jurisdiction over the area in question indicating that there is no objection to the granting of such permission. The Chief of Police shall grant such permission unless he or she makes a written finding supported by objective facts that the

solicitation would work a fraud upon the citizens of San Francisco or would endanger the public safety. The Chief of Police shall grant or deny permission within 20 working days of the application for such permission. The denial of permission by the Chief of Police shall be appealable by the applicant to the Board of Permit Appeals of the City and County of San Francisco.

(b) Notwithstanding Sec. 660.2, no charitable organization shall solicit for any charitable contribution, or any contribution for any real or purported charitable purpose, by means of any unattended box or receptacle in any place immediately abutting upon any public sidewalk or way, or in any place of business open to the public, or in any room, hallway, corridor, lobby, or entrance way, or other place open to or accessible to the public, or in any public place, without first obtaining written permission from the owner, manager, or other person having control over the area where the receptacle or boxes are to be placed.

SEC. ((660.10)) 660.11 REVOCATION OR SUSPENSION OF CERTIFICATE OF REGISTRATION. Whenever it shall be shown, or whenever the Chief of Police or his or her designate has knowledge that any registrant has filed with the Department a Statement of Registration that contains a misstatement of fact known to the registrant or that a charitable organization to whom a Certificate of Registration has been issued under this Article has violated any of the provisions of this Article, or that an employee or agent of a charitable organization to whom a Certificate of Registration has been issued has violated any

provision of this Article and the charitable organization has failed to adequately supervise the employee or agent, the Chief of Police or his or her designate shall give the Certificate of Registration holder written notice by registered mail, return receipt requested, of a hearing to be held to determine whether the Certificate of Registration should not be issued or, should be revoked or suspended. This notice must contain a statement of the facts upon which the Chief of Police or his or her designate bases his or her contention that the Registration Statement contained a false statement known by the registrant or that any provision of this Article has been violated. At the hearing the registrant or Certificate of Registration holder, and any other interested person, shall have the right to present evidence as to the facts upon which the Chief of Police or his or her designate based the contention, and any other facts which may aid the Chief of Police in determining whether the Statement of Registration contained a false statement known to the registrant or that any provision of this Article has been violated. If, after such hearing, the Chief of Police or his or her designate finds that the Statement of Registration contained a false statement known to the registrant or that any provision of this Article has been violated, and, in the case of a violation by an employee or agent, that the charitable organization to whom a Certificate of Registration has been issued failed to adequately supervise the employee or agent, the Chief of Police shall within five working days after the hearing, file in his or her office for public inspection and serve upon the Certificate of Registration holder, and all interested persons participating in the hearing, a written statement of the facts upon which the Chief of Police bases such finding and shall not issue a Certificate of

Registration or shall immediately revoke or suspend the Certificate of Registration, and suspend the right to apply for a Certificate of Registration for up to 120 days.

If, after such hearing the Chief of Police or his or her designate finds that Statement of Registration did not contain a false statement known to the registrant or that no provision of this Article has been violated, the Chief of Police shall within five days after the hearing issue to the registrant a Certificate of Registration or give to the Certificate of Registration holder a written statement stating that no violation was found to have been committed.

SEC ((660.11)) 660.12 FINANCIAL RECORDS. The financial records of a charitable organization on whose behalf soliciting for charitable purposes is conducted shall be maintained on the basis of generally accepted accounting principles as defined by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the Financial Accounting Standards Board. The disclosure requirements of subsection (f) of section 660.3 shall be based on the same accounting principles used to maintain the soliciting charitable organization's financial records.

SEC. ((660.12)) 660.13 APPEALS. If the Chief of Police or his or her designate shall not issue a Certificate of Registration or shall suspend or revoke a Certificate of Registration issued to a charitable organization, the charitable organization affected thereby may appeal to the Board of Permit Appeals of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. ((660.13)) 660.14 PUBLIC DISCLOSURE. All registration and financial record statements filed with the Chief

of Police or his or her designate shall be a public record and shall be available for inspection by members of the public during regular business hours and copies may be obtained at a charge to be determined by the Chief of Police or his or her designate.

SEC. ((660.14)) 660.15 EXCEPTIONS.

(a) The provisions of this Article shall not apply to:

(1) Any recruiting for volunteer services;

(2) Any solicitation made in the name of a person who has died, if the solicitation is made by members of the family of the person or the legal guardian of the deceased person;

(3) Any solicitation made by or on behalf of a non-profit organization to or among persons who are members thereof at the time of such solicitation, or a solicitation or solicitations made in the form of collections or contributions at regular assemblies or services of any such organization; and

(4) Any solicitation made by an employer to his employees, by an employee to his employer, or by an employee to other members of the same employee group where a common employer exists.

(5) Any solicitation made upon premises owned or occupied by the person on whose behalf such solicitation is made.

(6) Any solicitation in an area subject to a street closure approved by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco approved pursuant to San Francisco Administrative Code Section 2.70 for street fairs or other public gatherings.

(b) Persons making solicitations on behalf of any

political group or political organization that is subject to financial disclosure under state or federal law are not subject to the registration or financial disclosure provisions of this Article.

(c) The provisions of this ordinance pertaining to the sales activities of charitable organizations shall not apply to transactions where both (i) the item offered for sale is not sold from tables or other fixed locations and (ii) the item sold is only of token value or the item is sold by a volunteer under fourteen years of age and the sale price of the item is less than three dollars.

SEC. ((660.15)) 660.16 PENALTIES.

(a) Any person violating any of the provisions of this Article shall be guilty of an infraction and shall be punished by a fine not to be less than $50 nor to exceed $500.

(b) Any person who violates or proposes to violate any of the provisions of this Article may be enjoined by any court of competent jurisdiction. The court may make such orders or judgments, including the appointment of a receiver, as may be necessary to prevent the use or employment by any charitable organization or person of any practices which violate this Article, or which may be necessary to restore to any person any money or property, real or personal, which may have been acquired by means of any practice in this Article declared to be unlawful.

Actions for injunction under this section may be prosecuted by the Attorney General, the district attorney, or city attorney upon their own complaint or upon the

complaint of any board, officer, person or by any person or charitable organization acting for the interests of itself, its members or the general public.

(c) Any person who intentionally violates any injunction issued pursuant to this section shall be liable for a civil penalty not to exceed $6,000 for each violation. Where the conduct constituting a violation is of a continuing nature, each day of such conduct is a separate and distinct violation. In determining the amount of the civil penalty, the court shall consider all relevant circumstances, including, but not limited to, the extent of harm caused by the conduct constituting a violation, the nature and persistence of such conduct, the length of time over which the conduct occurred, the assets, liabilities and net worth of the person, whether corporate or individual, and any corrective action taken by the defendant.

(d) If an action for violation of subsection (c) is brought by the Attorney General, one-half of the penalty collected pursuant to this section shall be paid to the treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, and one-half to the State Treasurer. If brought by a district attorney or City Attorney, the entire amount of the penalty collected shall be paid to the treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco.

(e) Any person who violates any provision of this Article shall be liable for a civil penalty not to exceed two thousand five hundred dollars ($2,500) for each violation, which shall be assessed and recovered in a civil action brought in the name of the people of the City and

County of San Francisco by the Attorney General, the district attorney, or the city attorney in any court of competent jurisdiction.

(f) If an action for violation of subsection (e) is brought by the Attorney General, one-half of the penalty collected shall be paid to the treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco and one-half to the State Treasurer. If brought by the district attorney or the city attorney, the entire amount of the penalty collected shall be paid to the treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. ((660.18)) 660.17 CITY UNDERTAKING LIMITED TO PROMOTION OF GENERAL WELFARE. In undertaking the adoption and enforcement of this ordinance, the City and County is assuming an undertaking only to promote the general welfare. It is not assuming, nor is it imposing on its officers and employees, an obligation for breach of which it is liable in money damages to any person who claims that such breach proximately caused injury.

SEC. ((660.19)) 660.18 SEVERABILITY. If any part of the ordinance, or the application thereof, to any person, charitable organization or circumstance is held invalid by the final judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of this ordinance, including the application of such part or provision to other persons, charitable organizations or circumstances, shall not be affected thereby, and this ordinance shall otherwise continue in full force and effect and shall otherwise be fully operative. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance, and each of them, are hereby declared to be

severable.

SEC. ((660.20)) 660.19 PREEMPTION. In adopting this Article, the Board of Supervisors recognizes that it may not preempt or supersede, nor does it intend to preempt or supersede, any state law or regulation related to the use of public sidewalks, including those related to obstruction of public sidewalks. Further, in adopting this legislation, the Board of Supervisors does not intend to repeal or amend any ordinance or regulation related to the use of the public sidewalks, including, but not limited to, Police Code sections 22 through 24, inclusive, related to the obstruction of public sidewalks.

Section 2. One year after the adoption of this ordinance by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, the Chief of Police or his or her designate and the Director of Public Works and his or her designate shall make a report to the Board detailing what, if any, additions or modifications to the ordinance are needed to deal with the problems attending the solicitation of contributions by charitable organizations, or the inability of charitable organizations to gain access to the public.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

Deputy City Attorney

0423F

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
August 18, 1986	August 25, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker
Noes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy	Noes: Supervisors Britt Kennedy
Absent: Supervisor Renne	Absent: Supervisors Kopp Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
121-86-12.1

SEP 2 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-50

ORDINANCE NO. 372-86

RO #6558

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF MARY PROIETTI AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $40,000.00

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "MARY PROIETTI V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," Superior Court No. 846-255, by the payment of $40,000.00

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By for KATHLEEN FOLEY
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

By DONALD J. BIRRER
Director

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
Controller

01 001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $40,000.00

JUL 25 1986

APPROVED:

Chief Administrative Officer

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
August 18, 1986	August 25, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Kopp	Absent: Supervisors Kopp Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
45-86-50

9/5/86
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 97-86-36 ORDINANCE NO. 373-86

(Special Trust Fund)

AMENDING ARTICLE XIII, CHAPTER 10, SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION 10.117-58 THERETO, ESTABLISHING A SPECIAL TRUST FUND OF THE COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES AND SPECIFYING PURPOSES FOR WHICH SAID FUND MAY BE USED.

NOTE: Additions are not underlined; all sections are new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of "San Francisco:

Section 1. Article XIII, Chapter 10, San Franisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 10.117-58 thereto, reading as follows:

Sec. 10.117-58. CMHS SUBSTITUTE PAYEE PROGRAM TRUST FUND.

(a) FINDINGS. The Board of Supervisors finds that there are residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are recipients of Social Security Administration benefits, Veteran's Administration benefits, General Assistance, and income from public and private retirement systems who are unable to manage such payments to their best advantage.

There are also residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are denied the payment of Social Security Administration benefits, Veteran's Administration benefits, General Assistance, and income from public and private retirement systems because of mental and/or physical disability who would benefit from assistance in applying for and managing funds.

Therefore, the Board of Supervisors authorizes the Department of Public Health, Community Mental Health Services, pursuant to the permission of their client, to receive, manage, and disburse income and benefits from public and private agencies for those persons who receive such aid, but are unable to manage

their funds.

And, further, that the Department of Public Health, Community Mental Health Services is authorized, pursuant to the permission of their client, to provide assistance in applying for public and private sources to which the client may be entitled to, but which are withheld or not paid because of a determination from the funding agencies that the recipient is not mentally capable of managing such funds.

(b) ESTABLISHED. There shall be established in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco a special fund to be known and designated as the Community Mental Health Services Substitute Payee Program Trust Fund, into which shall be placed deposits made by the Community Mental Health Services on behalf of individual clients.

(c) CMHS SUBSTITUTE PAYEE PROGRAM. Upon agreement with the client, CMHS may provide the following services:

(1) Collect and deposit entitlement checks in the CMHS Substitute Payee Trust Fund.

(2) Redirect client's rent and utility bills to the CMHS Substitute Payee Program and pay these bills with the client's benefit funds.

(3) Make disbursements from the client's share in the trust fund for the client's personal needs.

(d) REFUNDS. Upon discharge or withdrawal of a client from the Community Mental Health Services, any and all amounts on deposit in his name in the trust fund shall be paid to him.

(e) REVOLVING FUND. For the purposes of facilitating the withdrawal of money belonging to individual beneficiaries, the Director of Public Health may maintain, as part of the trust fund, a revolving fund in such amounts as shall be authorized by

the Director of Public Health with the concurrence of the Controller. Such revolving fund may be maintained in cash at the office of the Community Mental Health Services or may be deposited in such bank or banks as the Director of Public Health may direct. If the account accrues any interest it will first be used to offset the City's administrative costs of managing the Substitute Payee Trust Program. Any remaining interest will be prorated and credited to the individual beneficiaries on the basis of their share in the account.

(f) RECORDS. The Director of Public Health shall cause full, true and correct records to be maintained, on a current basis, regarding the receipt and disbursement of all moneys belonging to any such client on deposit in the CMHS Substitute Payee Program Trust Fund. Clear and current records must be maintained showing all credits to and debits from the account for each individual client, as well as each individual client's current share/balance in the account.

(g) CONTROLLER TO PRESCRIBE ACCOUNTING METHODS. Pursuant to Section 3.301 of the Charter, the Controller shall prescribe the manner in which reports and accounts regarding the receipt and disbursement of the client's money shall be rendered to the Controller.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

PHILIP S. WARD
Acting City Attorney

By Daniel E. Collins
Deputy City Attorney

2546j
SUPERVISOR WALKER

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
August 18, 1986	§	August 25, 1986
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Walker Ward	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Britt Kopp Silver	§	Absent: Supervisors Kopp Renne Ward
	§	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
97-86-36

9/5/86
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-7 ORDINANCE NO. 374-86

RO #6557 SA #07

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $9,500 FOR MEMBERSHIP DUES, MAYOR'S OFFICE-ADMINISTRATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for the Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 496 (000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserve		
Sub. 000001	Contributed Revenue Reserve	$9,500	
25-01-00	Mayor's Office-Administration		
10-1440 (325043)	Membership Dues		$9,500

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

[signature]
CONTROLLER

JUL 28 1986

RECOMMENDED:

[signature]
MAYOR

(lp-1420A)

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
August 18, 1986	August 25, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Kopp	Absent: Supervisors Kopp Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
Clerk

File No. 101-86-7

9/5/86
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO. 378-86 ORDINANCE NO. 375-86

(APPROVAL OF CONTRACT)

APPROVING THE CONTRACT DAKF01-85-5002 AND 3 AND MODIFICATION P00001 WITH UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY WHEREBY THE ARMY PROVIDES WATER SERVICE TO THE PALACE OF FINE ARTS UNTIL OCTOBER 1, 1987.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO:

Section 1. That certain agreement between the Recreation and Park Commission for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and the United States Department of the Army, to provide water services to the Palace of Fine Arts, a copy of which agreement is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made, is hereby approved.

RECOMMENDED BY:

Mary E. Burns, General Manager
Recreation and Park Department

APPROVED:

Shauna Marie Rose, Secretary
Recreation and Park Commission

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

George Agnost, City Attorney

By Mara Rosales
Mara Rosales, Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
August 18, 1986	§	August 25, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Walker Ward	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Britt Kopp Silver	§	Absent: Supervisors Kopp Renne Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 378-86

9/5/86
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 27-86-8 ORDINANCE NO. 376-86

(AIRPORT - LEASE AND AGREEMENT)

APPROVING LEASE WITH PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY AND AGREEMENT WITH UNITED COGEN, INC. RELATING TO COGENERATION FACILITY AT SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 7.402-1 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves that certain lease between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and the City and County of San Francisco, acting by and through its Airports Commission, a copy of which is contained in Board of Supervisors File No. 27-86-8 and which was approved by Resolution No. 86-0153 of the Airports Commission, adopted July 15, 1986.

Section 2. Pursuant to Section 3.502 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves that certain agreement between United Cogen, Inc. and the City and County of San Francisco, acting by and through its Airports Commission, a copy of which is contained in Board of Supervisors File No. 27-86-8 and which was approved by Resolution No. 86-0153 of the Airports Commission, adopted July 15, 1986.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

PHILIP S. WARD
Acting City Attorney

By [signature]
Airports General Counsel

1986 JUL 15 AM 11:58

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
September 2, 1986	September 8, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Renne [redacted] Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisor Britt	Absent: Supervisor Maher

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
Clerk

File No. 27-86-8

SEP 11 1986
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO. 97-86-41

ORDINANCE NO. 377-86

(Hold Harmless Agreements)

ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 1 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION 1.25-2 THERETO, REGARDING HOLD HARMLESS AGREEMENTS WITH AUTOMOBILE DISMANTLERS, RATIFYING PREVIOUS AGREEMENTS AND EMERGENCY PROVISION

NOTE: All language is new; additions and substitutions have not been underlined.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco that:

Section 1. Chapter 1 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended, by adding Section 1.25-2 thereto, to read as follows:

SEC. 1.25-2. HOLD HARMLESS AGREEMENTS BETWEEN PURCHASER OF SUPPLIES AND AUTOMOBILE DISMANTLERS. The Purchaser of Supplies is hereby authorized to enter into hold harmless agreements, approved as to form by the City Attorney, with corporations, companies, firms or individuals for the purpose of towing, dismantling, shredding or scrapping abandoned vehicles.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors hereby ratifies and accepts any such hold harmless agreements entered into by the Purchaser of Supplies between September 2, 1986 and the effective date of this ordinance.

///

Section 3. This ordinance is adopted as an emergency ordinance pursuant San Francisco Charter Section 2.301. This ordinance must be adopted as an emergency ordinance in that it is immediately necessary for the preservation of the health and safety of the residents of the City and County of San Francisco. The emergency consists in the proliferation of abandoned vehicles on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco. The entities that had contracted with the City and County to provide vehicle removal services have been unable to do so. Accordingly, abandoned vehicles have been piling up in the streets of the City and County of San Francisco for several months. The situation has reached a point where the safety and health of the public is endangered. These abandoned vehicles sometimes leak toxic substances into the streets, often provide attractive nuisances for youngsters and contribute to the spread of debris in the streets that can damage vehicles. After a lengthy search for a satisfactory contractor, the City Purchaser has identified potential contractors who may perform the vehicle removal services. In negotiations with these contractors, the Purchaser learned only last week that they would be unwilling to contract with the City unless the City agrees to indemnify them and hold them harmless. Accordingly, this ordinance authorizing the Purchaser to negotiate an indemnification and hold harmless agreement is absolutely essential before the City can proceed to negotiate the necessary contract.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

Deputy City Attorney

1481F

Passed as an Emergency Measure
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco September 8, 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder
Silver Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Britt Maher

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

Jim Taylor
Clerk

File No.
97-86-41

SEP 1 1 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-15

ORDINANCE NO. 378-86

RO #6579 SA #12

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $960,000 FOR CAPITAL PROJECT BUDGET (PARKING STALLS, MISSION-COLERIDGE PROJECT), PARKING AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPRORPATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-071	Off-Street Parking Fund		
G.L. 490 (003327)	Unappropriated Revenue	$960,000	
36-00-00	Parking Authority		
21-2030 (353144)	Capital Project Budget		
Proj 501-01	Parking Stalls, Mission-Coleridge Project		$960,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farren
CONTROLLER

AUG 15 1986

RECOMMENDED:

Dianne Feinstein
MAYOR

lp-1455A

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
September 2, 1986	September 8, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Renne Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisor Britt	Absent: Supervisor Maher

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L Taylor
Clerk

File No. 101-86-15

SEP 11 1986
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-52 ORDINANCE NO. 379-86

RO #6563

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF CHESTER HARPER AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $25,500.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "CHESTER HARPER v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.", Superior Court No. 822-121 by the payment of $25,500.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By Kathleen A. Foley
KATHLEEN A. FOLEY
Assistant Chief
Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

George E. White
DONALD J. BIRRER
Director

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

0307F/7 01 001 97 09 00 309138 1450 $25,500.00

AUG 04 1986

APPROVED:

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

ROGER G. BOAS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
August 25, 1986	September 2, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisors Kopp Renne Ward	Absent: Supervisors Britt Renne

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-86-52

9-12-86
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 96-86-5 ORDINANCE NO. 380-86

(Sale of Property)

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF DISPOSITION AGREEMENT AND SALE OF APPROXIMATELY .604 ACRES OF CITY-OWNED PROPERTY TO ZANELLO/GAEHWILER, A CALIFORNIA PARTNERSHIP, FOR PURPOSES OF DEVELOPING LOW, MODERATE AND MARKET RATE SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City and County of San Francisco owns that certain real property designated as the Easterly 80 feet of Lot 20 in Assessor's Block 6972 (the "Property").

Section 2. The Mayor's Office of Housing and Economic Development proposes, that the property be privately developed with low, moderate and market rate single family housing, with financing assistance in accordance with Chapter 1-5 of Part 5 of Division 31 of the Health and Safety Code of the State of California.

Section 3. On March 14, 1986 the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 139-86 adopted the final negative declaration for the Geneva Delano Homes Project finding and determining that subdivision of the Property into 13 lots, conditional use approval and a reclassification of the property from P to RH-1 would have no significant impact on the environment.

Section 4. The Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco has reported the project to be in conformance with the Master Plan.

Section 5. The Director of Property has determined that the site has a value of $7,080.00 under the uses required by the Disposition Agreement.

Section 6. The Board of Supervisors on August 23, 1985 approved Resolution No. 748-85 authorizing the issuance, sale and delivery of City and County of San Francisco 1985 Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds. Under the terms of said resolution, the

Home Mortgage Finance Program Rules and Regulations Establishing Eligibility Criteria for Mortgagors and Home Mortgagees were approved and adopted. Under Section 2(D) of the Rules and Regulations the acquisition cost of a home shall not exceed 100 percent of the applicable average area purchase price for the type of home being financed. Under the terms of the Disposition Agreement, the acquisition cost of a unit may in some cases exceed this 100 percent limit due to the attachment of a land subsidy lien, referred to in Article V, paragraph b of the Disposition Agreement. Pursuant to Section 7(A) of the Rules and Regulations, the City has the right to waive any rule or regulation, to the extent permitted by Chapters 1-5 of Part 5 of Division 31 of the Health and Safety Code of the State of California ("the Act"). This waiver is permitted by the Act. The Board hereby finds that the 1985 Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds Rules and Regulations, Section 2(D), should be waived for this Project.

Section 7. The Board hereby finds the execution of a Disposition Agreement for the Property between the City and County of San Francisco and Zanello/Gaehwiler, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of this Board, and the sale of said property to Zanello/Gaehwiler, for $7,080.00 for development in accordance with said Agreement is for a public purpose and will promote the general welfare of the community.

Section 8. The Mayor is hereby authorized and directed to execute said Disposition Agreement.

Section 9. In accordance with said Disposition Agreement, the Mayor is hereby authorized and directed to execute, and the Director of Property shall deliver a Grant Deed to Zanello/Gaehwiler, for the following parcel:

///

The Easterly 80 feet of Lot 20 in

Assessor's Block 6972.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

PHILIP S. WARD
Acting City Attorney

By: Julie Van Houten
Deputy City Attorney

APPROVED:

By: Will A. Wilf
Executive Director
Mayor's Office of Housing
and Economic Development

By: Wallace Hartman
Director of Property

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
August 25, 1986	§	September 2, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward
Noes: Supervisors Renne	§	
Absent: Supervisors Kopp Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Britt Renne

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
96-86-5

9-12-86
Date Approved

Diane Feinstein
Mayor

2560w

FILE NO. 101-86-10

ORDINANCE NO. 381-86

RO #6561 SA #06

Government Funding

APPROPRIATING ~~$43,402~~ $35,753 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES-MISCELLANEOUS AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS AND RESCINDING ~~$39,548~~ $32,578 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES MISCELLANEOUS AND MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS FOR APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS FOR THE RECLASSIFICATION OF ONE (1) POSITION, WAR MEMORIAL FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-303	War Memorial Special Fund		
G.L. 490 (002519)	Unappropriated Revenue	$3,175 ~~$3,854~~	
46-01-00	War Memorial		
01-0010 (386029)	Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous	25,369 ~~30,797~~	$27,907 ~~$33,878~~
04-0600	Mandatory Fringe Benefits	7,209 ~~8,751~~	7,846 ~~9,524~~
	Total	$35,753 ~~$43,402~~	$35,753 ~~$43,402~~

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for one (1) position reclassified by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors' File No. 102-86-7) as follows:

Fund / Department / Classification	War Memorial Special Fund / War Memorial / Rate of Pay	Create	Delete
1652 A Senior Accountant	975 B 1,180		1
1654 A Principal Accountant	1,180 B 1,429	1	

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

JUL 30 1986

APPROVED: CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

BY: _____
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

RECOMMENDED

_____
MAYOR

(BO:lp-1427A)

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

August 25, 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Renne Nelder Silver Walker Ward

Finally Passed

September 2, 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Britt Renne

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No. 101-86-10

9-12-86
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 102-86-7 ORDINANCE NO. 382-86

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT SAL.ORD.AMEND.NO. 2

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. (INTERIM ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1986-87) REFLECTING THE RECLASSIFICATION OF ONE POSITION IN THE WAR MEMORIAL.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated items and sections of Ordinance No. 257-86 (Interim Annual Salary Ordinance. 1986-87) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule	Note
		Dept.:	46 War Memorial		
		Division:	01 General Administration		
		Section:	00 General Administration		
		Fund:	02303 War Memorial Special Fund		
		Object:	001 Regular Misc.		
1 abolished	0	1652 A	Senior Accountant.	Z $975B1180	
1 added	1	1654 A	Principal Accountant	Z $1180B1429	

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

NO. 2

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

August 25, 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Renne Nelder Silver Walker Ward

Finally Passed

September 2, 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Britt Renne

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 102-86-7

9-12-86
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 114-86-10 ORDINANCE NO. 383-86

(Childcare)

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER 1 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (BUILDING CODE) BY AMENDING SECTION 802(C) OF THE UNIFORM BUILDING CODE TO ALLOW CHILDCARE ABOVE THE FIRST STORY.

Note: Addition are underlined.

Deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter 1 of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Building Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 802 (c) of the 1979 edition of the Uniform Building Code to read as follows:

Sec. 802(c) Special Provisions. Rooms in Division 1 and 2 Occupancies used for day-care purposes, kindergarten, first or second grade pupils and Division 3 Occupancies shall not be located above the first story.

Storage and janitor closets shall be of one-hour fire-resistive construction. Stages and enclosed platforms shall be constructed in accordance with Chapter 37. For attic space partitions and draft stops, see Section 3205.

((EXCEPTION)) EXCEPTIONS: 1. Day care use may be located on the second story when the number of children cared for does not exceed 12, provided that all children and staff must be on the same floor at any point in time.

2. In buildings of noncombustible fire-resistive construction equipped with a supervised automatic sprinkler system using quick-response sprinkler heads, within the day care occupancy ~~throughout~~, rooms used for kindergarten, first-and second-grade children or for day-care purposes may be

located on the second floor or the second level above an outdoor podium or terrace level, provided there are at least two exits directly to the exterior, one of which is for the exclusive use of such occupants.

For the purpose of the above paragraph, the outdoor podium or terrace level may be two stories above the street if the podium or terrace area is open to the sky above, provides refuge space away from the building, and is constructed of Type I or Type II F.R. construction. Exiting from the podium or terrace level to the street level shall meet all requirements under Chapter 33. If the podium or terrace level area is used as exiting by occupants other than the day care occupants, then there shall be at least two exits each with minimum width of 5'-0". In no case shall such podium or terrace be more than 25 feet above adjacent street level.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that the Chief of the San Francisco Fire Department has certified that the amendment to Section 802(c) of the 1979 edition of the Uniform Building Code set forth in Section 1 of this ordinance imposes greater restrictions with respect to childcare occupancy than are imposed by the building standards published in the State Building Standards Code relating to regulations of the State Fire Marshal.

APPROVED AS TO FORM

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

BY Andrew W. Schwartz
Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
August 25, 1986	§	September 2, 1986
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Renne Silver Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Kopp Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Britt Renne

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
114-86-10

9-12-86
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 27-86-9 ORDINANCE NO. 384-86

(Airport - Airline Lease)

APPROVING THE ASSIGNMENT OF PLOT 10 AND 10C LEASEHOLD BY PACIFIC SOUTHWEST AIRLINES, INC. TO CONTINENTAL AIR LINES, INC. AND MODIFICATION OF SAID LEASES BETWEEN CONTINENTAL AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS AIRPORTS COMMISSION.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 7.402-1 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the assignment of Airport Lease No. PUC 21391, regarding Plot 10 and 10C, from Pacific Southwest Airlines, Inc. (Lessee) to Continental Air Lines, Inc. (Assignee). This assignment was approved by Airports Commission Resolution No. 86-0093, adopted May 6, 1986, a copy of which is contained in Board of Supervisors' File No. 27-86-9.

Section 2. Pursuant to Section 7.402-1 of the Charter, and subject to the assignment of leases referred to in Section 1 herein, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the Modification No. 2 of lease to Plot 10 and the Modification No. 2 of lease for Plot 10C, between Continental Air Lines, Inc. as Lessee, and the City and County of San Francisco as Lessor, acting by and through its Airports Commission. The modification of the leases was approved by Airports Commission Resolution No. 86-0094, adopted May 6, 1986, a copy of which is contained in Board of Supervisors' File No. 27-86-9

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Philip S. Ward
Acting City Attorney

By: Donald J. Kavanaugh
Airports General Counsel

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 14 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
September 2, 1986	§	September 8, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Renne Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisors Britt	§	Absent: Supervisors Maher

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 27-86-9

SEP 19 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 65-86-8

ORDINANCE NO. 385-86

RO #6559

(Lease Renewal)

AUTHORIZING AND APPROVING LEASE RENEWAL OF CITY OWNED BENJAMIN H. SWIG PAVILION IN HALLIDIE PLAZA TO SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU.

Be It Ordained by The People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. In accordance with the approval of the Director of Property and the Chief Administrative Officer that the Mayor and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as Lessor, hereby find that the leasing of the Benjamin H. Swig Pavilion in Hallidie Plaza to the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau for operation of a visitors center is for a public purpose and will promote the general welfare of the community.

Section 2. The Director of Property is authorized and directed to arrange a lease renewal of the property with the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau for a period of ten (10) years beginning September 1, 1986, at a rental of One (1) Dollar per year, and subject to the terms and conditions of the lease on file with the Clerk of the Board.

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of City and County of San Francisco, as Lessor, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute said Lease.

FORM APPROVED:
GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By Julian E. Pulgarin
Deputy City Attorney

John C Farrell
Controller

01 001 046755 JUL 30 1986
71 01 00 1460 $1.00

APPROVED:

For Director of Property

Chief Administrative Officer

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 14 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
September 2, 1986	September 8, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisor Britt Renne	Absent: Supervisor Maher

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 65-86-8

SEP 19 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-12 ORDINANCE NO. 386-86

RO #6562 SA #02

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING ~~$45,075~~ $36,267 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES -REGULAR UNIFORM AND RESCINDING ~~$45,075~~ $36,267 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - REGULAR UNIFORM FOR APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS FOR THE RECLASSIFICATION OF ONE (1) POSITION, SAN FRANCISCO FIRE DEPARTMENT, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
31-03-00	Fire Department		
01-0010 (530022)	Permanent Salaries-Regular Uniform	$36,267 ~~$45,075~~	$36,267 ~~$45,075~~

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for one (1) position reclassified by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors' File no. 102-86-6) as follows:

Fund Department Classification	General Fund Fire Rate of Pay	Create	Delete
H-30 A Captain (Fire Department)	$1,728 B 1,728		1
H-29 A Special Services Officer	1,727 B 1,727	1	

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER

AUG 04 1986

APPROVED: CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

BY: GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

RECOMMENDED:

MAYOR

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

September 2, 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Britt Renne

Finally Passed

September 8, 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Maher

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 14 1986
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 101-86-12

SEP 19 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-13 ORDINANCE NO. 387-86

RO #6571 SA #10

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $5,800,000 FOR CAPITAL PROJECT BUDGET (SOUTHEAST OUTFALL SYSTEM FORCE MAIN MODIFICATIONS), CLEAN WATER PROGRAM FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
35-895	1976 Sewer Revenue Bonds		
G.L. 495 (035006)	Unreserved Fund Balance	$5,800,000	
Proj. 110-00			
92-01-00	Clean Water Program		
20-2030 (543363)	Capital Project Budget		
Proj. 110-00	Southeast Outfall System Force Main Modifications		$5,800,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE

CONTROLLER

AUG 12 1986

RECOMMENDED

MAYOR

(BO:lp-1447A)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 14 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

September 2, 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto [redacted] Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Britt Renne

Finally Passed

September 8, 1986

Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Maher

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

File No. 101-86-13

SEP 19 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 102-86-6 ORDINANCE NO. 388-86

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT SALARY ORDINANCE AMENDMENT NO. 1

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1986-87) REFLECTING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A NEW CLASSIFICATION OF H-29 SPECIAL SERVICES OFFICER AND THE RECLASSIFICATION OF ONE POSITION IN THE SAN FRANCISCO FIRE DEPARTMENT.

Section 1. The hereinafter designated items and section of Ordinance No. (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1986-87) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Dept.:	31 Fire	
		Division:	03 General	
		Section:	00 General	
		Fund:	01001 General Fund	
		Object:	002 Regular Unif.	
1 abolished	75	H-30 A	Captain (Fire Dept.)	$1728B1728
1 added	1	H-29 A	Special Services Officer	$1727B1727

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

John J. Walsh
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Philip S. Ward

NO. 1

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 14 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
September 2, 1986	September 8, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto [redacted] Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisors Britt Renne	Absent: Supervisors Maher

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 102-86-6

SEP 19 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 121086-11 ORDINANCE NO. 389-86

(Pedicabs)

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER VIII (POLICE CODE) OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 3908 THEREOF RELATING TO FURNISHING BADGES, PLATES AND LICENSES.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter VIII (Police Code) of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by amending Section 3908 thereof to read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

SEC. 3908. Pedicab License, Plate, Identification Card and Operator's Badge.

(((a) Within fifteen (15) days after the issuance of permits or licenses relating to pedicabs and their operators when service is first initiated and on or before the 20th day of December in each year thereafter, the Controller shall furnish the Tax Collector with a sufficient number of operator's badges, pedicab plates and licenses to enable the Tax Collector to meet the demands made for these plates and licenses up to or during the next calendar year. The design and quality of these badges, plates and licenses shall meet the approval of the Tax Collector.))

(((b))) All pedicab licenses, metal plates and identification cards issued under the provisions of Section 3907 of this Article shall date from the first day of January of each year and shall be issued for one year from the aforesaid date; provided, however, that when service is first initiated, the license will be issued for the remaining portion of the calendar year in which it is authorized and only subsequent licenses will date from the first day of January for each succeeding year.

Approved as to Form:

GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By _____ Deputy City Attorney

8038E

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 14 1986
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
September 2, 1986	September 8, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Renne Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisors Britt	Absent: Supervisors Maher

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 121-86-11

SEP 19 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 124-86-14 ORDINANCE NO. 390-86

(Parking Fines)

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER XI OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (TRAFFIC CODE) BY ADDING SECTION 202.1 THERETO AND AMENDING SECTIONS 202 AND 210 THEREOF TO DISTINGUISH PENALTY FOR PARKING METER VIOLATIONS IN THE DOWNTOWN CORE AREA.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter XI (Traffic Code) of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by adding Section 202.1 thereto as follows:

NOTE: This section is new.

SEC. 202.1. PROHIBITING USE OF PARKING METER ZONES IN DOWNTOWN CORE AREA WITHOUT DEPOSIT OF COIN IN PARKING METER; EXCEPTIONS. No person shall park any vehicle in any parking meter zone in the Downtown Core Area, as established under the authority of this Article, except as permitted by this Article, without immediately depositing in the parking meter adjacent to the said zone a coin or coins, lawful money of the United States, unless said parking meter indicates at the time such vehicle is parked that an unused portion remains of the period for which the money was previously deposited; nor shall any person permit any vehicle to remain in any parking meter zone in the Downtown Core Area during any time when said parking meter indicates that no portion remains of the period for which the money was deposited; and, notwithstanding the fact that a parking meter shall indicate legal parking, no person shall permit any vehicle to remain parked in any parking meter zone in the Downtown Core Area beyond the time permitted by ordinance or resolution for the parking of vehicles in the block in which said parking meter zone is situated, except as provided in Section 202.A and 202.B of this Article.

Section 2. Part II, Chapter XI (Traffic Code) of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by amending Sections 202 and 210 thereof to read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

SEC. 202. PROHIBITING USE OF PARKING METER ZONES WITHOUT DEPOSIT OF COIN IN PARKING METER; EXCEPTIONS. No person shall park any vehicle in any parking meter zone outside the Downtown Core Area, as established under the authority of this Article, except as permitted by this Article, without immediately depositing in the parking meter adjacent to the said zone a coin or coins, lawful money of the United States, unless said parking meter indicates at the time such vehicle is parked that an unused portion remains of the period for which the money was ((a coin or coins was or were)) previously deposited; nor shall any person permit any vehicle to remain in any parking meter zone outside the Downtown Core Area during any time when said parking meter indicates that no portion remains of the period for which the money was ((a coin or coins was or were)) deposited; and, notwithstanding the fact that a parking meter shall indicate legal parking, no person shall permit any vehicle to remain parked in any parking meter zone outside the Downtown Core Area beyond the time permitted by ordinance or resolution for the parking of vehicles in the block in which said parking meter zone is situated, except as provided in Section 202.A and 202.B of this Article ((hereunder)).

/ / /

/ / /

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 14 1986
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

SEC. 210. PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS.

(a) Except as provided in subsection (b) below, any person violating any of the provisions of this Article shall be guilty of an infraction and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than ten dollars ($10.00) nor more than thirty dollars ($30.00) for each offense. Each such person shall be guilty of a separate and distinct offense for each and every hour that a vehicle remains parked in a parking meter zone in violation of Section 202 of this Article after the issuance of the first citation therefor and shall be punished accordingly.

(b) Any person violating Section 202.1 ((in the downtown core area)) shall be guilty of an infraction and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than fifteen dollars ($15.00) nor more than forty dollars ($40.00) for each offense. Each such person shall be guilty of a separate and distinct offense for each and every hour that a vehicle remains parked in a parking meter zone ((in the downtown core area)) in violation of Section 202.1 of this Article after the issuance of the first citation therefor and shall be punished accordingly.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

Deputy City Attorney

9075E

7/22/86

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
September 2, 1986	September 8, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Renne Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward
Noes: Supervisors Ward	
Absent: Supervisors Britt	Absent: Supervisors Maher

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 124-86-19

SEP 19 1986

Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 12H-86-20 ORDINANCE NO. 391-86

(Parking Meters)

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER XI (TRAFFIC CODE) OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 203.2 THEREOF INCREASING THE MAXIMUM PARKING LIMIT IN PARKING METER AREA NUMBER TWO TO TWO HOURS AND ESTABLISHING THE RATE THEREFOR.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Section 1. Part II, Chapter XI (Traffic Code) of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by amending Section 203.2 thereof to read as follows:

Section 203.2. Parking Meter Rates - Parking Meter Area Number Two.

The rates for parking meters in Parking Meter Area Number Two shall be as follows:

(a) Thirty-minute meters shall take a

1. Five (5)-cent piece (nickel), which shall allow a parking time period of six (6) minutes following the deposit of such coin, and such deposit of five (5)-cent pieces may be repeated for a like period of time until thirty (30) minutes have been expended; or a

2. Ten (10)-cent piece (dime), which shall allow a parking time period of twelve (12) minutes following the deposit of such coin, and such deposit of ten (10)-cent pieces may be repeated for a like period of time until thirty (30) minutes have been expended; or a

3. Twenty-five (25)-cent piece (quarter), which shall allow a parking time period of thirty (30) minutes following the deposit of such coin;

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 14 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(b) Provided, that thirty (30) minutes shall be the maximum time permitted for parking at any thirty-minute meter on the posted days and during the posted hours of its operation.

(c) One-hour meters shall take a

1. Five (5)-cent piece (nickel), which shall allow a parking time period of six (6) minutes following the deposit of such coin, and such deposit of five (5)-cent pieces may be repeated for a like period of time until sixty (60) minutes have been expended; or a

2. Ten (10)-cent piece (dime), which shall allow a parking time period of twelve (12) minutes following the deposit of such coin, and such deposit of ten (10)-cent pieces may be repeated for a like period of time until sixty (60) minutes have been expended; or a

3. Twenty-five (25)-cent piece (quarter), which shall allow a parking time period of thirty (30) minutes following the deposit of such coin, and such deposit of twenty-five (25)-cent pieces may be repeated for a like period of time until sixty (60) minutes have been expended;

(d) One hour shall be the maximum time permitted for parking at any one-hour meter on the posted days and during the posted hours of its operation.

(e) Two-hour meters shall take a

1. Five (5)-cent piece (nickel), which shall allow a parking time period of six (6) minutes following the deposit of such coin, and such deposit of five (5)-cent pieces may be repeated for a like period of time until one hundred and twenty (120) minutes have been expended; or a

2. Ten (10)-cent piece (dime), which shall allow a parking time period of twelve (12) minutes following the deposit of such coin, and such deposit of ten (10)-cent pieces may be repeated for a like period of time until one hundred and twenty (120) minutes have been expended; or a

3. Twenty-five (25)-cent piece (quarter), which shall allow a parking time period of thirty (30) minutes following the deposit of such coin, and such deposit of twenty-five (25)-cent pieces may be repeated for a like period of time until one hundred and twenty (120) minutes have been expended;

(f) One hundred and twenty minutes shall be the maximum time permitted for parking at any two-hour meter on the posted days and during the posted hours of its operation.

Approved as to Form:

GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By _____ Deputy City Attorney

0450F

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
September 2, 1986	§	September 8, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors [redacted] Renne Kennedy Maher Nelder Silver Walker Ward	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Silver Walker Ward
Noes: Supervisors Hongisto Kopp Molinari	§	Noes: Supervisors Kopp Molinari
Absent: Supervisors Britt	§	Absent: Supervisors Maher

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____ Clerk

File No. 124-86-20

SEP 1 9 1986
Date Approved

_____ Mayor

FILE NO. 74-86-1 ORDINANCE NO. 392-86

RO #6590

(Tax Rate Setting)

PROVIDING REVENUE AND LEVYING TAXES FOR CITY AND COUNTY PURPOSES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1987.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California and in conformity therewith a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1987 on all property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of ninety-seven and fifty-six thousandths and five hundred twenty-eight millionths ($0.97056528) cents on each one hundred dollars valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the secured assessment roll of the said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of ninety-seven and fifty-six thousandths and five hundred twenty-eight millionths ($0.97056528) cents on each one hundred dollars valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.400 of the Charter to the funds and accounts and for the purpose designated as follows:

For the General Fund to meet the expense of the City and County of San Francisco not subject to said limitations for Section 6.400 of the Charter, including the cost of elections, the construction, maintaining and improving of streets, sewers and buildings, parks, squares, playgrounds and libraries, obligations imposed by the State Legislative

the rate of	$0.87418319
For the Open Space Acquisition Fund, the rate of	.02500000
For the County Superintendent of Schools, the rate of	.00097335
For the Bond Interest and Redemption Fund, the rate of	.06199807
Total	$.96215461
Bay Area Air Quality Management District, the rate of	.00208539
Bay Area Rapid Transit District - General Fund, the rate of	.00632528
Total Tax Rate for City and County of San Francisco	$ .97056528

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

OCT 14 1986

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

PREPARED BY:

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

AUG 29 1986

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

PHILIP S. WARD, ACTING CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
September 8, 1986	September 15, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Ward Nelder Silver Walker
Noes: Supervisor Kopp	Noes: Supervisor Kopp
Absent: Supervisor Maher	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 74-86-1

SEP 19 1986

FILE NO. 74-86-2 ORDINANCE NO. 393-86

RO #6588

(Tax Rate Setting)

PROVIDING REVENUE AND LEVYING TAXES FOR SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT PURPOSES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1987.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the laws of the State of California and in conformity therewith a tax is hereby levied for San Francisco Unified School District purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1987, on all property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of eight and two hundred eighty-nine thousandths and fifty millionths ($0.08289050) cents on each one hundred dollars valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the secured assessment roll of the said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum is hereby apportioned as follows:

For general purposes, the rate of	$.07698857
For debt service under the Field Act, the rate of	.00590193
Total	$ .08289050

PREPARED BY:

John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

AUG 29 1986

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
PHILIP S. WARD, ACTING CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

lp-1484A

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 14 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
September 8, 1986	September 15, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisor Maher	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
Clerk

File No. 74-86-2

SEP 19 1986
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO. 74-86-3 ORDINANCE NO. 394-86

RO #6589

(Tax Rate Setting)

PROVIDING REVENUE AND LEVYING TAXES FOR SAN FRANCISCO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT PURPOSES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1987.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the laws of the State of California and in conformity therewith a tax is hereby levied for San Francisco Community College District purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1987, on all property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of one and four hundred forty-four thousandths and four hundred twenty-two millionths ($0.01444422) cents on each one hundred dollars valuation of said taxable property as the same appears on the secured assessment roll of the said City and County for said fiscal year.

PREPARED BY:

CONTROLLER

AUG 29 1986

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
PHILIP S. WARD, ACTING CITY ATTORNEY

BY: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 14 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
September 8, 1986	September 15, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisor Maher	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 74-86-3

SEP 19 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-53

ORDINANCE NO. 395-86

RO #6569

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF HENRY ROBERT & DOLLY ROBERT AGAINST CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $38,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "HENRY ROBERT AND DOLLY ROBERT v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.", Superior Court No. 847-955, by the payment of $38,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By: KEVIN M. O'DONNELL
Assistant Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

By: DONALD J. BIRRER
Director
Department of Public Works

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

By John C Farrell
Controller
01 001 97 09 00
309138 1450 $38,000.00
AUG 12 1986

APPROVED

Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 14 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
September 8, 1986	September 15, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisor Maher	Absent: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Kopp Silver Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-86-53

SEP 26 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-86-55 ORDINANCE NO. 396-86

(Settlement of Litigation)

AUTHORIZING PARTIAL SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AGAINST HANDLERY HOTELS, INC., ET AL. FOR $250,000.00.

BE IT ORDAINED by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to partially settle Superior Court Action No. 824-823, entitled "City and County of San Francisco v. Handlery Hotels, Inc., et al.", by the payment by the defendants to the City and County of San Francisco of $250,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED:

PHILIP S. WARD
Acting City Attorney

By Philip S. Ward
PHILIP S. WARD
Acting City Attorney

By Robin Reitzes Manion
ROBIN REITZES MANION
Deputy City Attorney

8389p

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 14 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
September 8, 1986	September 15, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisor Maher	Absent: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Kopp Silver Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
45-86-55

SEP 26 1986
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-18 ORDINANCE NO. 397-86

RO #6591

(Government Funding)

AMENDING THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87, FILE NO. 101-86-2, ORDINANCE NO. 315-86, GIVING EFFECT TO REVENUE CHANGES PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 6.208 AND ADJUSTING APPROPRIATIONS TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE MUNICIPAL COURT UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF SECTIONS 68203, 69950 AND 74502 OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE, ADJUSTING APPROPRIATIONS TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE MUNICIPAL AND SUPERIOR COURTS UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 70050.5 OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE, ADJUSTING APPROPRIATIONS TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE ART COMMISSION PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 6.400, ADJUSTING APPROPRIATIONS FOR AID TO FAMILIES WITH DEPENDENT CHILDREN, GENERAL ASSISTANCE, FOSTER CARE OF CHILDREN AND REFUGEE ASSISTANCE TO PROVIDE FOR MANDATORY COST OF LIVING INCREASES, AND ADJUSTING APPROPRIATIONS TO MEET THE CITY AND COUNTY CONTRIBUTION FOR HEALTH SERVICE FOR SURVIVING SPOUSES OF ACTIVE AND RETIRED EMPLOYEES PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 8.428, AS A PRE-REQUISITE TO LEVY A TAX PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 6.208.

Section 1. The Annual Appropriation Ordinance for Fiscal Year 1986-87, File No. 101-86-2, Ordinance No. -86, is hereby amended by the following increases and decreases in revenue and expenditure accounts as indicated (in revenue appropriations increases are shown as debits while decreases are shown as credits; in expenditure appropriations, reserves and unappropriated revenues increases are shown as credits while decreases are shown as debits):

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
	Revenue Appropriations		
G.L. 904 (000026)	Reserve		
Subsidiary 000022	Salary Standardization Reserve-Excluding Miscellaneous Employees	$	$1,809,490
G.L. 905 (000026)			
Subsidiary 000001	Contributed Revenue Reserve		$3,504,645
G.L. 906 (000026)	Use of Surplus	$14,649,000	
04-02-00	District Attorney-Family Support Bureau		
60-6209 (044115)	Support Enforcement Incentive		209,000
45-00-00	Social Services		
60-6206 (047340)	Adoption Program-Administration		210,002
60-6329 (047340)	Inspection of Homes for Aged and Children-State		44,990
90-03	Public Works-Building Inspection		
70-7218 (051912)	Electrical Sales Inspection Fees		30,000
99-00-00	General Fund Unallocated		
51-5102 (119990)	Pacific Gas and Electric - Gas		500,000
51-5181 (119990)	Purchase and Use Tax		1,500,000
31-03-00	Fire		
99-9990 (172395)	Revenue Transferred from #01-081-Federal Revenue Sharing Fund, #98-00-00 Special Fund Unallocated		996,547
38-00-00	Police		
99-9990 (17460)	Revenue Transfer from #01-081 - Federal Revenue Sharing Fund 98-00-00 Special Fund Unallocated		1,656,758
45-00-00	Social Services		
99-9990 (160499)	Revenue Transferred From #01-291 - Welfare Assistance and Administration Fund #45-00-00-Social Services	3,397,106	

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 14 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
	Expenditure Appropriations		
10-00-00	Superior Court		
01-0010 (316083)	Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous		$12,828
04-0600 (316083)	Retirement		2,309
04-0606 (316083)	Social Security		917
11-00-00	Municipal Court		
01-0010 (317016)	Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous		130,322
02-0180 (317016)	Other Personal Services		45,133
02-0400 (317016)	Fees and Other Compensation		4,118
04-0600 (317016)	Retirement		17,195
04-0606 (317016)	Social Security		3,604
28-00-00	Art Commission		
2010 (330217) Project #00401	Program Project Budget Municipal Symphony Concerts		46,285
45-00-00	Social Services		
15-1500 (647107)	Aid to Families With Dependent Children		4,151,400
15-1503 (647206)	General Assistance		969,000
15-1504 (647768)	Foster Care		180,000
15-1610 (647792)	Refugee Assistance		102,000
49-00-00	Light, Heat and Power		
30-3300(391060)	Light, Heat and Power		954
97-09-00	General Fund Unallocated-Controller		
04-0611 (309138)	Health Service Subsidy		1,433,314

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
99-00-00	General Fund Unallocated		
48-4830 (841601)	Contribution to #31-001-Municipal Railway Operating Fund; #35-00-00 -Municipal Railway		$323,662
48-4830 (876789)	Contribution to #36-001-Hospital Operating Fund; #86-01-00 San Francisco General Hospital		112,591
48-4830 (859330)	Contribution to #37-001-Laguna Honda Operating Fund; #85-00-00 Laguna Honda Hospital		49,042
	Total	$18,046,106	$18,046,106
01-081	Federal Revenue Sharing Revenue Appropriations		
G.L. 906 (004283)	Use of Surplus	$	$ 653,305
98-00-00	Special Fund Unallocated		
60-6213 (052282)	Revenue Sharing Program		2,000,000
	Expenditure Approriations		
49-4900 (850834)	Revenue Transfer to #01-001-General Fund; #31-03-00 Fire	996,547	
49-4900 (850859)	Revenue Transfer to #01-001-General Fund; #38-00-00 Police	1,656,758	
	Total	$2,653,305	$2,653,305
01-291	Welfare Assistance and Administration Fund		
	Revenue Appropriation		
45-00-00	Social Services		
60-6301 (045876)	Homemaker Services - State Aid		$ 67,028
60-6302 (045876)	Homemaker Services - Federal Aid		268,113
60-6309 (052563)	Maintenance of Minors-Foster Homes - State Aid	$117,000	
60-6310 (052563)	Maintenance of Minors - Foster Homes - Federal Aid	54,000	

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
60-6311 (052522)	Aid to Families with Dependent Children - State Aid	$ 1,868,130	
60-6312 (052522)	Aid to Families with Dependent Children - Federal Aid	2,075,700	
60-6313 (052621)	Aid to Families with Dependent Children- Federal Administrative Allowance		$54,102
60-6314 (052621)	Aid to Families with Dependent Children - State Administrative Allowance		197,901
60-6343 (052621)	Medical Program - State Administration		37,746
60-6345 (052621)	Title XX - Federal Administration		27,619
60-6346 (052654)	Food Stamp Program - Federal Administration		33,971
60-6347 (052548)	Food Stamp Program- State Administration		133,244
60-6354 (062380)	Refugee Assistance	102,000	
	Expenditure Appropriation		
45-00-00	Social Services		
49-4900 (840041)	Revenue Transfer to #01-001 - General Fund; 45-00-00 Social Services		3,397,106
	Total	$ 4,216,830	$4,216,830
02-003	Senior Citizens Program Fund		
	Revenue Appropriations		
G.L. 903 (000901)	Addition to Surplus	$	$ 925,000
G.L. 906 (000901)	Use of Surplus	925,000	
26-00-00	Commission on Aging		
51-5151 (041160)	Parking Tax	595,500	
54-5401 (041160)	Interest Earned		595,500
	Total	$1,520,500	$1,520,500

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-071	Off-Street Parking Fund		
	Revenue Appropriations		
G.L. 903 (003327)	Addition to Surplus	$	$7,036,000
G.L. 906 (003327)	Use of Surplus	6,100,000	
36-00-00	Parking Authority		
58-5414 (040733)	Rental from Non-Profit Garages	936,000	
	Total	$7,036,000	$7,036,000
02-091	Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund		
	Revenue Appropriations		
G.L. 906 (002063)	Use of Surplus	$	$ 40,331
90-06-00	Public Works- Engineering		
97-9701 (211128)	Revenue Transfer In from #02-092- Road Fund; Public Works - Engineering	40,331	
	Total	$40,331	$40,331
90-06-00	Public Works Engineering		
02-092	Road Fund		
	Revenue Appropriations		
G.L. 903 (002071)	Addition to Surplus	$	$324,582
G.L. 906 (002071)	Use of Surplus	375,124	
	Expenditure Appropriation		
90-09-00	Public Works-Street and Sewer Repair		
04-0611 (784017)	Health Service Subsidy		10,211

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
90-06-00 (891341)	Revenue Transfer to #02-091-Special Gas Tax Fund; #90-06-00 Engineering	$	$ 40,331
	Total	$375,124	$375,124
02-221	Open Space and Park Renovation Fund		
	Revenue Appropriations		
G.L. 903 (002188)	Addition to Surplus	$	$4,700,000
G.L. 906 (002188)	Use of Surplus	4,700,000	
	Total	$4,700,000	$4,700,000
02-222	Candlestick Park Fund		
	Revenue Appropriations		
G.L. 903 (002196)	Addition to Surplus	$	$686,538
G.L. 906 (002196)	Use of Surplus	686,538	
	Total	$686,538	$686,538
02-224	Marina Yacht Harbor Fund		
	Revenue Appropriations		
G.L. 903 (002212)	Addition to Surplus	$	$375,462
G.L. 906 (002212)	Use of Surplus	$375,462	
	Total	$375,462	$375,462
02-229	Special Recreation and Park Revenue Fund		
	Revenue Appropriations		
G.L. 903 (004077)	Addition to Surplus	$	$ 1,070,223
G.L. 906 (004077)	Use of Surplus	1,070,223	
	Total	$1,070,223	$1,070,223
02-301	Yerba Buena Center Fund		
	Revenue Appropriations		

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
G.L. 903 (002295)	Addition to Surplus	$	$5,900,000
G.L. 906 (002295)	Use of Surplus	5,900,000	
	Total	$5,900,000	5,900,000
02-302	Convention Facilities Fund Revenue Appropriations		
G.L. 903 (001669)	Addition to Surplus	$	$ 412,161
G.L. 906 (001669)	Use of Surplus	412,161	
	Facilities		
	Total	$ 412,161	$412,161
02-303	War Memorial Special Fund		
	Revenue Appropriation		
G.L. 903 (002519)	Addition to Surplus	$	$1,955,572
G.L. 906 (002519)	Use of Surplus	1,955,572	
	Total	$1,955,572	$1,955,572
02-403	Admission Fund		
G.L. 903 (002469)	Addition to Surplus		$490,000
G.L. 906 (002469)	Use of Surplus	$490,000	
	Total	$490,000	$490,000
02-412	Street Artist Program Fund		
	Revenue Appropriations		
G.L. 903 (002410)	Addition to Surplus	$	$ 22,898
G.L. 906 (002410)	Use of Surplus	22,898	
	Total	$ 22,898	$ 22,898
02-485	Domestic Violence Program Fund		
G.L. 903 (013482)	Addition to Surplus		$88,050
G.L. 906 (013482)	Use of Surplus	$88,050	
	Total	$88,050	$88,050

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-503	State Authorized Special Revenue Fund		
G.L. 903 (001925)	Addition to Surplus		$95,000
G.L. 906 (001925)	Use of Surplus	$95,000	
	Total	$95,000	$95,000
07-001	Bond Interest and Redemption Fund		
	Revenue Appropriation		
G.L. 903 (021022)	Addition to Surplus		$1,305,287
G.L. 906 (021022)	Use of Surplus	$3,200,000	
70-40-00	Chief Administrative Officer General City Responsibilities		
50-5099 (047035)	Property Taxes		1,894,713
	Total	$3,200,000	$3,200,000
09-051	Public Utilities Commission		
40-01-00	Public Utilities Commission General Office		
04-0611 (561365)	Health Service - Subsidy		$ 674
40-02-00	Public Utilities Commission Bureau of Management Information Services		
04-0611 (561183)	Health Service - Subsidy		4,270
40-03-00	Public Utilities Commission Bureau of Finance		
04-0611 (561233)	Health Service - Subsidy		6,068
40-03-01	Public Utilities Commission Bureau of Finance (Treasury and Accounting)		
04-0611 (343143)	Health Service - Subsidy		2,922

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
40-04-00	Public Utilities Commission Bureau of Administration		
04-0611 (561597)	Health Service - Subsidy		$3,371
40-05-00	Public Utilities Commission Bureau of Engineering		
04-0611 (561290)	Health Service - Subsidy		2,472
40-07-00	Public Utilities Commission Personnel and Training		
04-0611 (561548)	Health Service - Subsidy		2,698
40-00-00	Public Utilities Commission		
39-3900 (942714)	Interdepartmental Recovery		(22,475)
	Total		0
09-101	Light, Heat and Power		
49-00-00	Light Heat and Power		
04-0611 (391011)	Health Service - Subsidy		$954
39-3900 (940312)	Interdepartmental Recovery		(954)
	Total		$ 0
30-001	Airport Operating Fund		
	Revenue Appropriations		
G.L. 903 (022012)	Addition to Surplus	$	$37,014,165
G.L. 904 (022012)	Reserve		
Subsidiary 000022	Salary Standardization Revenue	332,806	
G.L. 906 (022012)	Use of Surplus	36,694,137	
	Expenditure Appropriation		
27-99-00	Airport		
04-0611 (570416)	Health Service - Subsidy		12,778
	Total	$37,026,943	$37,026,943
31-001	Municipal Railway Operating Fund		
	Revenue Appropriation		

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
35-00-00	Municipal Railway		
98-9701 (196113)	Contribution from #01-001-General Fund; #99-00-00 General Fund Unallocated	$323,662	
	Expenditure Appropriation		
35-01-00	Municipal Railway - General Manager		
04-0611 (560946)	Health Service - Subsidy		$ 15,526
41-4100 (560946)	Public Utilities Commission		13,150
35-02-00	Municipal Railway Equipment Maintenance		
04-0611 (560722)	Health Service - Subsidy		164,571
35-03-00	Municipal Railway Operations		
04-0611 (560664)	Health Service - Subsidy		71,417
35-04-00	Municipal Railway Facilities Maintenance		
04-0611 (560839)	Health Service - Subsidy		58,998
	Total	$323,662	$ 323,662
32-001	Water Department Operating Fund		
	Revenue Appropriations		
G.L. 903 (024018)	Addition to Surplus		$10,401,111
G.L. 906 (024018)	Use of Surplus	10,459,818	
47-00-00	Water Department		
	Expenditure Appropriations		
47-00-00	Water Department		
04-0611 (387019)	Health Service - Subsidy		53,416
41-4100 (387019)	Public Utilities Commission		5,291
	Total Expenditures		58,707
	Total	$10,459,818	$10,459,818

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
33-001	Hetch Hetchy Operating Fund		
	Revenue Appropriations		
G.L. 903 (025015)	Addition to Surplus	$	$11,105,415
G.L. 906 (02501)	Use of Surplus	11,132,596	
	Expenditure Appropriation		
32-00-00	Hetch Hetchy Project		
04-0611 (336016)	Health Service Subsidy		$23,147
41-4100 (336016)	Public Utilities Commission		4,034
	Total Expenditure		$27,181
	Total	$11,132,596	$11,132,596
34-001	San Francisco Harbor Operating Fund		
	Revenue Appropriations		
G.L. 903 (026013)	Addition to Surplus	$	$14,418,931
G.L. 904 (026013)	Reserve		
Subsidiary 000022	Salary Standardization Reserve	198,415	
G.L. 906 (026013)	Use of Surplus	14,223,882	
39-90-01	Expenditure Appropriations		
	Port-Finance/Administration		
04-0611 (596007)	Health Service - Subsidy		3,366
	Total	$14,422,297	$14,422,297
35-001	Clean Water Operating Fund		
	Revenue Appropriations		
G.L. 903 (027565)	Addition to Surplus		$ 7,600,133
G.L. 906 (027565)	Use of Surplus	$ 7,600,133	
	Total	$ 7,600,133	$ 7,600,133

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
36-001	Hospital Operating Fund		
86-01-00	San Francisco General Hospital		
	Revenue Appropriation		
98-9701 (199471)	Contribution from #01-001-General Fund; #99-00-00 General Fund Unallocated	$112,591	
	Expenditure Appropriation		
04-0611 (462580)	Health Service - Subsidy	_____	$112,591
	Total	$112,591	$112,591
37-001	Laguna Honda Hospital Operating		
85-00-00	Fund Laguna Honda		
	Revenue Appropriation		
98-9701 (180315)	Contribution from #01-001-General Fund; #99-00-00-General Fund Unallocated	$ 49,042	
	Expenditure Appropriation		
04-0611 (448274)	Health Service - Subsidy	_____	$49,042
	Total	$49,042	$49,042

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
PHILLIP WARD, ACTING CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

By John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

AUG 29 1986

RECOMMENDED:

Diane Feinstein
MAYOR

(1491-A)

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
September 8, 1986	§	September 15, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisor Maher	§	Absent: Supervisor Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-86-18

SEP 26 1986
Date Approved

Diane Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 102-86-21 ORDINANCE NO. 398-86

SALARIES AND WAGES SAL. ORD. AMEND NO. 10

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 316-86 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1986-87) TO PROVIDE A SALARY INCREASE FOR MUNICIPAL COURT JUDGES AND TRAFFIC REFEREES EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 1986.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated sections and items of Ordinance No. 316-86 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1986-87) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

No. of Pos.	Class and Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
	Dept.	11 Municipal Court	
	Division	00 Municipal Court	
	Section	00 Municipal Court	
	Fund	01001 General Fund	
	Object	001 Regular Misc	
20 abolished	0 0200 A	Judge, Municipal Court.....	$5907 M 5907
20 added	20 0200 A	Judge, Municipal Court.....	6203 M 6203
3 abolished	0 0250 A	Traffic Referee.....	2954 M 4430
3 added	3 0250 A	Traffic Referee.....	3102 M 4652

Section 2. This Ordinance shall be effective July 1, 1986.

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

John J. Welch, by
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL
Albert C Ambrose

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
PHILLIP WARD, ACTING
CITY ATTORNEY

By: [illegible]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 14 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
September 8, 1986	§	September 15, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisor Maher	§	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 102-86-21

SEP 26 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 102-86-22 ORDINANCE NO. 399-86

SALARIES AND WAGES SAL. ORD. AMEND NO. 9

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 316-86 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1986-87) TO PROVIDE A SALARY INCREASE FOR COURT REPORTERS IN THE MUNICIPAL AND SUPERIOR COURTS EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1987.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated sections and items of Ordinance No. 316-86 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1986-87) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

No. of Pos.	Class and Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
	Dept.	10 Superior Court	
	Division	01 Superior Court	
	Section	00 Superior Court	
	Fund	01001 General Fund	
	Object	001 Regular Misc	
28 abolished	0 0710 A	Phonographic Reporter, Superior Court	$2892 M 3779
28 added	28 0710 A	Phonographic Reporter, Superior Court	2948 M 3853

Classification 0710 has seven salary steps. The minimum and maximum listed above. The intermediate steps shall be 3110, 3281, 3461, 3652 and 3752.

	Dept.	11 Municipal Court	
	Division	00 Municipal Court	
	Section	00 Municipal Court	
	Fund	01001 General Fund	
	Object	001 Regular Misc	
20 abolished	0 0350 A	Court Reporter, Municipal Court	$2892 M 3779
20 added	20 0350 A	Court Reporter, Municipal Court	2948 M 3853

Classification 0350 has seven salary steps . The minimum and maximum listed above and the intermediate steps shall be 3110, 3281, 3461, 3652 and 3752.

///

///

///

FILE NO. ORDINANCE NO.

Section 2. This Ordinance shall be effective January 1, 1987.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 14 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

John J. Walsh by
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL
Albert C. Ambrose

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
PHILLIP WARD, ACTING
CITY ATTORNEY

By: [illegible]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
September 8, 1986	§	September 15, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisor Maher	§	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 102-86-22

SEP 26 1986
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-17 ORDINANCE NO. 400-86

RO #6592

(Government Funding)

AMENDING THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1986-87, FILE NO. 101-86-2, ORDINANCE NO. 315-86, GIVING EFFECT TO A REVENUE CHANGE PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 6.208, AS A PRE-REQUISITE TO LEVY A TAX PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 6.208.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 905 (000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserve		
Subsidiary 000001	Contributed Revenue Reserve	$4,500,000	
99-00-00	General Fund Unallocated		
50-5161 (119990)	Utility Users Tax		$4,500,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
PHILIP S. WARD, ACTING CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

[signature]
CONTROLLER

SEP 02 1986

RECOMMENDED:

[signature]
MAYOR

1P-(1497A)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 14 1986
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
September 8, 1986	September 15, 1986
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Molinari Nelder Walker Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Kopp Maher Molinari Nelder Walker Ward
Noes: Supervisor Silver	No: [redacted]: Supervisor Silver
Absent: Supervisor Maher	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
Clerk

File No. 101-86-17

SEP 26 1986
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

4280 8

Utica/Omaha, Ne
Houchen
Bindery Ltd
2014